CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California

County of Los Angeles

JAN 27 2016

Sherri R Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By Fernando Becerra, Jr., Deputy

Manee Pazargad (State Bar No. 245136) David Gharakhanian, Jr. (State Bar No. 251642) GP LAW GROUP, A Professional Corporation 204 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 115

Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: (310) 860-0600

Facsimile:

(310) 861-0506

Attorneys for Plaintiff, ALI ZARGARBASHI

6

7

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT

10

11

13

14

15

16

ALI ZARGARBASHI, an individual,

12 Plaintiff,

VS.

DAISO CALIFORNIA LLC, a limited liability company, and DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: BC581557

[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. Michelle R. Rosenblatt, Dept. "40"]

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; PROPOSED ORDER

Health & Safety Code S 25249.5 et seq.

Action Filed: Trial Date:

May 13, 2015 None Set

18

17

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

1. RECITALS

1.1 The Parties

This Settlement Agreement ("Settlement") is entered into by and between Ali Zargarbashi ("Zargarbashi") and Daiso California LLC ("Daiso"). Zargarbashi and Daiso shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."

Zargarbashi is a citizen of the State of California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products. Daiso employs ten (10) or more employees, and is a person in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety Code* Section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

Zargarbashi alleges that Daiso distributed, supplied and/or sold Large Mobile Phone Cases with product code number 04549131115178, (hereinafter, the "Products") in the State of California causing the potential for users in California to be exposed to hazardous levels of lead without providing "clear and reasonable warnings," in violation of Proposition 65. Lead is potentially subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because it is listed as known to the State of California to cause birth defects and reproductive harm.

On February 25, 2015, a sixty-day notice of violation ("60-Day Notice"), along with a Certificate of Merit, was provided in the public interest by Zargarbashi pursuant to *Health and Safety Code* Section 25249.7(d) to Daiso and various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the lead in the Products. On May 13, 2015, Zargarbashi filed a Complaint against Daiso alleging violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products (Los Angeles Superior Court Case Number BC581557) (the "Complaint").

1.3 No Admissions

Daiso denies all allegations in Zargarbashi's Complaint and maintains that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that Daiso has not violated Proposition 65.

Nothing in this Settlement shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion, law,

28 | /

or violation of law nor shall compliance with the Settlement constitute or be construed as an admission by Daiso of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue or law, or violation of law. Instead, the Parties enter into this Settlement as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Settlement.

1.4 Compromise

The Parties enter into this Settlement in order to resolve the controversy described above in a manner consistent with prior Proposition 65 consent judgments on the lead in the Products that were entered on behalf of the public interest and to avoid prolonged and costly litigation between them.

1.5 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be sixty (60) days following the date upon which the Court enters this consent judgment.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND REFORMULATION</u>

2.1 Reformulation

As of the Effective Date, Daiso shall not sell or offer the Products for sale in California if they contain more than 90 parts per million ("ppm") of lead in their accessible surfaces when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3050B or equivalent. As of the Effective Date, if the Products do not meet this Reformulation Standard, then clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warnings must accompany the Products, as described in subsection 2.2 below, if Daiso continues to sell the Products.

2.2 Proposition 65 Warnings Obligations

If the Products do not meet the Reformulation Standard described in subsection 2.1 above, then Daiso shall not manufacture, distribute, supply, and/or sell for use or sale in California the Products containing lead in their accessible surfaces unless clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warnings are provided with the Products with the following specific warning, or a similar warning otherwise permitted pursuant to Proposition 65:

"WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm."

Each unit shall carry said warning directly on each unit or its label or package, near the product name, price, or UPC code, in a sufficiently conspicuous manner reasonably calculated to be seen by the ordinary consumer. Alternatively, where products are offered for sale on a display rack, the warning obligation may be fulfilled by means of an Identifying Sign affixed to each display rack in a sufficiently conspicuous manner reasonably calculated to be seen by the ordinary consumer. Each Identifying Sign shall not be covered or obscured, and shall be at least 3" by 5" in size on white card stock, at least 14 point font in black print, with the capitalized and emboldened warning:

"WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm."

3. PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant to Proposition 65

In settlement of all claims referred to in this Settlement Agreement, Daiso shall pay a total civil penalty of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health and Safety Code* section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$2,250.00) paid to State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and the remaining 25% (\$750.00) paid to Zargarbashi.

Daiso shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order made payable to "GP Law Group, APC in Trust for Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" in the amount of \$2,250.00; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "GP Law Group, APC in Trust for Ali Zargarbashi" in the amount of \$750.00. Daiso shall remit the payments within twenty-one (21) calendar days of the Court approving the Settlement entered into between the Parties, to:

Manee Pazargad, Esq. GP LAW GROUP, APC 204 South Beverly Drive, Suite 115 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

3.2 Reimbursement of Zargarbahsi's Fees and Costs

Daiso shall pay an agreed sum in reimbursement of Zargarbashi's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for work performed through execution of this Settlement. Accordingly, Daiso shall issue a check or money order payable to "GP Law Group APC" in the amount of Nine Thousand Dollars (\$9,000.00). Daiso shall remit the payments within twenty-one (21) calendar days of the Court approving the Settlement entered into between the Parties, to:

Manee Pazargad, Esq. GP LAW GROUP, APC 204 South Beverly Drive, Suite 115 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

4. <u>RELEASES</u>

4.1 Zargarbashi's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

This Settlement is a full, final, and binding resolution between Zargarbashi, on behalf of himself and in the public interest, and Daiso, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use, or consumption of the Products and fully resolves all claims that have been, could have been, or could be asserted in this action up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Products. Zargarbashi, on behalf of himself and in the public interest, hereby discharges Daiso and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, vendors, manufacturers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Products, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Products regarding lead.

4.2 Zargarbashi's Individual Release of Daiso

Zargarbashi, in his individual capacity only and not in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Daiso and Released Parties which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Zargarbashi of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to any Proposition 65 chemicals in the Products sold or distributed for sale by Daiso before the Effective Date.

4.3 Daiso's Release of Zargarbashi

Daiso, its parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, and attorneys, by this Settlement, waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Zargarbashi, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, experts, successors, and/or assignees, for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the court of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Daiso relating to Zargarbashi's 60-Day Notice dated February 25, 2015 in this matter.

4.4 Waiver of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California *Civil Code* which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California *Civil Code* or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or

different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Settlement and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

4.5 Application

Compliance with the terms of this Settlement shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any Released Parties regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Products as set forth in the 60-Day Notice and the Complaint, whether such exposures occur before or after the Effective Date.

5. COURT APPROVAL

Upon execution of this Settlement by all Parties, Zargarbashi shall file a noticed Motion for Approval in the above-entitled Court. This Settlement is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one (1) year after its full execution by all Parties. Zargarbashi and Daiso agree to support the entry of this Settlement as a judgment, and to obtain the Court's approval of their Settlement in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion Zargarbashi shall draft and file and Daiso shall support, appearing at the hearing if so requested. If any third-party objection to the motion is filed, Zargarbashi and Daiso agree to work together to file a reply and appear at any hearing. If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall seek to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible, prior to the hearing on the motion.

6. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

<u>Subsequent Legislation</u>. If, subsequent to the Effective Date, legislation or regulation is adopted that addresses the lead content of the Products sold in California hereunder, any Party shall be entitled to request that the Court modify the reformulation standard in Section 3.1 of this

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Consent Judgment for good cause shown.

Notice: Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment or to allege a violation thereof shall first attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment. If Zargarbashi alleges that any Product fails to comply with this Settlement, then Zargarbashi shall inform Daiso in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results. Daiso shall, within thirty days following such notice, provide Zargarbashi with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory demonstrating Defendant's compliance with this Settlement, if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to Zargarbashi taking any further legal action.

APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Settlement may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Settlement shall have no application to Products which are distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California.

9. **SEVERABILITY**

Should any part or provision of this Settlement for any reason be declared by a Court to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

10. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Settlement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. **NOTICES**

All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Settlement shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as follows:

To Daiso:

Elizabeth P. Shoemaker, Esq. TERAOKA & PARTNERS LLP One Embarcadero Center, Ste. 1020 San Francisco, CA 94111 To Zargarbashi:

Manee Pazargad, Esq. GP LAW GROUP, PAC 204 South Beverly Drive, Suite 115 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

12. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

This Settlement constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and may not be amended or modified except in writing.

13. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

This Settlement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Settlement by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Settlement shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

-8-

1	14.	COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F) REPORTING					
2	REQUIREMENTS						
3		Zargarbashi agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health					
4	and Safety Code § 25249.7(f).						
5							
6	STIPULATED TO:						
7	Date:						
8	Ву:	Authorized Officer of Daiso California LLC					
9	CTIDI						
10	Date:	JLATED TO:					
11	By:						
12	by.	Ali Zargarbashi					
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
		-9-					

Ш							
1	14. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F) REPORTING						
2	REQUIREMENTS						
3	Zargarbashi agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health						
4	and Safety Code § 25249.7(f).						
5							
6	STIPULATED TO:						
7	Date: 10/19/2015						
8	By: Authorized Officer of Daiso California LLC YOSHIHIDE NURATA						
9	STIPULATED TO:						
10							
11	By:						
12	Ali Zargarbashi						
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	-9- STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; PROPOSED ORDER						
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT,						

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the Parties' Settlement, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

	JAN 2	7	2016
Dated:	3		20

MICHELLE R. ROSENBLATT

Judge of the Superior Court

-10-