State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

| Please | print or type required information | Original Filing 🔲 Sup | plemental Filing | Corrected Filing | | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| | print or type required information PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT Virbac Corp. | Original Filing Sup | plemental Filing | Corrected Filing | | |
| PARTIES TO THE ACTION | | | | | | |
| CASE | COURT DOCKET NUMBER JCCP004765 SHORT CASE NAME | | COURTN | AME eda Superior Co | ourt | |
| o = | Proposition 65 Cocamise DEA Cases | | | | | |
| | INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformultion | | | | | |
| . INFO | PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$1,000.00 DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT | PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES \$9,000.00 | \$0. | NT: OTHER OO , DATE SETTLEMENT WAS | Only | |
| REPORT | 9 /30 /2015 | TO SETTLEMENT? Yes No | REPO | RTED TO ATTORNEY GENERAL | nal Us | |
| RE | S1,000.00 S9,000.00 S0.00 DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT 9 /30 /2015 COPY OF JUDGMENT MUST BE ATTACHED | | | | | |
| FILER INFO | NAMEOFCONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum | , Esq. | | | | |
| | ORGANIZATION Law Office of Daniel Greenbaum TELEPHONE NUMBER (818) 809-2199 | | | | | |
| | ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 FAXNUMBER (424) 243-7689 | | | | | |
| | Van Nuys | STATE 2 ZIP CA 91406 | E-MAIL dgr | ADDRESS eenbaum@greenba | umlawfirm.com | |

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

| | | # FILED |
|--------|---|--|
| 1 | LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUN | A ALAMEDA COUNTY |
| | Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) | OCT 2 8 2015 |
| 2 | The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue | 001 2 0 2013 |
| 3 | Suite 320 | CLERK C. IMA SUPERIOR COURT |
| i | Van Nuys, CA 91406 | By I. GUERRERO Danily |
| 4 | Telephone: (818) 809-2199 | minny |
| 5 | Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 | · · |
| _ | Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com | |
| 6 7 | Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC | |
| ´ | SHEPPARD MULLIN | |
| 8 | Meredith Jones-McKcown | |
| 9 | Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor | |
| ا ـ ا | San Francisco CA 94111 | |
| 10 | Telephone: (415) 434-9100 Facsimile: (415) 434-3947 | |
| 11 | Email: mjonesmckeown@sheppardmullin.com | |
| 12 | Attorneys for Defendant VIRBAC CORP. | |
| 13 | Thomeys to Detendant virture Cold. | |
| | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE | HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Coordination Proceeding |) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION |
| | Special Title (Rule 3.350) |) PROCEEDING NO: 4765 |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | |) Shefa LMV, LLC v. Richard Ravid, Inc., et al. |
| 20 | PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA |) Los Angeles County Superior Court No. |
| 20 | CASES |) BC579212] |
| 21 | |) IDDODOSEDI AMENDEN CONSENT |
| 22 | |) [PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT) JUDGMENT AS TO VIRBAC |
| 23 | |) CORPORATION |
| 24 | |) Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr. |
| 25 | |) Action filed: October 17, 2014 |
| | |) |
| 26 | |) |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | ge 1 |
| | [PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT JUDGN | MENT AS TO VIRBAC CORP JCCP No. 4765 |

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa") and Virbac Corporation ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 The Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells types of products identified on Exhibit A that are alleged to contain Diethanolamine ("DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On the date identified on Exhibit A, Shefa served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000.
- 1.4 The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of DEA in the types of products identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.5 On the date(s) identified on Exhibit A, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the Proposition 65 Action identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding.

1.9 This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means the types of products identified on paragraph 6 of Exhibit A for each Settling Defendant.
- 2.2 "Section 3.3 Products" means the products identified on paragraph 7 of Exhibit A for each Settling Defendant.
- 2.3 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Settling
 Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that
 contains DEA to California consumers without labeling the product in accordance with Section
 3.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains DEA" if the product contains
 DEA in excess of allowable limits under Proposition 65.
- 3.2 Specification to Suppliers. No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its supplier(s) of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain any DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing DEA on a nationwide basis.
- 3.3 Action Regarding Specific Products. On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling the specific products (if any) identified as Section 3.3 Products on the Exhibit A for such Settling Defendant ("Section 3.3 Products") in California unless such products have been reformulated such that they do not contain DEA or have been labeled with the warning shown in Section 3.4.
- 3.4 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) send instructions to its stores and/or customers that it reasonably believes may resell or have in the

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

past resold the Section 3.3 Products in California requesting that they either: (a) sell the products exclusively outside California; or (b) affix a warning to the packaging, labeling, or directly on the Section 3.3 Products provided for sale in retail outlets in California that states:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

- 3.5 The requirements of this Section 3 apply only to those Section 3.3 Products that contain DEA.
- 3.6 Any destruction of Section 3.3 Products shall be in compliance with all applicable

4. **ENFORCEMENT**

- 4.1 Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 4.2 Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Shefa shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and proof of purchase and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation.
- 4.3 The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation.
- 4.4 Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Shefa may file an enforcement motion or application.
 - 4.5 This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

5. **PAYMENTS**

5.1 Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the settlement payment identified for it on Exhibit A.

- 5.2 The total settlement amount, inclusive of all penalties, fees, and costs, for Settling Defendant shall be paid pursuant to the instructions outlined in Exhibit A.
- 5.3 The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated, as identified in Exhibit A, between the following categories:
 - A. Civil Penalty. A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), with such money to be apportioned by Shefa as identified on the Exhibit A for the Settling Defendant in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
 - B. Attorney's Fees and Costs. A reimbursement of a portion of Shefa's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (i) Shefa on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (ii) Settling Defendant and its affiliates, its former affiliates ("affiliate" means a person or entity who directly or indirectly owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, Settling Defendant), and their current and past directors, officers, employees and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom any of them directly or indirectly distribute sell or have sold Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"); of any

violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant (the "Claims"), Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

7.2 In furtherance of the foregoing, as to the Covered Products, Shefa, in its individual and not in its representative capacity, and its counsel hereby waive any and all rights and benefits which Shefa now has, or in the future may have, with respect to the Claims by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Shefa and its counsel understand and acknowledge the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if Shefa or its counsel suffer future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, they will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or the Downstream Releasees.

- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.
- 7.4 Nothing in this Section 7 affects Shefa's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

[PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO VIRBAC CORP. - JCCP No. 4765

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa, Settling Defendant, and their affiliates, and successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 11.4 There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein.
- 11.5 No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.6 No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto.
- 11.7 No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 11.8 No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 11.9 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant.
- 11.10 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

- 11.11 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
- 11.12 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.
- 11.13 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties.
- 11.14 This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel.
- 11.15 Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment.
- 11.16 Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

| 1 | AGREED TO: | |
|------------------|----------------------|---|
| 2 3 4 5 | Dated: 10/19/2015 | SHEFA LMV, LLC By: |
| 6 7 | | |
| 8 | Dated: | VIRBAC CORP. |
| 9 | | Ву: |
| 10 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | [PROPOSED] AMENDED O | Page 10 ONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO VIRBAC CORP JCCP No. 4765 |

| 1 | AGREED TO: | | |
|----------|---|-------------|--|
| 2 | Dotada CUID | FA LMV, LLC | |
| 3 | Dated: SHE | FA LWV, LLC | |
| 4 | By: | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | BAC CORP. | |
| 9 | By: | <u>Ch</u> | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | 1 | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | Page 1 | 0 | |
| | [PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO VIRBAC CORP JCCP No. 4765 | | |

DBA-

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Virbac Corp., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein.

OCT 2 8 2015 Dated:

GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.

Judge of the Superior Court

EXHIBIT A

- 1. Name of Settling Defendant: VIRBAC CORP.
- 2. Name of Plaintiff: Shefa LMV, LLC
- 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.3):

SHEPPARD MULLIN

Meredith Jones-McKeown

Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor

San Francisco CA 94111

Email: mjonesmckeown@sheppardmullin.com

- 4. Date of 60-Day Notice of Violation (Pursuant to Section 1.3): November 21, 2014
- Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4): Shefa LMV, LLC v. Richard
 Ravid, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579212
 - a. Date Complaint Filed: October 17, 2014
- 6. Covered Products Applicable to Defendant (Pursuant to Sections 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 7.1, and 7.2):
 - x Shampoos
- x Soaps
- 7. Defendant's Section 3.3 Product(s) (Pursuant to Sections 3.3.1, 3.3.2, and 3.3.3):

Virbac Epi-Soothe Shampoo Virbac Allergroom Shampoo Virbac Allermyl Shampoo Virbac Sebolux Medicated Shampoo Virbac Etiderm Shampoo

8. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

Total Settlement Payment: \$10,000.00 Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC): \$1,000.00¹

¹ Shefa LMV, LLC shall make arrangements to remit 25% of this amount to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment in accordance with paragraph 5.3.1 of the Consent Judgment.

Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (PILP): \$ N/A Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum): \$9,000.00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.