State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Please print or type required information \square Original Filing \square Supplemental Filing \square Corrected Filing					
PLAINTIFF(S)					
	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT				
PARTIES TO THE ACTION					
що	COURT DOCKET NUMBER		COURT NAME		
CASE	SHORT CASE NAME				
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF				
REPORT INFO	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES	PAYMENT: OTHER	Á l'O	
R	DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT	IS JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT?	IF YES, DATE SETTLEMENT WAS REPORTED TO ATTORNEY GENERA	AI S	
l PC	/ /	☐ Yes ☐ No	/ / /		
8	СОРУ О	For Internal Use Only			
FILER INFO	NAME OF CONTACT				
	ORGANIZATION			TELEPHONE NUMBER	
	ADDRESS			FAX NUMBER	
	CITY	STATE ZIP	E-MAIL ADDRESS		

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.



		FILED			
1	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBA	UM ALAMEDA COUNTY			
2	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104)	DEC 2 0 2017			
	The Hathaway Building	· -			
3	7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By // CUT C			
4	Telephone: (818) 809-2199	Deputy			
5	Facsimile: (424) 243-7689				
	Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com				
6	Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC				
7					
8	TATRO TEKOSKY SADWICK LLP				
	David B, Sadwick, Esq. 333 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 4270				
9	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 225-7171				
10					
11	Facsimile: (213) 225-7151 E-mail: dsadwick@ttsmlaw.com				
12					
	Attorneys for Defendant JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER INC. (formerly known as, and sued as, Neutrogena Corporation)				
13	sucu as, recurrogena corporation)				
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
15					
16	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA				
İ					
17	Coordination Proceeding) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION			
18	Special Title (Rule 3.350)) PROCEEDING NO: 4765			
19)			
20) [Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc.,			
20	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES) et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court			
21	CASES) No. BC579191]			
22	·) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT			
23) ASTOJOHNSON & JOHNSON) CONSUMERINC.			
l) CONSUMERAINC.			
24) Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.			
25		Action filed: April 17, 2015			
26					
27					

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER INC. `- JCCP No. 4765

Page 1

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 **Parties.** The parties to this consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa" or "Plaintiff") and Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties" or, singularly, as a "Party."
- 1.2 **Products.** The Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells types of products identified on Exhibit A (the "Covered Products"). Plaintiff alleges that the Covered Products sold by Settling Defendant in the State of California, or sold in the past by Settling Defendant in the State of California, contain or contained diethanolamine ("DEA") at levels requiring a warning under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). Settling Defendant denies Plaintiff's allegations.
- 1.3 **Notice of Violation.** On February 24, 2015, Shefa served 60-Day Notices ("Notices") of Violation under Proposition 65 to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of DEA in the types of products identified in Exhibit A. If one or more additional Notices would be necessary for all the Covered Products to be included in this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall timely issues such Notices sufficiently in advance of any motion to approve this Consent Judgment so that the sixty-day notice period, plus any additional time period for service of such Notices, shall have elapsed prior to the initial date set for the Court's hearing such motion to approve this Consent Judgment.
- 1.4 **Complaint.** On April 17, 2015, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the Proposition 65 Action identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.5 **Jurisdiction.** For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative

Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

1.6 **No Admissions.** Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise, and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means the types of products identified on the Exhibit A for Settling Defendant.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.
 - 2.3 "Compliance Date" is 180 days after the Effective date.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the date of execution of this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant confirms that it has actively engaged its suppliers of raw materials to comply with its reformulation efforts. As of the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant shall take commercially reasonable efforts to not manufacture any Covered Products (a) that contain DEA as an ingredient in its formula and (b) that Settling Defendant intends to sell or offer for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Covered Products "contain DEA" if DEA is an intentionally added ingredient of the Covered Products or if the DEA concentration in the Covered Products exceeds the level(s) for the relevant time frame(s) set forth in Section 3.2 of this Consent Judgment.

- Reformulation. "Reformulated Covered Products" shall be deemed to comply 3.2 with Proposition 65 without a consumer warning. "Reformulated Covered Products" are defined as those Covered Products with DEA concentrations of less than or equal to six-tenths of one percent (0.6%) in the triethanolamine ("TEA") ingredient in the Covered Products, based on a certificate of analysis or analytical testing results provided by the TEA supplier for each lot of TEA used to manufacture Covered Products. If a supplier fails to provide a certificate of analysis or analytical testing results, Settling Defendant may obtain a certificate of analysis or analytical testing results for such lot of TEA. Settling Defendant may, absent reasonable grounds to question the accuracy of the certificate of analysis or analytical testing results, establish compliance with such DEA concentration standard for Covered Products to be deemed Reformulated Covered Products by relying in good faith on such certificate of analysis or analytical testing results, or on comparable quantitative DEA content information. Settling Defendant shall retain certificates of analysis or analytical testing results for three years after delivery of the TEA lot to which such documentation applies and compliance documentation shall be made available within 30 days of a written request by Plaintiff, who may make no more than two such requests annually.
- 3.3 Preservation of Competitiveness. The intent of this Section is to protect the competitive interests of Settling Defendant arising from the Shefa's claims and to ensure that by settling the allegations in the Notice of Violation and the Complaint, Settling Defendant is not disadvantaged with respect to its competitors. Specifically, the Parties agree that, should any agreement or consent judgment be entered into by Shefa, The California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, or the California Attorney General's Office concerning products substantially similar to the Covered Products that contains provisions that would materially impact the terms of this Consent Judgment in a manner which would materially benefit Settling Defendant if such provisions were incorporated into this Consent Judgment, such benefits shall be deemed to accrue to Settling Defendant, this Consent Judgment shall be amended by a stipulation, and a new [proposed] order shall be submitted the Court for approval,

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

a copy of which shall be provided to the Attorney General's office no later than five (5) business days prior to submission to the Court, to provide Settling Defendant the benefit thereof. Further, should there be a court decision involving any other person or entity that received a Proposition 65 60-Day Notice of Violation alleging that DEA in products substantially similar to the Covered Products and such decision is in whole or in part materially favorable to the defendants in such action in a manner which would materially benefit Settling Defendant if such materially favorable aspects of such decision were incorporated into this Consent Judgment, then that decision shall be incorporated into this Consent Judgment by a stipulation and a new [proposed] order that shall be submitted to the Court for approval, a copy of which shall be provided to the Attorney General's Office not later than five (5) business days prior to submission to the Court.

- 4.1 Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 4.2 Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Shefa shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and proof of purchase and a copy of any test results, which purportedly support the Notice of Violation.
- 4.3 The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation.

5. **PAYMENTS**

5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall initiate the settlement payment (per the terms in Section 7 of this Consent Judgment) identified on Exhibit A. The total settlement amount, which amount includes both the initial civil penalty and Plaintiff's attorney's fees, to be paid by Settling Defendant shall in the amount set forth in, and shall be paid pursuant to the instructions outlined

in, Exhibit A (hereinafter "Total Settlement"). The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated, as identified in Exhibit A, between the following categories:

- 5.1.1 Initial **Civil Penalty**. An initial civil penalty (the "Initial Civil Penalty") pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in the amount identified as the initial civil penalty in Exhibit A, with such money to be apportioned by Shefa as identified in Exhibit A in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- 5.1.2 **Final Civil Penalty.** If the Covered Products do not comply with the standard for Reformulated Covered Products set forth in Section 3.2 of this Consent Judgment with respect to Covered Products manufactured more than one year after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay a final civil penalty (the "Final Civil Penalty") in the same amount as the Initial Civil Penalty, with such money to be apportioned by Shefa as identified in Exhibit A in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). However, the Final Civil Penalty shall be waived in its entirety if Settling Defendant, acting through an employee, officer, or counsel, confirms to Plaintiff that Settling Defendant meets the conditions outlined in §§3.1 and 3.2 above.
- 5.1.2 Attorney's Fees and Costs. The Parties acknowledge that Shefa and its counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Settling Defendant expressed a desire to resolve Shefa's fees and costs. Settling Defendant agrees to pay Shefa and its counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment and the Consent Judgment's approval by the Court (if approval is granted), including without limitation the fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the Settling

8

11

Defendant's attention, negotiating a settlement, and seeking court approval of the same. Payment shall be delivered to Daniel N. Greenbaum, Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum, 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (a) on the 7.1 one hand. Shefa on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (b) on the other hand, (i) Settling Defendant; (ii) Settling Defendant's current, future, and former affiliates ("affiliate" means a person who, or entity which, directly or indirectly, fully or partially, owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, Settling Defendant); (iii) current, future, and past directors, officers, employees, and attorneys of Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's current, future, and former affiliates (collectively, the persons and entities identified in Section 7.1(b)(i), (ii), and (iii) shall be referred to as the "Defendant Releasees"); (iv) each person to whom, or entity to which, any of the Defendant Releasees directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Releasees"); (v) each person who, or entity which, directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, to any of the Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Upstream Releasees"); and (vi) each person who, or entity which, manufactures or packages (collectively "Manufacturers") the

28

1

8. NOTICE

8.1. When Shefa is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

Daniel N. Greenbaum Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 Van Nuys CA 91406 dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com

- 8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to the person identified on the Exhibit A for Settling Defendant.
- 8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
 - 8.4 Shefa to comply with all California Attorney General reporting requirements.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Shefa shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. ATTORNEYS' FEES

10.1 Should Shefa prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Shefa shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Shefa as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Shefa's

prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa, Settling Defendant, its affiliates, and successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 11.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant.

Page 11

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
AS TO JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER INC. `- JCCP No. 4765

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc., the parties' consent judgment is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein.

Dated: 12/28/2017

Judge of the Superior Court

Page 12

EXHIBIT A

- 1. Name of Settling Defendant: Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.
- 2. Name of Plaintiff: Shefa LMV, LLC
- 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.3):

TATRO TEKOSKY SADWICK LLP

David B, Sadwick, Esq.

333 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 4270

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Telephone: (213) 225-7171 Facsimile: (213) 225-7151

E-mail: dsadwick@ttsmlaw.com

4. Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4):

Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191

5. Types of Products Covered

x Soaps

6. Settling Defendant's Covered Products:

Neutrogena transparent facial bar; UPC: 070501013304 and all other Neutrogena transparent facial bar products sold, manufactured, and/or distributed by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, Downstream Releasees, Upstream Releasees, or Manufacturers, including without limitation Neutrogena Facial Cleansing Bar - Original Formula, Neutrogena Facial Cleansing Bar for Acne Prone Skin, Neutrogena Naturals Face & Body Bar, and Neutrogena Transparent Facial Cleansing Bar-Fragrance Free Formula.

7. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

- Total Settlement Payment: \$99,000, inclusive of the Initial Civil Penalty and all Fees and Costs
- Initial Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC): \$29,000
- Final Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC if required to be paid pursuant to the terms of this consent judgment): \$29,000
- Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa): \$ N/A
- Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum): \$70,000.00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.