1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Lucas Williams, State Bar No. 264518 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com lwilliams@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY ALAMEDA COUNTY CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By WW SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
12	
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Case No. RG 15-774917
14	Plaintiff, (PROPOSED) CONSENT
15) JUDGMENT AS TO MADVAPES, v.) LLC
16	VAPE REVOLUTION LLC, et al.,
17	Defendants.
18	}
19	
20	1. INTRODUCTION
21	
22	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and MadVapes, LLC ("Settling Defendant") to settle
23	claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the
24	matter Center for Environmental Health v. Vape Revolution LLC, et al., Alameda County
25	Superior Court Case No. RG 15-774917 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred
26	to collectively as the "Parties."
27	W Concouvery as and I and es.
28	-1-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADVAPES, LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-774917

- 1.2. On February 13, 2015, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of nicotine in liquid for use with electronic cigarette devices manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.3. Settling Defendant is a corporation that employed ten (10) or more persons and manufactured, distributed, and/or sold Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California. Settling Defendant represents that it has dissolved.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.5. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1. "Covered Products" means nicotine-containing liquid for use with vapor products, whereas such liquid was manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant in California.
- 2.2. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Products. As of the Effective 3.1. Date, no Covered Product may be manufactured for sale, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant in California unless such Covered Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the outer label of the product. The warning shall state the following:

> **WARNING**: Use of this product will expose you to nicotine, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. To the extent that other warning statements are included on the outer label of a Covered Product, the warning required herein shall be separated from the other warnings by a line that is at least the same height as a line of text on the label. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on Covered Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the Covered Product being purchased prior to the authorization of or actual payment. Placement of

the warning statement at the bottom of an internet webpage that offers multiple products for sale does not satisfy the requirements of this Section.

3.1.1. Warnings for Covered Products in the Stream of Commerce. For any Covered Products that have not been labeled in accordance with Section 3.1, within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to whom Settling Defendant reasonably believes sold Covered Products prior to the Effective Date. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers in order to permit the retailer or distributor to affix the warning on each Covered Product such customer has purchased from Settling Defendant. The warning stickers shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1 above. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard.

3.2.

-5-

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADVAPES, LLC - CASE NO. RG 15-774917

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22


23

24

25

26

27




4. **PAYMENTS**

- 4.1. Settling Defendant shall initially pay to CEH the total sum of \$15,000, which shall be allocated as follows:
- 4.1.1. \$1,971 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (\$491.75 to CEH and \$1,479.25 to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- **4.1.2.** \$1,479 as an additional settlement payment ("ASP") in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics and Youth Fund and use them to: (1) support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about nicotine. formaldehyde, and acetaldehyde in electronic cigarettes and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to youth; (2) expand its use of social media to communicate with Californians about the risks of exposures to nicotine, formaldehyde, and acetaldehyde and other toxic chemicals in the products they and their children use and about ways to reduce those exposures; and (3) work with industries that market products to youth to reduce exposures to nicotine, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde and other toxic chemicals, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposures to nicotine, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to youth in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to


R MH provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General.

4.1.3. \$11,550 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

4.1.4. The payments required under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.3 shall be made in three (3) separate checks, all to be delivered within twenty (20) days following the Effective Date. The payments required pursuant to Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 shall each be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health. The payment required pursuant to Section 4.1.3 shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group. All checks shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8.1.2.







ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law.

26

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, related corporate entities, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to nicotine in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2. CEH, for itself, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to nicotine in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant,
 Defendant Releasees, and the Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with
 Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant
 Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about nicotine in Covered Products
 manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

8. PROVISION OF NOTICE

- **8.1.** When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:
- **8.1.1.** Notices to Settling Defendant. The persons for Settling Defendant to receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

28

Phillip Daman Daman and Associates, LLP 1455 Pennsylvania Ave, Suite 400 Washington, D.C., 20004 phillip.daman@damanllp.com

8.1.2. Notices to Plaintiff. The persons for CEH to receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

Mark Todzo Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com

8.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Parties notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- **9.1.** This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
- **9.2.** If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Settling Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 11.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Settling Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise,

express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.

- 11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- 11.4. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 11.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
2	
3	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4	
5	
6	Charlie Pizarro
7	Associate Director
8	
9	MADVAPES, LLC
10	
11	
12	Signature
13	
14	
15	Printed Name
16	
17	TOTAL I
18	Title
19	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED:
21	
22	
23	Dated:, 2017
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-12-
	-12-

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADVAPES, LLC - CASE NO. RG 15-774917

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
2	
3	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4	
5	
6	Charlie Pizarro
7	Associate Director
8	
9	MADVAPES, LLC
10	
11	My KIND
12	Signature
13	
14	Mark Hoogenclourn
15	Printed Name
16	Ofgan: 201 / member
17	Title
18	· ·
19	IT IS SO ORDERED:
20	11 10 00 ORDINAD.
21	
22 23	Dated: 12/19 .2014 Judge of the Superior Court
	Judge of the Superior Court IOANA PETROU
24 25	10Am 2-3
25 26	
20 27	
28	
	12

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MADVAPES, LLC - CASE NO. RG 15-774917