

1	In the above-entitled action, plaintiff Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. and defendant	
2	Normark Corporation, having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered	
3	pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a [Proposed] Consent Judgment	
4	("Consent Judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this	
5	Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment on	
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to California	
7	Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, Judgment	
8	is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit A.	
9	By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under	
10	Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.	
11		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13		
14	Dated: 12/7/15  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT - 1 -

Brian C. Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	
Facsimile: (510) 848-8118  Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.	
,	•
	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF MARIN	
UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.  Plaintiff,  y.	Case No. CIV1500639  [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT  (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seg. and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6)
NORMARK CORPORATION and DOES 1-150, inclusive,	Code of Civil Procedure 9 554.5)
Defendants.	
3	
4	
5	
5	
7	
3	
1438985.1 / 170-001	
CONSE	ENT JUDGMENT

4 5

## б

7 8

## 9 10

11 12

#### 13

14 15

## 16

17 18

### 19

20

## 21 22

23

# 2425

26

## 27

28

# 1438985.1 / 170-001

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 The Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Anthony Held, Ph.D., P.E. ("Held") and defendant Normark Corporation ("Normark"), with Held and Normark each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

Held is a resident of the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals, and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating harmful substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

#### 1.3 Defendant

Normark employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249,5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

#### 1.4 General Allegations

Held alleges that Normark manufactures, imports, sells and/or distributes for sale in California, fishing tools with vinyl/PVC grips that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), and that it did so without providing the health hazard warning that Held alleges is required by Proposition 65.

#### 1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are fishing tools with vinyl/PVC grips that allegedly contain DEHP, including, but not limited to, the *Rapala Fishing Clipper*, *RFCW*, *UPC #0 22677 20468 0* (collectively, "Products"), which Normark manufactured, imported, distributed, and/or sold in the State of California.

#### 1.6 Notice of Violation

On November 25, 2014, Held served Normark and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"), alleging that Normark violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users

1438985.1 / 170-001

to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the allegations set forth in the Notice.

#### 1.7 Complaint

On February 20, 2015, Held commenced the instant action in the public interest, naming Normark as a defendant for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice.

#### 1.8 No Admission

Normark denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products that it has sold or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Normark of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Normark of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Normark's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Normark as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment contemplated by Section 5.

#### 2. INJUNCTIVE SETTLEMENT TERMS

Commencing on February 1, 2016 and continuing thereafter, Normark agrees to only ship for sale, distribute for sale, purchase for sale and/or manufacture for sale in California, Reformulated Products. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Products with a maximum DEHP concentration 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million)

when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270, or other methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

### 3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

#### 3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2), and in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Normark shall pay \$13,500 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. Each penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Held. Held's counsel shall be responsible for remitting Normark's penalty payment(s) under this Consent Judgment to OEHHA.

- 3.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty. Normark shall make an initial civil penalty payment of \$4,500. Normark shall provide its payment in a single check made payable to "Anthony E. Held, Client Trust Account" to be delivered to the address provided in Section 3.4, below.
- 3.1.2 Final Civil Penalty; Waiver. On January 29, 2016, Normark shall make a final civil penalty payment of \$9,000. Pursuant to title 11 California Code of Regulations, section 3203(c), Held agrees that the final civil penalty payment shall be waived in its entirety if, no later than January 15, 2016 an officer of Normark provides Held with a signed declaration certifying that all of the Products it ships for sale or distributes for sale in California as of the date of the declaration are Reformulated Products, and that Normark will continue to offer only Reformulated Products in California in the future. The option to certify early reformulation in lieu of making the final civil penalty payment otherwise required by this Section is a material term, and time is of the essence.

#### 3.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The Parties negotiated Normark's reimbursement of the compensation due to Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. For all work performed through the mutual

1438985.1/170-001

execution of this agreement and the Court's approval of the same. Normark shall pay Held and his counsel a total of \$34,000, for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing the matter to Normark's attention, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and in obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment. Normark's payment shall be delivered to the address in Section 3.4 in the form of a check payable to "The Chanler Group."

#### 3.3 Payment Timing; Payments Held In Trust

Normark shall deliver all payments required by this Consent Judgment to its counsel within fifteen business days of the date that this agreement is fully executed by the Parties.

Normark's counsel shall confirm receipt of settlement funds in writing to Held's counsel and, thereafter, hold the amounts paid in trust until such time as the Court grants the motion for approval of the Parties' settlement contemplated by Section 5. Within three days of the Effective Date, Normark's counsel shall deliver all settlement payments it has held in trust to Held's counsel at the address provided in Section 3.4.

#### 3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

#### 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

#### 4.1 Held's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Held, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Normark, its suppliers, and their respective parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom Normark directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for any violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures

1438985.1 / 170-001

1.1

to DEHP from Products sold or distributed for sale by Normark prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by Normark with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DEHP from Products manufactured, sold or distributed for sale by Normark after the Effective Date.

#### 4.2 Held's Individual Release of Claims

Held, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Defendants, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Held of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by Normark before the Effective Date.

#### 4.3 Normark's Release of Held

Normark, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Held and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Held and his attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating claims, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

#### 5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. Held and Normark agree to support the entry of this Consent Judgment, and to obtain the Court's approval in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion Held shall draft and file. If any third-party objection to the motion is filed, Held and Normark agree to work

1438985.17170-001

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

together to file a reply and appear at any hearing. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

#### 6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment. any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

#### 7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Normark may provide Held with written notice of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

#### 8. NOTICE

To Normark:

Tom Mackin, President

Normark Corporation

Hopkins, MN 55343

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery, (ii) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to any Party by the other at the following addresses:

To Held:

Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 10395 Yellow Circle Drive 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214

with a copy to Normark's counsel:

Raymond H. Hua, Esq. Yukevich Cavanaugh 355 South Grand Avenue, 15th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

1438985.1 / 170-001

6

#### 2 COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES 3. This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original and, all of which, when 4 5 taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS б 7 Held and his counsel agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in 8 California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). 9 11. MODIFICATION 10 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion of 1) any party and the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon. 12 13 AUTHORIZATION 12. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their 14 respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this 15 Consent Judgment. 16 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 17 18 19 20 NORMARK CÓRPORATION 21 Dated: 7/10/15 22 23 24 25

26 27 28