SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al., Defendants. I.I. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	1 2 3 4 5 6	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Abigail Blodgett, State Bar No. 278813 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com ablodgett@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY OCT 0 6 2015 K. McCoy, Exec. Off./Clerk
SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, PACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al., Defendants. INTRODUCTION I.I. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL REALTH	
FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 11 12 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Case No. RG 15-770932 13 Plaintiff, Page of the County of Alameda Plaintiff, Page of the County of Alameda Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff of the Case No. RG 15-770932 15 SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al., Defendants. 16 17 18 19 20 1. INTRODUCTION 21 1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	8		
CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Case No. RG 15-770932 Plaintiff, TUDGMENT AS TO SAFFIRE V. VAPOR, INC. SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al., Defendants. 11 12 13 Plaintiff, TUDGMENT AS TO SAFFIRE VAPOR, INC. SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al., Defendants. 17 18 19 20 1. INTRODUCTION 1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	9	SUPERIOR COURT FOR T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Plaintiff, Plaintif	10	FOR THE COUN	TY OF ALAMEDA
CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, V. SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al., Defendants. 10 11. INTRODUCTION 11. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	11		
Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, V. SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al., Defendants. 17 18 19 20 1. INTRODUCTION 21 Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 15-770932
V. SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al., Defendants. 17 18 19 20 1. INTRODUCTION 21 L1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties." DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RICYCLED PAPER 15 VAPOR, INC. SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties.") deroposéd] Consent
SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al., Defendants. 18 19 20 1. INTRODUCTION 21 4.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties." 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER 11-		v.	
Defendants. 18 19 20 1. INTRODUCTION 21 1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties." Document Preparate on Recycles Paper 1-		SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al.,	
1. INTRODUCTION 2. 1. INTRODUCTION 2. 1. INTRODUCTION 2. 1. 1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental 2. Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle 2. claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the 2. matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County 3. Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred 3. to collectively as the "Parties." 3. Document Preparated on Recycled Paper. 3. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental 4. Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle 4. Claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the 4. Matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County 5. Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred 5. The counter of		Defendants.)
19 20 1. INTRODUCTION 21 1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental 22 Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle 23 claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the 24 matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County 25 Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred 26 to collectively as the "Parties." 28 Document Preparation on Recycled Paper			
1. INTRODUCTION 1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties." Document Preparated on RECYCLED PAPER Document Preparated -1-			
1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Saffire Vapor, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."		1. INTRODUCTION	
claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties." 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER ON RECYCLED PAPER		1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered i	nto by Plaintiff Center for Environmental
matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties." 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER ON RECYCLED PAPER -1-	22		
Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties." 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER -1-	23		
to collectively as the "Parties." 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER ————————————————————————————————————	24	matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County	
27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER -1-	25	Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	26	to collectively as the "Parties."	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	27		
IL CONSENT JUDGWENT AS TO SAFBRE VAPOR. INC. — CASE NO. KG 15-770932	DOCUMENT PREPARED		

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

- 1.2. On February 27, 2015, CEH served 60-Day Notices of Violation (the "Notices") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of nicotine in liquid for use with electronic cigarette devices and electronic cigarette devices designed for use with nicotine-containing liquids manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.3. Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notices and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notices and Complaint and expressly deny any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any

of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1.** "Covered Products" means "Covered Liquid Products" and "Covered Device Products."
- 2.2. "Covered Liquid Products" means nicotine-containing liquid for use with electronic cigarette devices manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant in California.
- 2.3. "Covered Device Products" means electronic cigarette devices, also known as tanks and vape pens, which contain nicotine or are designed and intended for use with nicotine-containing liquid, manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant in California.
 - **2.4.** "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.
- **2.5.** "Manufacture Date" means the date the Covered Product was manufactured and as may be indicated on a tag attached to the Covered Product.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1. Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Liquid Products. As of the Effective Date, no Covered Liquid Product may be manufactured for sale, distributed or sold in California unless such Covered Liquid Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the outer label of the product. The warning shall state the following:

WARNING: This product contains nicotine, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Liquid Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. To the extent that other warning statements are included on the

outer label of a Covered Liquid Product, the warning required herein shall be separated from the other warnings by a line that is at least the same height as a line of text on the label. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Liquid Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the Covered Liquid Product being purchased prior to the authorization of or actual payment. Placement of the warning statement at the bottom of an internet webpage that offers multiple products for sale does not satisfy the requirements of this Section.

3.1.1. Warnings for Covered Liquid Products in the Stream of Commerce. In an effort to ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 for Covered Products that have not been labeled in accordance with Section 3.1, within thirty (30) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to whom Settling Defendant reasonably believes sold Covered Products that contained nicotine on or after. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers in order to permit the retailer or distributor to affix the warning on each Covered Liquid Product such customer has purchased from Settling Defendant. The warning stickers shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1 above. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard.

3.2. Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Device Products. As of the Effective Date, no Covered Device Product may be manufactured for sale, distributed or sold in California unless such Covered Device Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the outer packaging of the product. For Covered Device Products that contain nicotine, the warning shall state the following:

WARNING: Use of this product will expose you to nicotine, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

For Covered Device Products that do not contain nicotine, but are designed for use with nicotinecontaining products, the warning shall state the following:

WARNING: Use of this product with nicotine-containing liquid will expose you to nicotine, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Device Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. To the extent that other warning statements are included on the outer packaging of a Covered Device Product, the warning required herein shall be separated from the other warnings by a line that is at least the same height as a line of text on the label. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Device Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the Covered Liquid Product being purchased prior to the authorization of or actual payment. Placement of the warning statement at the bottom of an internet webpage that offers multiple products for sale does not satisfy the requirements of this Section.

- 3.3. Optional Additional Injunctive Provisions. In order for Settling Defendant to be eligible for a waiver of the additional penalty/payment in lieu of penalty payments set forth in Section 4.1.5 below, Settling Defendant shall undertake the additional actions below. A Settling Defendant opting to be bound by this Section must provide CEH with a written election.
- **3.3.1. Product Safety Requirements.** A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.3 shall make the following changes to the Covered Products to increase the safety of such products:

	3.3.1.1.	Covered Liquid Products.	Within 90 days following the
Effective Date, all Co	overed Liquid P	roducts manufactured for sale	in California shall be
manufactured with ch	nild proof caps.		

- 3.3.1.2. Covered Device Products. Within 90 days following the Effective Date, all Covered Device Products manufactured for sale in California shall be manufactured with voltage settings not to exceed 4.0 volts.
- 3.3.2. Prohibition on Sales and Advertising to Minors. A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.3 shall not sell Covered Products to persons younger than 18 years of age and shall take reasonable steps to prevent the sale of Covered Products to such persons, including, but not limited to the following measures:
- 3.3.2.1. Settling Defendant shall implement one or more systems for checking the age of persons who purchase Covered Products on the Internet or in person. The system shall include age verification by requiring and checking an official government identification card or verifying through a reputable credit agency the age of anyone who purchases Covered Products on the Internet, or of anyone under twenty-six (26) years old who purchases in person. The system shall be put into place within 90 days of the Effective Date.
- **3.3.2.2.** Settling Defendant shall not sell flavored cartridges or any substance to use with Covered Products or other e-cigarettes that are targeted to appeal to minors including, but not limited to, cereal, fruit and candy flavors.
- 3.3.2.3. Settling Defendant shall not use advertisements that target minors. Specifically, Defendant will not use models or images of people that appear to be younger than twenty-eight (28) years of age, cartoons, art, fashion, or music that is intended and designed to appeal to people under the legal smoking age in advertisements or promotional materials that appear in California, including on the Internet. Additionally, Settling Defendant will not: (a) advertise in any media that has more than 25% under 18 readership; (b) utilize any form of outdoor advertising within 1000 feet of any school or playground; (c) advertise using

Instagram; and (d) sponsor any athletic, musical or other cultural events unless such events are designated as prohibiting patrons under the age of 18.

3.3.3. Prohibition on Health and Safety Claims. A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.3 shall not make health and or safety claims unless such claims have been reviewed and approved by the Federal Food and Drug Administration. Examples of prohibited claims include the following:

3.3.3.1. Settling Defendant shall not advertise Covered Products as smoking-cessation devices. This prohibition includes any claims or testimonials about quitting smoking, using e-cigarettes as a treatment for tobacco dependence or addiction.

3.3.3.2. Settling Defendant shall not make any claim that the Covered Products do not contain carcinogens or are better or safer than tobacco.

3.3.3.3. Settling Defendant shall not make any claim that the Covered Products produce no second hand smoke.

4. PAYMENTS

- **4.1.** Settling Defendant shall initially pay to CEH the total sum of \$20,000, which shall be allocated as follows:
- **4.1.1.** \$570 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- 4.1.2. \$855 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to

Document Prepared

ON RECYCLED PAPER

educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

- **4.1.3.** \$18,575 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This amount shall be divided into two checks: (1) a check for \$16,575 shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group; and (2) a check for \$2,000 shall be made payable to CEH.
- **4.1.4.** The payments required under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.3 shall be made in three (4) separate checks as described above, all to be delivered within ten (10) days following the Effective Date. All checks shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8.1.2.
- **4.1.5.** In the event that Settling Defendant elects to not certify its compliance with Section 3.3 in accordance with that Section, within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant must make an additional payment of \$11,000, which shall be paid in two separate checks, each payable to the Center for Environmental Health, to be allocated as follows:
- **4.1.5.1.** \$4,400 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- 4.1.5.2. \$6,600 shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

9

11

12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT 6.

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE 7.

7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to nicotine in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

- 7.2. CEH, for itself, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged in the Complaint against Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to nicotine in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and the Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about nicotine in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

8. PROVISION OF NOTICE

- **8.1.** When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:
- **8.1.1.** Notices to Settling Defendant. The persons for Settling Defendant to receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

Liz McNulty Archer Norris, LLP 4695 MacArthur Court, Suite 350 Newport Beach, CA 92660 emcnulty@archernorris.com

8.1.2. Notices to Plaintiff. The persons for CEH to receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

Mark Todzo Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com

8.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Parties notice by first class and electronic mail.

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1. This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
- If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or 9.2. effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Settling Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 11.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Settling Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- 11.4. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	SAFFIRE VAPOR, INC.	
2		
3.	1. A.S.C.	
4	Signature	
5		
6	Robert E Arnold	
7	Printed Name	nan-
8		
9	CEO	
10	Title	
11		
12		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
14		
15	Dated: MTOVOX NO 2015	GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.
16	Dated: 00000 00 , 2015	GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR. Judge of the Superior Court
16 17	Dated: OCHONON Olo , 2015	
16 17 18	Dated: OCHOON NO , 2015	
16 17	Dated: OUTOWO V Olo , 2015	
16 17 18 19	Dated: OUTOWO NO., 2015	
16 17 18 19 20	Dated: OCHOON NO , 2015	
16 17 18 19 20 21	Dated: Outdoor Olo, 2015	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated: Outdoor OQ, 2015	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated: Ochowo No., 2015	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated: Othoward Olo, 2015	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated: Outdoor OQ, 2015	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Dated: Ochowo No., 2015	