(03-01)	State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612 FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f) REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT Please print or type required information Original Filing Supplemental Filing Corrected Filing							
. 10430	PLAINTIFF(S)	- 0						
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	Shefa LMV LLC							
	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT Madina Industrial C	orp.						
<u> </u>	COURT DOCKET NUMBER			COURTNAME Alameda Superior	Court			
CASE	SHORT CASE NAME Proposition 65 Cocamise DEA Cases							
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformultion							
REPORT INFO	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY	PAYMENT: ATTORN		PAYMENT: OTHER				
	\$2,500.00 DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT 9 /30 /2015	\$7,450.00 IS JUDGMENT PURS TO SETTLEMENT?		0 IFYES, DATE SETTLEMENT WAS REPORTED TO ATTORNEY GENERA /30 / 2015	For Internal Use Only			
RE	COPY OF JUDGMENT MUST BE ATTACHED							
	NAME OF CONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.							
FILER INFO	ORGANIZATION Law Office of Danie		TELEPHO	NENUMBER ) 809-2199				
	ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave	320	FAX NUMBER ( 424 ) 243-7689					
	CITY Van Nuys	STATE 91	<mark>406</mark>	E-MAIL ADDRESS dgreenbaum@greenl	bauml	awfirm.com		

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENB.						
Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) The Hathaway Building	ALAMEDA COUNTY					
7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320	OCT 2 8 2015					
Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COUR					
Facsimile: (424) 243-7689						
Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.	com <b>Dy</b>					
Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC						
MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON Joshua A, Bloom, Esq. (SBN 183358)						
555 12 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500						
Oakland CA 94607						
Telephone: (510) 808-2000 Facsimile: (510) 444-1108						
Email: jbloom@meyersnave.com						
Attorneys for Defendant MADINA INDUSTRIAL CORP.						
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA						
Coordination Proceeding	) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION					
Special Title (Rule 3.350)	) PROCEEDING NO: 4765					
	)  Shefa LMV, LLC v. New World Imports,					
PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES	<ul> <li><i>Inc., et al.</i>, Los Angeles County Superior</li> <li>Court No. BC561056]</li> </ul>					
	) ) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT ) AS TO MADINA INDUSTRIAL					
	) CORPORATION					
	) ) Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.					
	) ) Action filed: October 17, 2014					
	Page 1					

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1

 $\mathbf{28}$ 

1,1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa")
and Madina Industrial Corporation ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are
referred to collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 The Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells, or has done so in
the past, types of products identified at Paragraphs 6 and 7 of Exhibit A, attached hereto, some
of which contain or have contained Cocamide diethanolamine ("Cocamide DEA") in the State of
California.

9 1.3 On the date identified at Paragraph 4 of Exhibit A. Shefa served a 60-Day Notice
of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of
11 1986. California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") to Settling Defendant, the
12 California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California,
13 and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than
14 750,000.

15 1.4 The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of
16 Cocamide DEA in the types of products identified in Exhibit A.

17 1.5 On the date(s) identified on Exhibit A, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the
18 Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the Proposition 65 Action identified in Exhibit A.

19 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this
20 Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint
21 applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts
22 alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has
23 jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by
cither Party of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance
with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by either Party of any
fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.

1.8 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense each Party may have in any other legal proceeding.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADINA INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION - JCCP No. 4765

This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is 1.9 1 accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in 2 3 this action. DEFINITIONS 2. 4 "Covered Products" means the types of products identified at Paragraphs 6 and 7 5 2.1of Exhibit A for Settling Defendant. 6 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by 7 2.2 the Court. 8 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 9 3. Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Settling 3.110 Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that 11 contains Cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For 12 purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains Cocamide DEA" if Cocamide DEA is an 13 intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or intentionally added part of the product 14 15 formulation. Specification to Suppliers. No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective 3.2 16 Date. Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its supplier(s) of Covered Products 17 requiring that Covered Products not contain any Cocamide DEA, and shall instruct each supplier 18 to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing Cocamide DEA on a 19 nationwide basis. 20 Action Regarding Specific Products. On or before the Effective Date, Settling 3.3 21 Defendant shall cease selling the specific products (if any) identified as Section 3.3 Products as 22set forth in Paragraph 7 of Exhibit A as applied to Settling Defendant ("Section 3.3 Products") in 23 California unless such products have been reformulated such that they do not contain Cocamide 24 25 DEA. 3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also, subject to 26 Section 3.5 below: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3 Products to any of its stores and/or 27 customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California; and (ii) send instructions to its stores 28and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California instructing them either to: (a) Page 3 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADINA INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION ~ JCCP No. 4765

retarn all the Section 3.3 Products to Settling Defendant for destruction, or (b) directly destroy 1 2 the Section 3.3 Products. 3.3.2 The requirements of this Section 3.3 apply only to those Section 3.3 3 Products that contain Cocamide DEA. 4 Any destruction of Section 3.3 Products shall be in compliance with all 5 3.3.3 applicable laws. 6 PAYMENTS 4. 7 Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay 8 4.1 the settlement payment identified with respect to Settling Defendant at Paragraph 8 of Exhibit A. 9 4.2 The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid pursuant to the 10 instructions outfined in Exhibit A. 11 The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated, as identified in Exhibit 12 4.3 A, between the following categories: 13 Civil Penalty. A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in 4,4 14 the amount set forth in Paragraph 8 of Exhibit A as applied to Settling Defendant, with such 15 money to be apportioned by Shefa as identified on the Exhibit A for the Settling Defendant in 16 accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249,12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of 17 California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). 18 Attorney's Fees and Costs. A reimbursement of a portion of Shefa's reasonable 4.519 attorney's fees and costs in the amount set forth in Paragraph 8 of Exhibit A as applied to 20Settling Defendant. 21 MODIFICATION 5. 22 5.1Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by 23 express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this 24 Court upon motion and in accordance with law. 25 Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall 5.2 26attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to 27modify the Consent Judgment. 28 Page 4

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADINA INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION - JCCP No. 4765

## 6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

1

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (i) Shefa 2 6.1 on behalf of itself and the public interest, and (ii) Settling Defendant and its affiliates, its former 3 affiliates ("affiliate" means a person or entity who directly or indirectly owns or controls, is 4 directly or indirectly owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, 5 Settling Defendant), and their respective current and past directors, officers, employees and 6 attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom any of them directly or indirectly 7 distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesafers, 8 customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream 9 Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted 10 in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant 11 Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Cocamide DEA contained in 12 Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date. 13 Material compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling 14 6.2Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling 15 Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any 16 alleged failure to warn about Cocamide DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or 17 sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date. 18 Nothing in this Section 6 affects Shela's right to commence or prosecute an 19 6.3 action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant. Defendant 20Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees. 21 7. NOTICE 22 When Shefa is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the 23 7.1 notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to: 24 25 Daniel N. Greenbaum  $\mathbf{26}$ Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 7) 20 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 27 Van Nuys CA 91406 dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com  $\mathbf{28}$ Page 5 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADINA INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION - JCCP No. 4765

When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent 7.2١ Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to the person identified at 2 Paragraph 3 of Exhibit A as applied to Settling Defendant. 3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by 7.3 4 sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail. 5 COURT APPROVAL 8. 6 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. 8.1 7 Shefa shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and 8.2 8 Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment. 9 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or 10 8.3 effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any 11 purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.2. 12 ENFORCEMENT 9. 13 Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the 9.1 14 Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent 15 Judgment. 16 Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 9.2 17 3 above, Shefa shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and proof of purchase 18 and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. 19 The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated 0.3 20motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling 21 Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged 22 violatioa. 23 Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Shefa may, after the expiration 9.4 24 of the 30-day right to cure period set forth above in Section 9.3, file an enforcement motion or 25application. 26 This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties. 9.5 2728 Page 6 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADINA INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION - JCCP No. 4765

Should Shefa prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or 9.6 1 other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Shefa shall be entitled to its 2 reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. 3 9.7 Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show 4 cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees 5 and costs against Shefa as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that 6 Shefa's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. 7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall 9.8 8 carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 9 2016, et seq. 10Nothing in this Section 9 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of 9.9 Ħ sanctions pursuant to law. 12 10. OTHER TERMS 13 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of 10.114 California. 15 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa, Settling 10.2 16 Defendant, its affiliates, and successors or assigns of any of them. 17 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding 10.3 18 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, 19 negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein 20and therein. 21 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its 10.422 23 own attorneys' fees and costs. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties 10.524 except as expressly set forth herein. 2510.6 No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those 26 specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. 27 10.7 No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or 28otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Page 7 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADINA INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION - JCCP No. 4765

No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Conscut 10.81 Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. 2 No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or 10.9 3 shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall 4 such waiver constitute a continuing waiver. 5 10.10 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights 6 Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling 7 Defendant. 8 10.11 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the 9 Consent Judgment. 10 10.12 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and 11 by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed 12 to constitute one document. 13 10.13 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully 14 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter 15 into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind 16 17 that Party. 10.14 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this 18 Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. 19 10.15 This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties 20and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. 21 10.16 Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment 22 shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this 23Consent Judgment. 24 10.17 Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of 25 construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not 26be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby 27 waive California Civil Code § 1654, provided that nothing shall otherwise preclude a ruling 28 against the drafting Party. Page 8 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADINA INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION - JCCP No. 4765

AGREED TO: SHEFA LMV. LLC Dated: 8/25/2015 alen-By: Dated: 5/24/15 MADINA INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION By: Madina ŝ Erookiyn, NY 11217 Brookiyn, NY 11217 718 875 4646 U Page 9 (PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADINA INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION - JCCP No. 4765 

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT					
2	Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Madina					
3	Industrial Corporation, the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in					
4	accordance with the terms herein.					
5						
6	OCT 2 8 2015					
7						
8	GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.					
9	Judge of the Superior Court					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	Page 10 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADINA INDUSTRIAL CORPORATION - JCCP No. 4765					

- 2. Name of Plaintiff: Shefa LMV, LLC
- 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.3):

MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, WILSON & SILVER Joshua A. Bloom, Esq. 555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500 Oakland CA 94607 Email: jbloom@mcycrsnave.com

- 4. Date of 60-Day Notice of Violation (Pursuant to Section 1.3): January 27, 2015
- 5. Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4): Shefa LMV, LLC v. New World

Imports, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC561056

- a. Date Complaint Filed: October 17, 2014
- 6. Covered Products Applicable to Defendant (Pursuant to Sections 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 7.1, and

7.2):

- <u>x</u> Shampoos
- <u>x</u> Soaps
- 7. Defendant's Section 3.3 Product(s) (Pursuant to Sections 3.3.1, 3.3.2, and 3.3.3):

## Madina Almond and honey with cream; UPC: 187314000244

8. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

## Total Settlement Payment: \$9,950.00

- Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC): \$2,500.00
- Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (PILP): N/A
- Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum): \$7,450.00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.

2511281.1