State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing Supplemen	tal Filing Corrected Filing	
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT Galderma Laboratori		The state of the s	
SE :O	COURT DOCKET NUMBER CIV 1504055		COURTNAME Marin County Superio	r Court
CASE	SHORT CASE NAME Shefa LMV LLC v. Ga	lderma Laboratori	es LP	
REPORT INFO	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$16,000.00 DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT 6 /16/2016 COPY OF	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES \$22,000.00 ISJUDGMENT PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT? Yes No JUDGMENT MUST BE	PAYMENT: OTHER O IFYES, DATE SETTLEMENT WAS REPORTED TO ATTORNEY GENERAL ATTACHED	For Internal Use Only
	NAMEOFCONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum	, Esq.		
FILER	ORGANIZATION Law Office of Danie:	l Greenbaum	TELEF (81	PHONE NUMBER .8) 809-2199
	ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave	e., Suite 320	FAXN (42	UMBER 243-7689
	CITY Van Nuys	CA 9 1406	E-MAIL ADDRESS dgreenbaum@greenbaum	nlawfirm.com

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

COUNTY	AUG 05 2016 JAMES M. KIM, Court Executive Officer MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT By: J. Berg, Deputy
SHEFA LMV, LLC., Plaintiff, vs. GALDERMA LABORATORIES LP; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No. CIV 1504055 [PROPOSED] MODIFIED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO GALDERMA LABORATORIES LP Action Filed: Nov. 6, 2015
	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC DENTONS US LLP Gary Roberts, Esq. (SBN 132452) Sarah Choi, Esq. (SBN 222261) 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500 Los Angeles, CA 90017 gary.roberts@dentons.com sarah.choi@dentons.com Attorneys for Defendant GALDERMA LABO SUPERIOR COURT OF TI COUNTY UNLIMITED CIV SHEFA LMV, LLC., Plaintiff, vs. GALDERMA LABORATORIES LP; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants.

WHEREAS Plaintiff has issued a Notice and filed a Complaint against Settling

Defendant regarding the presence of benzophenone in Covered Products, as further described in this Consent Judgment; and

WHEREAS the Parties acknowledge that the Notice to Settling Defendant was intended to cover all of Settling Defendant's Covered Products; and

WHEREAS the Parties, therefore, wish to resolve all Proposition 65 claims regarding benzophenone in Settling Defendant's products that are labeled as having Sun Protection Factor Value, they hereby agree as follows:

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff
Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa" or "Plaintiff") and Defendant Galderma Laboratories, LP ("Settling
Defendant"), with Shefa and Settling Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and
individually as a "Party."

1.2 Plaintiff

Shefa is a California Limited Liability Company that seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products. Shefa alleges Settling Defendant employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.3 Defendant and Listed Chemical

Settling Defendant manufactures, and/or distributes, and/or sells sunscreen products that are labeled as having a Sun Protection Factor Value ("SPF Products"). (See 21 C.F.R. § 352.3). One ingredient used in such products to enhance their ability to provide protection from the sun is octocrylene, an active ingredient approved for use in sunscreens by the Federal Food & Drug Administration ("FDA"). (See 76 Fed. Reg. 35620; 21 C.F.R. §§ 352.10, 352.20 (stayed)).

Octocrylene can at times contain benzophenone. Benzophenone (CAS # 119-61-9) is a chemical listed under The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq.* (commonly known as "**Proposition 65**") as a chemical "known to the state to cause cancer" as Proposition 65 defines that phrase. 27 CCR § 25000.

1.4 Products Covered

This Consent Judgment covers and applies to all SPF Products that are manufactured and/or distributed for sale in California and/or sold in California and that contain benzophenone. All sizes, types, brands, packaging, formulations, delivery forms (e.g., sprays or lotions applied by hand), intended uses (e.g., "faces," children's products, "sport," "moisturizing," cosmetic purposes) are included. Examples of the products subject to this Consent Judgment are Cetaphil w/SPF, including but not limited to Cetaphil w/SPF 15. The products described in this Section 1.4 shall be referred to herein as "Covered Products". The Parties agree that the Notices to Settling Defendant covers all of Settling Defendant's Covered Products. This Consent Judgment, and all of its terms, applies to all Covered Products, including without limitation new products and brands introduced, developed, or acquired in the future by Settling Defendant which would today meet the definition of Covered Products if they currently were being manufactured or distributed for sale, or being sold, in California. The term Covered Product, as used hereafter in this Consent Judgment, includes such future products and brands.

1.5 General Allegations

Plaintiff alleges in the Complaint that Settling Defendant manufactured, and/or distributed for sale in California, and/or sold in California, Covered Products containing benzophenone without "a clear and reasonable warning" as Proposition 65 defines that phrase, and continues to do so. Plaintiff asserts this settlement is necessary to assure compliance with Proposition 65 now and in the future and to settle Plaintiff's alleged claims.

1.6 Notice of Violation

On April 15, 2015, Plaintiff served Settling Defendant and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"), alleging that Settling Defendant was in

violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers in California that its sunscreen products exposed users to benzophenone. On June 10, 2016, Plaintiff served Settling Defendant and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Supplemental Notice"), alleging that Settling Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers in California that its sunscreen products exposed users to benzophenone. The Supplemental Notice, served less than sixty days prior to execution of this Consent Judgment, was served so as to clarify and better define the scope of Covered Products. The Notice and the Supplemental Notice are attached hereto as Exhibit A. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On September 10, 2015 Plaintiff filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin against Concept II Cosmetics, LLC and DOES 1-150, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on exposures to benzophenone contained in sunscreen products sold by Settling Defendant in the State of California. The complaint shall be deemed amended by this Consent Judgment to include the allegations set forth in certain supplemental notices, and the term "Covered Products" shall be deemed to include any and all products identified in those supplemental notices that were not subject to an earlier notice, on the day that the sixty-day notice period has passed if no authorized public prosecutor has, prior to that date, filed a Proposition 65 enforcement action with respect to the allegations in the Supplemental Notices.

On November 6, 2015, Shefa filed the present action in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin, *Shefa LMV*, *LLC v. Galderma Laboratories*, *LP*, et al., Marin Superior Court Case No. CIV 1504055 alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to benzophenone contained in sunscreen products sold in the State of California. The complaint shall be deemed amended by this Consent Judgment to include the allegations set forth in the Supplemental Notice, and the term "Covered Products" shall be

deemed to include any and all products identified in the Supplemental Notice that were not subject to an earlier notice, on the day that the sixty-day notice period has passed if no authorized public prosecutor has, prior to that date, filed a Proposition 65 enforcement action with respect to the allegations in the Supplemental Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Settling Defendant denies all the respective material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint. Settling Defendant maintains that all of its Covered Products have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission against interest by Settling Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission against interest by Settling Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Settling Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent-Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, Settling Defendant agrees that it employs or has employed ten or more persons during time periods relevant to the Complaint, and that this Court has jurisdiction over the Parties to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that Plaintiff serves notice on Settling Defendant that this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION STANDARD; NOTIFICATION</u>

2.1 Reformulation Standard

- (a) Whereas, Settling Defendant, based on inquiry for purposes of this Consent Judgment, has not identified any ingredient in its Covered Products other than octocrylene that is a source of detectable benzophenone in such Covered Products. Further, based upon inquiry for purposes of this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant represents that it has investigated and concluded that there are only a few major suppliers of octocrylene for the domestic market and that time and phasing is needed for the marketplace of octocrylene suppliers to make the adjustments necessary to deliver octocrylene with benzophenone meeting the Octocrylene Reformulation Standards.
- (b) As of June 1, 2018, Settling Defendant shall only manufacture, or cause to be manufactured, either Covered Products containing no more than (i) 50 parts per million ("ppm") benzophenone in the finished Covered Products; or (ii) 500 ppm of benzophenone in the ingredient octocrylene used in the finished Covered Products. These first standards are interim standards.
- (c) As of June 1, 2020, Settling Defendant shall only manufacture or cause to be manufactured, either Covered Products containing no more than (i) 35 ppm benzophenone in the finished Covered Product; or (ii) 350 ppm of benzophenone in the ingredient octocrylene used in the finished Covered Products. These second standards are the "Final Reformulation Standards."
- (d) The dates and reformulations of the Covered Products as listed in Section 2.1 (b) and (c) shall be referred to collectively as the "Reformulation Standards," consisting of either the Sections 2.1 (b)(i) and (c)(i) (the "Finished Product Reformulation Standards") or Sections 2.1 (b)(ii) and (c)(ii) (the "Octocrylene Reformulation Standards"). Settling Defendant may at any time, at its own election, comply with either, both, or any combination of the applicable Finished Product Reformulation Standard or the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard with respect to any Covered Product.

(e) The Reformulation Standards shall apply to Covered Products which are manufactured by or on behalf of Settling Defendant on or after the applicable Reformulation Standard dates.

2.2. Notifications

Settling Defendant shall provide, no later than fourteen (14) days after the Effective Date, written notice (the "Octocrylene Supplier Letter") to its current respective octocrylene supplier or suppliers, informing said supplier or suppliers of the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard and urging each supplier to use reasonable efforts to provide expeditiously only octocrylene which complies with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standards. Settling Defendant shall not include statements in the Octocrylene Supplier Letter that will encourage a supplier to delay compliance with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standards. Settling Defendant shall include a statement in its Octocrylene Supplier Letter requesting that its supplier use any and all commercially reasonable efforts to achieve an Octocrylene Reformulation Standard of 200 ppm by June 1, 2020.

2.3 Compliance with Reformulation Standard

- (a) Should Settling Defendant elect to meet the Finished Product Reformulation Standard, it may, at its option, either (i) test the Covered Product pursuant to a scientifically appropriate application of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A, 8270C, or any other scientifically appropriate methodology for determining the benzophenone content in a substance of the form of the specific Covered Product being tested, or (ii) may use the appropriate mathematical calculation based on octocrylene percentage in the Covered Product and the benzophenone concentration in the lot of octocrylene used in the finished Covered Product, based either on testing of the octocrylene lot or on a certificate of analysis documenting benzophenone content from the octocrylene supplier (the "Certificate of Analysis") at Settling Defendant's option.
- (b) Should Settling Defendant elect to meet the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, it shall obtain a Certificate of Analysis or analytical testing report for each lot of octocrylene

used in the manufacture of Covered Products. If, after Settling Defendant has advised its octocrylene suppliers to include a Certificate of Analysis with each lot of delivered octocrylene, an octocrylene supplier fails to include a Certificate of Analysis, Settling Defendant may correct the lapse upon discovery.

- (c) Settling Defendant may, absent grounds to question the accuracy, demonstrate compliance with either Reformulation Standard by relying in good faith on an octocrylene supplier's Certificate of Analysis or comparable verified quantitative benzophenone content information. Such good faith reliance establishes compliance with the Reformulation Standards. Octocrylene suppliers shall rely on any scientifically appropriate testing methodology for determining the benzophenone content of octocrylene.
- (d) Settling Defendant shall retain compliance documentation for three years after delivery of a lot of octorrylene and compliance documentation shall be made available within 30 days of a written request by Plaintiff, who may make no more than two such requests annually.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), Settling Defendant shall pay initial civil penalties of \$16,000.00 and, if applicable, final civil penalties in the amounts identified in Section 15.1. Settling Defendant shall issue two (2) separate checks for the total amount of \$16,000.00 penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of \$12,000.00, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Shefa LMV, LLC in the amount of \$4,000.00, representing 25% of the total penalty. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to: Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn.: Mike Gyurics, Fiscal Operations Branch Chief, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010. The payment to Shefa shall be delivered to: Shefa LMV, LLC c/o Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum, 7120

Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406.

3.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty. Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date Settling Defendant shall issue checks in the amounts identified in 3.1 as the Initial Civil Penalty.

3.1.2 Final Civil Penalty. On or before June 30, 2018 Settling Defendant shall pay a final civil penalty (the "Final Civil Penalty") in the amount of \$16,000.00. However, the Final Civil Penalty shall be waived in its entirety if Settling Defendant certifies that all Covered Products subject to this Consent Judgment manufactured by or on behalf of that Settling Defendant on or after June 1, 2018 meets a Final Reformulation Standard. A responsible official with personal knowledge, after due inquiry, of Settling Defendant that has exercised this election shall provide Plaintiff with a written certification confirming compliance with the above conditions on or before June 15, 2018.

3.2 Reimbursement of Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Shefa and its counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Settling Defendant expressed a desire to resolve Shefa's fees and costs. Settling Defendant agrees to pay Shefa and its counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement, including without limitation the fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Settling Defendant's attention, negotiating a settlement, and seeking court approval of the same. Settling Defendant agrees to pay the amount of fees and costs of \$22,000.00 within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date. Payment shall be delivered to Daniel N. Greenbaum, Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum, 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406.

4. **CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED**

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

4.1 Plaintiff's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the Complaint arising out of Settling Defendant's alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for exposures to benzophenone in its Covered Products. Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Settling Defendant and its respective parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under (full or partial) common ownership, manufacturers, suppliers and the directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and predecessors, successors or assigns of each of them ("Releasees") and each entity to whom Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees, and including, without limitation, and including any and all subsidiaries, parents, marketplace retailers and/or affiliates of the foregoing retailers (collectively, the "Distribution Chain Releasees") for violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to benzophenone from the Covered Products by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date. Plaintiff's release of claims applies to all Covered Products which Settling Defendant (or its manufacturer) either manufactured, and/or distributed and/or sold prior to the Effective Date, regardless of the date any person distributes or sells the subject Covered Products.

Upon entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, going forward, Settling Defendant's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to benzophenone in that Settling Defendant's prior, current and future Covered Products.

4.2 Plaintiff's Individual Release of Claims

Plaintiff, in its individual capacity only and *not* in its representative capacity, also provides a release to Settling Defendant, Releasees, and Distribution Chain Releasees, which release shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes

of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Plaintiff of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to benzophenone in Settling Defendant's Covered Products prior to the Effective Date.

4.3 Settling Defendant's Release of Shefa

Settling Defendant, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products up through the Effective Date.

4.4 Release and Dismissal of Retailer Defendant

This Consent Judgment provides a "downstream" release which resolves all claims in the Complaint for all Covered Products manufactured by, or on behalf of, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant. Any retailer who has been named in the Complaint (a "Retailer Defendant") due to its sale of one or more such Covered Products shall be dismissed without prejudice unless, prior to the date this Consent Judgment was lodged, that Retailer Defendant had also received a Notice that identified an exemplar product not manufactured or supplied by either Settling Defendant or an entity that has previously resolved Plaintiff's claims with a downstream release.

5. FORCE MAJEURE

In the event that it is not feasible for Settling Defendant to obtain conforming octocrylene necessary so as to comply with any Reformulation Standard due to an Act of God (including fire, flood, earthquake, storm, hurricane or other natural disaster) or loss of adequate supplier ability to supply octocrylene on an uninterrupted basis compliant with the applicable Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, the provisions of this paragraph will dictate whether the applicable dates for meeting the Reformulation Standards shall be extended. The criteria for determining

7

whether it is feasible to obtain conforming octocrylene shall include the following factors: availability and reliability of supply that meets the applicable Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, cost of such conforming octocrylene and resulting increase in manufacturers' prices resulting from the use of conforming octocrylene, performance characteristics of conforming octocrylene and of the resulting Covered Products, including but not limited to formulation, performance, safety, efficacy, consumer acceptance, and stability.

Settling Defendant shall provide notice to Plaintiff and to JAMS mediator Judge James Warren, or if he is not available, another mediator from JAMS mutually agreed to by the Parties or, if necessary, as referred by the Court. Included in the notice shall be the specific reason or reasons for invoking the Force Majeure clause, along with a reasonable estimate of the time period during which Settling Defendant will be unable to comply with the applicable Reformulation Standard. During the time invoked by Settling Defendant, the Reformulation Standard shall be revised to 100 ppm for the Finished Product Reformulation Standard and 1,000 ppm for the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard.

If the Parties disagree as to whether Settling Defendant has a valid reason to invoke the Force Majeure clause or disagree as to the length of time necessary for Settling Defendant to comply with the Reformulation Standard, they shall attempt to resolve their differences through one or more sessions with Judge Warren, or if he is not available, another mediator from JAMS mutually agreed to by the Parties or, if necessary, as referred by the Court. Shefa's reasonable fees and costs of the mediation sessions under this Section shall be borne solely by the participating Settling Defendant unless otherwise allocated by Judge Warren or other mediator from JAMS, who shall consider whether mediation was necessary and/or whether a Party asserted unreasonable or extreme positions. If the Parties cannot reach resolution via a meet and confer or the JAMS process, an aggrieved Party may move the Court via a noticed motion on all Parties, with a copy to the Office of the Attorney General, for such additional relief as that Party deems necessary.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree in writing.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be void or unenforceable, or the Parties agree to modify any terms due to input from the Office of the Attorney General or after a hearing before the Court in connection with Shefa's Motion to Approve, or for other good cause, each Party to be bound by any such modified terms must re-execute the modified Consent Judgment and such modified Consent Judgment then shall be presented to the Court for approval by Shefa; provided, however, that if a provision of this Consent Judgment declared void or unenforceable is material to the Party for whom such term provided a benefit or protection, that Party can seek other remedies, including, without limitation, rescission or reformation, based on the provision being declared void or unenforceable.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, including without limitation the delisting of benzophenone, then Settling Defendant may provide written notice to Plaintiff of any asserted change in the law, and with the exception of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 above, have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. None of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall have any application to Covered Products sold outside of the State of California.

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

9. FUTURE FEDERAL REGULATION OF OCTOCRYLENE OR

BENZOPHENONE

If FDA adopts new regulations or Congress enacts new laws governing octocrylene and/or benzophenone content in any Covered Products, then the Parties shall meet and confer regarding the effect of such changes in the law on the obligations of this Consent Judgment. If necessary to reach agreement, the Parties may refer any specific issue for consideration by Judge Warren or other JAMS mediator agreed to by the Parties or, if necessary, as appointed by the Court.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if FDA authorizes the percentage of octorrylene to increase above the current limit of 10% in Covered Products, then this Consent Judgment shall by operation of law be amended to allow benzophenone in finished Covered Products to rise in proportion to the percentage increase. Settling Defendant shall notify Plaintiff of the date this Section operates to change any Finished Product Reformulation Standard. This notice obligation shall sunset on June 1, 2023. Even if FDA changes the level of permissible octocrylene prior to June 1, 2018, the civil penalty provisions of Section 3.1.2 shall apply as written, not to any standards as modified by this Section 9. Settling Defendant represent that other than as described in the proceedings and papers referenced in their briefs in these consolidated cases, they are not aware that the FDA currently has published or made public plans to raise the allowable levels of octorrylene in the Covered Products.

10. **NOTICE**

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) overnight courier on any party by the other at the following addresses:

15. <u>ENFORCEMENT</u>

15.1 Settling Defendant

In order to assert a potential violation of the Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall provide notice to Settling Defendant as set forth in this paragraph ("Notice of Breach"): (a) Plaintiff shall provide all results of testing conducted on a specific Covered Product during the three month period for which the violation is alleged; (b) such testing must be of no less than five (5) of the same Covered Product (irrespective of the volume size of the container) collected within the three (3) month period, from five different retail vendors; (c) the average of all test results for that period exceed the Finished Product Reformulation Standard; and (d) Plaintiff shall provide the alleged violator a copy of (i) the purchase information for the allegedly violating Covered Product and (ii) a digital image of the allegedly violating Covered Product showing the SKU/UPC and, if present on the container, the Lot/Batch number(s).

Settling Defendant and Plaintiff shall, within thirty (30) days of receipt of the Notice of Breach, meet and confer regarding the alleged violation, during which time Plaintiff shall not file any motion, application, action, or pleading regarding the alleged violation.

For the first alleged violation as to any specific Covered Product for which Plaintiff provides Notice of Breach, Settling Defendant may demonstrate compliance by providing (1) a Certificate of Analysis or comparable verified quantitative benzophenone content information for five (5) units of the Covered Product or for the lot(s) of octocrylene from the supplier(s) of the octocrylene in the Covered Product at issue showing levels of benzophenone meeting the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, or (2) a prior test result, using scientifically appropriate test methodologies, of the lot(s) of octocrylene used in the finished product which is the subject of the Notice of Breach, showing levels of benzophenone meeting the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard. If Settling Defendant cannot demonstrate compliance, it must pay a stipulated civil penalty of \$25,000 to be allocated according to Section 3.1.

In the event that, thereafter, Plaintiff provides a Notice of Breach pertaining to a second alleged violation for the same Covered Product, he must do so in accordance with this Section.

Retailer Defendant 15.2

of \$50,000 for each such second or subsequent violation.

If Plaintiff sends a Notice of Breach to a Retailer Defendant, that Retailer Defendant shall be allowed to tender such notice to the manufacturer, distributor or seller of the subject Covered Product who is Settling Defendant. Thereafter, Plaintiff shall proceed with such Settling Defendant in accordance with Section 15.1 in lieu of the Retailer Defendant.

16. **AUTHORIZATION**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

Date: 6/15/16 By: Shefa LMV, LLC Date: 6-14-16 By: GALDERMA LABORATORIES LE	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
	By: hund blacket	Date.

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Galderma Laboratories LP, the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein.

Judge of the Superior Court

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION

SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)

DATE: April 15, 2015

To: Amazon.com, Inc.; Drugstore.com; Pro Concepts; Sun Bum LLC; Galderma Laboratories, LP; Australian Gold, Inc.; Panama Jack, Inc.; S&G Hampton Sun, LLC; Child & Associates; Walgreens Co.

California Attorney General's Office;

District Attorney's Office for 58 Counties; and City Attorney's Office for Los Angeles, San Diego, San Jose and San Francisco.

From: Shefa LMV, LLC

I. INTRODUCTION

Our name is Shefa LMV, LLC. We are citizens and a Limited Liability Company of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. We seek to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and, if possible, to improve public health and safety by reducing the hazardous substances contained in such items. This Notice is provided to the parties listed above pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). The violations alleged by this Notice consist of product exposures, routes of exposures, and types of harm that may potentially result from exposures to the toxic chemical ("listed chemical") identified below:

Product Exposure:

See Section VII, Exhibit A

Listed Chemical:

Benzophenone;

Routes of Exposure:

Dermal absorption;

Ingestion; and Inhalation

Types of Harm:

Carcinogen

II. NATURE OF ALLEGED VIOLATION (PRODUCT EXPOSURE)

The specific type of product that is causing consumer and occupational exposures in violation of Proposition 65, and which is the subject of this Notice, is listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit A in Section VII below. All products within the type covered by this Notice shall be referred to hereinafter as the "products." The sales of these products in California dating at least as far back as **June 23, 2013** are subject to this Notice. As a result of the sales of these products, exposures to the listed chemical have been occurring without clear and responsible warnings as required by Proposition 65. Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the listed chemical, resulting from contact with the products from dermal and ingestion exposure as well as inhalation, California citizenry lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the toxic chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the products.

Through the act of buying, acquiring and using the products, citizens of California are exposed to the listed chemical in homes, workplaces and elsewhere throughout California where these products are

their children. Exposure to consumers includes, but is not limited to, when handling the product Benzophenone is absorbed through dermal, and other migration pathways, including but not limited to incidental ingestion after one touch's the chemical and then touch's food or otherwise places his hands on or near his lips where his tongue can or will continue this pathway to ingestion exposure. Exposure may continue to occur for a significant period after the initial contact. People likely to be exposed are women, men, infants and children. These violations and threatened violations pertain to Proposition 65 chemicals that are listed by the state as known to cause cancer.

III. CONTACT INFORMATION

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to us through our counsel's offices at the following address:

Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406

Main: (818) 809-2199 Cell: (310) 200-2631 Fax: (424) 243-7689

Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com

IV. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For general information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900. For the alleged Violator(s), I have attached a copy of "Proposition 65 in Plain Language" which has been prepared by OEHHA.

V. RESOLUTION OF NOTICED CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, we intend to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit against the alleged Violator(s), unless such Violator enters into a binding written agreement to: (1) recall products already sold or undertake best efforts to ensure that the requisite health hazard warnings are provided to those whom have received such products; (2) provide clear and reasonable warnings for products sold in the future, or reformulate such products to eliminate the Benzophenone exposures.

If the alleged Violator is interested in resolving this dispute without resorting to time-consuming and expensive litigation, please feel free to contact my counsel identified in Section III. It should be noted that neither my counsel nor I can: (1) finalize any settlement until after the 60-day Notice period elapses; nor (2) speak for the Attorney General or any District Attorney or City Attorney who received this Notice. Therefore, while reaching an agreement that will resolve my claims, such an agreement may not be approved by the Attorney General after referral to them, or by the court as the last voice on settlements.

VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Product

Sunscreen; Face Soap

Retailer(s)

Amazon.com; Drugstore.com; Pro Concepts

Manufacturer(s)/Distributor(s)

Sun Bum LLC; Galderma Laboratories, LP; Australian Gold, Inc.; Panama Jack, Inc.; S&G Hampton Sun, LLC; Child & Associates; Walgreens Co.

VII. EXHIBIT A

Identified below are named products which contain the alleged chemicals at issue, and this reference is supportive of the material facts that are a part of my counsels Certificate of Merit that is attached hereto as being available for purchase or use in California that is within the category or type of offending product covered by this Notice. Based on publicly available information, the retailers, distributors and/or manufacturers of the example within the category or type of product are also provided below. I believe and allege that the sale of the offending products also has occurred without the requisite Proposition 65 "clear and reasonable warnings" at one or more locations and/or via other means including, but not limited to, transactions made over-the-counter, business to business, through the internet, and/or via catalog by the alleged Violator(s) and other distributors and retailers of the product.

Product Category	Specific Product	UPC	Sold by	Manufacturer/Distributor
Sunscreen	Sun Bum SPF 70	871760002098	Pro Concepts/Amazon	Sun Bum, LLC
Face Soap	Cetaphil w/ SPF 15	302993928041	Drugstore.com	Galderma Laboratories, L.P.
Sunscreen	Australian Gold SPF 50+ Continuous Spray Sport	054402260463	Amazon.com	Australian Gold, Inc.
Sunscreen	Panama Jack SPF 70 continuous spray	045336041709	Drugstore.com	Panama Jack, Inc.
Sunscreen	Hampton Sun Broad Spectrum SPF 35	184573000398	Drugstore.com	S & G Hampton Sun LLC.
Sunscreen	Maui Mike's Hawaiian Sunstick SPF 30	025301500189	Drugstore.com	Childs & Associates
Sunscreen	Walgreens Sunscreen Stick	049022764989	Drugstore.com	Walgreens Co.

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case or action. My business address is: 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406

A True and Correct copy of the documents entitled 60 DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY will be served or was served in the manner stated below:

I. <u>Interested Parties (Served via Certified Mail)</u>: On April 15, 2015, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows:

Amazon.com, Inc.	ATTN: CEO or President	410 Terry Avenue N, Seattle, WA 98109
Corporation Service Company	c/o Amazon.com, Inc.	300 Deschutes Way SW, Suite 304, Seattle, WA 98501
Drugstore.com	ATTN: CEO or President	12835 Old Virginia Rd., Reno, NV 89521
Sun Bum, LLC	ATTN: CEO or President	5421 Avenida Encinas Ste J, Carlsbad, CA 92008-4410
Sun Bum	ATTN: CEO or President	P.O. Box 320598, Cocoa Beach FL 32932
Sun Bum LLC	ATTN: Dustin Smith	101 N. ORLANDO AVE., COCOA BEACH, FL 32931
Sun Bum LLC	ATTN: CEO or President	4940 Cascade Road, Suite # 210, Grand Rapids, MI 49546
CT Corporation System	Sun Bum Suncare LLC	967 Spaulding Ave., Suite B, Ada MI 49301
Galderma Laboratories, L.P.	ATTN: Quentin Cassady	14501 North Freeway, Fort Worth, TX 76177
Galderma Laboratories, L.P.	ATTN: CEO or President	14501 North Freeway, Fort Worth, TX 76177
Australian Gold	ATTN: CEO or President	6270 Corporate Dr., Indianapolis, IN 46278-2921
Corporation Service Company	c/o Australian Gold	251 E. Ohio Street, Suite 500, Indianapolis IN 46204
Panama Jack, Inc.	ATTN: CEO or President	230 Ernestine St., Orlando, FL 32801-3622
Panama Jack, Inc.	ATTN: Jack Katz	230 Ernestine St., Orlando, FL 32801-3622
S & G HamptonSun LLC.	ATTN: CEO or President	123 W 18th St Fl 8, New York, NY 10011-4127
National Registered Agents	c/o S&G HamptonSun	111 Eighth Ave., New York NY 11011
Childs & Associates	ATTN: CEO or President	Po Box 3595, San Rafael, CA 94902
Childs & Associates	ATTN: Barry H. Lawrence	C/O KAYE, SCHOLER ET AL, 1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS, #1600, LOS ANGELES, CA 90067
Walgreens Co.	ATTN: CEO or President	108 Wilmot Rd., Deerfield IL, 60015-4681
Illinois Corporation Service	c/o Walgreens	801 Adlai Stevenson Dr., Springfield IL 62703

- II. <u>California Attorney General (via website Portal):</u> On April 15, 2015, I uploaded a true and correct copy thereof as a PDF file via the California Attorney General's website.
- III. <u>District and City Attorneys (via U.S. Mail)</u>: On April 15, 2015, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States Mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows See attached pages for full service list

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

April 15, 2015

Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.

Date

Printed Name

Signature

District Attorney ALAMEDA COUNTY 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney
ALPINE COUNTY
PO Box 248
Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney AMADOR COUNTY 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney
BUTTE COUNTY
25 County Center Drive —
Administration Building
Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney CALAVERAS COUNTY 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney
COLUSA COUNTY
346 5th Street, Suite. 101
Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney CONTRA COSTA COUNTY 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney
DEL NORTE COUNTY
450 H Street, Room 171
Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney
EL DORADO COUNTY
515 Main Street
Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney FRESNO COUNTY 2220 Tulare Street, Suite. 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney GLENN COUNTY PO Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney HUMBOLDT COUNTY 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney
IMPERIAL COUNTY
940 West Main Street, Suite. 102
El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney INYO COUNTY 168 North Edwards Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney KERN COUNTY 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney KINGS COUNTY 1400 West Lacey Blvd. Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney LAKE COUNTY 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney LASSEN COUNTY 220 S. Lassen Street, Suite. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney LOS ANGELES COUNTY 210 W. Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney
MADERA COUNTY
209 West Yosemite Avenue
Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney
MARIN COUNTY
3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130
San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney MARIPOSA COUNTY PO BOX 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney MENDOCINO COUNTY PO BOX 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney MERCED COUNTY 550 West Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney MODOC COUNTY 204 S. Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101

District Attorney MONO COUNTY PO BOX 2053 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546 District Attorney MONTEREY COUNTY PO BOX 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney NAPA COUNTY PO BOX 720 Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney NEVADA COUNTY 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney
ORANGE COUNTY
401 Civic Center Drive West
Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney
PLACER COUNTY
10810 Justice Center Drive
Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney
PLUMAS COUNTY
520 Main Street, Room 404
Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney RIVERSIDE COUNTY 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney SACRAMENTO COUNTY 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95812

District Attorney SAN BENITO COUNTY 419 4th Street Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
303 W. Third Street
San Bernardino, CA 92415

District Attorney SAN DIEGO COUNTY 330 W. Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY 880 Bryant Street, Third Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
PO BOX 990
Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY Courthouse Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney SAN MATEO COUNTY 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney SANTA BARBARA COUNTY 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney
SANTA CLARA COUNTY
70 West Hedding Street, West Wing
San Jose, CA 95110.

District Attorney SANTA CRUZ COUNTY 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney SHASTA COUNTY 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney SIERRA COUNTY 100 Courthouse Square Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney SISKIYOU COUNTY PO BOX 986 Yreka, CA 96097 District Attorney SOLANO COUNTY 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney SONOMA COUNTY 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney STANISLAUS COUNTY 832 12th Street, Suite 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney SUTTER COUNTY 446 Second Street, Suite 102 Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney TEHAMA COUNTY PO BOX 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney TRINITY COUNTY PO BOX 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney TULARE COUNTY 221 South Mooney Blvd., Suite 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney TUOLUMNE COUNTY 423 No. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370 District Attorney VENTURA COUNTY 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney YOLO COUNTY 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney YUBA COUNTY 215 Fifth Street, Suite. 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Mike Feuer City Attorney CITY OF LOS ANGELES 200 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Jan Goldsmith City Attorney CITY OF SAN DIEGO 1200 Third Avenue, 3rd Floor San Diego, CA 92101

Richard Doyle City Attorney CITY OF SAN JOSE 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

Dennis J. Herrera City Attorney CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

- I, Daniel N. Greenbaum, hereby declare:
- (1) This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notices have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- (2) I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- (3) I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- (4) Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- (5) The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

April 15, 2015	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.	Inilan
Date	Printed Name	Signature

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION

SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)

This 60-Day Notice of Violation Supplements the following 60-Day Notices of Violation:

AG#: 2016-00468
 AG#: 2015-00317

DATE: June 10, 2016

To: The Estée Lauder Companies Inc.; Physicians Formula, Inc.; Markwins Beauty Products, Inc.; The Hain Celestial Group, Inc.; Beiersdorf, Inc.; Boots Retail USA, Inc.; Kiss My Face, LLC; Cosmetic Dermatology, Inc.; StriVectin Operating Co., Inc.; Niadyne, Inc.; Peter Thomas Roth LLC; First Aid Beauty, LLC; Galderma Laboratories LP; Origin Natural Resources, Inc.; Clinique Laboratories, Inc., and;

California Attorney General's Office; District Attorney's Office for 58 Counties; and City Attorney's Office for Los Angeles, San Diego, San Jose and San Francisco.

From: Shefa LMV, LLC

I. INTRODUCTION

We are citizens of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. We seek to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and, if possible, to improve public health and safety by reducing the hazardous substances contained in such items. This Notice is provided to the parties listed above pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). The violations alleged by this Notice consist of product exposures, routes of exposures, and types of harm that may potentially result from exposures to the toxic chemical ("listed chemical") identified below:

Product Exposure:

See Section VII, Exhibit A

Listed Chemical:

Benzophenone;

Routes of Exposure:

Dermal absorption;

Ingestion; and Inhalation

Types of Harm:

Carcinogen

II. NATURE OF ALLEGED VIOLATION (PRODUCT EXPOSURE)

The specific type of product that is causing consumer and occupational exposures in violation of Proposition 65, and which is the subject of this Notice, is listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit

A in Section VII below. All products within the type covered by this Notice shall be referred to hereinafter as the "products." The sales of these products in California dating at least as far back as **August 12, 2014** are subject to this Notice. As a result of the sales of these products, exposures to the listed chemical have been occurring without clear and responsible warnings as required by Proposition 65. Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the listed chemical, resulting from contact with the products from dermal and ingestion exposure as well as inhalation, California citizenry lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the toxic chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the products.

Through the act of buying, acquiring and using the products, citizens of California are exposed to the listed chemical in homes, workplaces and elsewhere throughout California. Exposure to consumers includes, but is not limited to, application to their bodies, their pets or their children. Benzophenone exposure to consumers includes dermal exposure when consumers handle the product (including through cuts and breaks in the skin) and other migration pathways, including but not limited to incidental ingestion after one touches the chemical and then touches food or otherwise places his hands on or near his lips where his tongue can or will continue this pathway to ingestion exposure. Exposure may continue to occur for a significant period after the initial contact. People likely to be exposed are women, men, and children. These violations and threatened violations pertain to Proposition 65 chemicals that are listed by the state as known to cause cancer.

III. CONTACT INFORMATION

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to us through our counsel's offices at the following address:

Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406

Main: (818) 809-2199 Fax: (424) 243-7689

Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com

IV. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For general information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900. For the alleged Violator(s), I have attached a copy of "Proposition 65 in Plain Language" which has been prepared by OEHHA.

V. RESOLUTION OF NOTICED CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, we intend to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit against the alleged Violator(s), unless such Violator enters into a binding written agreement to: (1) recall

products already sold or undertake best efforts to ensure that the requisite health hazard warnings are provided to those whom have received such products; (2) provide clear and reasonable warnings for products sold in the future, or reformulate such products to eliminate the Benzophenone exposures.

If the alleged Violator is interested in resolving this dispute without resorting to time-consuming and expensive litigation, please feel free to contact my counsel identified in Section III. It should be noted that neither my counsel nor I can: (1) finalize any settlement until after the 60-day Notice period elapses; nor (2) speak for the Attorney General or any District Attorney or City Attorney who received this Notice. Therefore, while reaching an agreement that will resolve my claims, such an agreement may not be approved by the Attorney General after referral to them, or by the court as the last voice on settlements.

VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Product

Retailer(s)

Manufacturer(s)/Distributor(s)

Octocrylene containing Sunscreen (products claiming a Sun Protection Factor)

The Estée Lauder Companies Inc.; Physicians Formula, Inc.; Markwins Beauty Products, Inc.; The Hain Celestial Group, Inc.; Beiersdorf, Inc.; Boots Retail USA, Inc.; Kiss My Face, LLC; Cosmetic Dermatology, Inc.; StriVectin Operating Co., Inc.; Niadyne, Inc.; Peter Thomas Roth LLC; First Aid Beauty, LLC; Galderma Laboratories LP; Origin Natural Resources, Inc.; Clinique Laboratories, Inc.,

VII. EXHIBIT A

Identified below are named products which contain the alleged chemicals at issue, and this reference is supportive of the material facts that are a part of my counsel's Certificate of Merit that is attached hereto as being available for purchase or use in California that is within the category or type of offending product covered by this Notice. Based on publicly available information, the retailers, distributors and/or manufacturers of the example within the category or type of product are also provided below. I believe and allege that the sale of the offending products also has occurred without the requisite Proposition 65 "clear and reasonable warnings" at one or more locations and/or via other means including, but not limited to, transactions made over-the-counter, business to business, through the internet, and/or via catalog by the alleged Violator(s) and other distributors and retailers of the product.

We are identifying a number of products herein for all recipients' benefit to assist in investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposure to the listed chemical from other items within the Product Category listed in Exhibit A. It is important to note that this

example list does not represent an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of any or all specific products. Further, it is our position that the alleged Violator(s) are obligated to continue to conduct in good faith an investigation into other specific products within the type or category described below that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the alleged Violator(s)' custody or control) during the relevant period so as to ensure that the requisite toxic warnings are provided to California citizens prior to purchase.

Product Category	Specific Product	UPC	Manufacturer/Distributor
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen	·		
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection	Bobbi Brown SPF 50 Protective Face Base SPF 50		
Factor)	(E8XK)	716170106335	The Estée Lauder Companies Inc.
Octocrylene containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming	Estee Lauder Daywear Advanced Multi-Protection		
a Sun Protection	Anti-Oxidant & UV Defense Broad Spectrum SPF 30		
Factor)	Lotion (YCL3)	027131990413	The Estée Lauder Companies Inc.
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection	La Mer The Reparative Body Sun Lotion Broad		
Factor)	Spectrum SPF 30 Lotion (53ER)	747930042570	The Estée Lauder Companies Inc.
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection			
Factor)	Alba Botanica, Sea Moss Moisturizer, SPF 15	724742003128	The Hain Celestial Group, Inc.
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection			
Factor)	Alba Botanica Hawaiian Greentea SPF 45	724742008277	The Hain Celestial Group, Inc.
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection			
Factor)	Jason Sun Sport Sunscreen SPF 45	078522083207	The Hain Celestial Group, Inc.
Octocrylene			
containing		·	
Sunscreen			
(products claiming	7 7		
a Sun Protection	Physicians Formula Super CC All Over Blur Primer	04400605555	DI
Factor)	Stick	044386066649	Physicians Formula, Inc.
Octocrylene		0440050=====	
containing	Physicians Formula Wrinkle Corrector SPF 15	044386075276	Physicians Formula, Inc.

Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection			
Factor)			
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			·
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection			
Factor)	Wet n Wild Juicy Lip Balm	077802528322	Markwins Beauty Products, Inc.
Octocrylene	Wet if Wild Juley Elip Dania	077002326322	White beauty 110ddets, inc.
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection		·	
Factor)	Black Radiance BB Cream SPF 15	077802645340	Markwins Beauty Products, Inc.
	Black Radiance BB Cleam SFF 15	077802043340	Markwins Beauty Floducts, inc.
Octocrylene			
containing Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection	Vice was Ease Vide defence comes CDE 50	020267041076	Vice Mr. Food II C
Factor)	Kiss my Face Kids defense spray SPF 50	028367841975	Kiss My Face, LLC
Octocrylene			
containing Sunscreen	·		
1			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection	Nines Man Original Bustoutine I ation SBE 15	072140016202	Deiensterf I.
Factor)	Nivea Men Original Protective Lotion SPF 15	072140016302	Beiersdorf, Inc.
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection	A swamp on Droad Croatman CDE 20	072140010119	Deignsdomf Inc
Factor)	Aquaphor Broad Spectrum SPF 30	0/2140010119	Beiersdorf, Inc.
Octocrylene			
containing		·	
Sunscreen			
(products claiming	,		
a Sun Protection	Francis Daily Bratastics Dada Lating CDF 15	072140012083	D-i
Factor)	Eucerin Daily Protection Body Lotion SPF 15		Beiersdorf, Inc.
Octocrylene			
containing Sunscreen			
(products claiming a Sun Protection			
Factor)	Nivea Smoothness Hydrating Lip Care SPF 15	072140014216	Beiersdorf, Inc.
	14140g omoonmess tryataming the Care See 13	0/2140014210	Deteration, inc.
Octocrylene containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection			
Factor)	Botanics Organic Hydrating Day Cream	5000167157727	Roots Retail LISA Inc
Octocrylene	Dotanies Organie rrymaning Day Cream	-	Boots Retail USA, Inc.
containing			
Sunscreen	Dr. Brandt BB cream	663963008249	Cosmetic Dermatology, Inc.
Sunscieen	DI. DIAHUI DD CICAHI	1 003303000449	Cosmette Dermatology, Inc.

/ 4	T		T
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection			
Factor)			
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming		·	
a Sun Protection	a de la company	01.555505100	
Factor)	Strivectin CC plum SPF 20	817777007183	Strivectin Operating Co., Inc.
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			·
a Sun Protection			
Factor)	NIA24 Niacin-Powered Skin Therapy	852103000773	Niadyne, Inc.
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection	Peter Thomas Roth Max Sheer All Day Moisture		
Factor)	Defense	670367013243	Peter Thomas Roth LLC
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming	4		
a Sun Protection	'		
Factor)	Cetaphil w/ SPF 15	302993928041	Galderma Laboratories L.P.
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection			
Factor)	5 in 1 Face Cream SPF 30	851939002081	First Aid Beauty LLC
Octocrylene			
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection			
Factor)	Origins VitaZing SPF 15	717334162488	Origins Natural Resources, Inc.
Octocrylene	Oligens (Audulg St. 1. 1.)	717557102700	OABIIO Patara resources, IIIC.
containing			
Sunscreen			
(products claiming			
a Sun Protection			
1	Clinique aven better SDE 20	020714205220	Clinique I charateries Inc
Factor)	Clinique even better SPF 20	020714395230	Clinique Laboratories, Inc.

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case or action. My business address is: 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320, Van Nuys CA 91406. A True and Correct copy of the documents entitled 60 DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY will be served or was served in the manner stated below:

I. <u>Interested Parties (Served via Email):</u> On June 10, 2016, I caused to be served the following persons and/or entities via the valid email address(es) below.

The Estee Lauder Companies, Inc. / Origins Natural Resource, Inc. / Clinique Laboratories, Inc.	Alejandro Bras	ABras@mofo.com
The Hain Celestial Group, Inc.	Trenton H. Norris	Trent.Norris@aporter.com
Physicians Formula, Inc.	Trenton H. Norris	Trent.Norris@aporter.com
Markwins Beauty Products, Inc.	Trenton H. Norris	Trent.Norris@aporter.com
Kiss My Face LLC	Peg Toledo	peg@toledolawcorp.com
Beiersdorf, Inc.	Greg Sperla	sperlag@gtlaw.com
Boots Retail USA, Inc.	Greg Sperla	sperlag@gtlaw.com
Cosmetic Dermatology, Inc.	Vilma Palma-Solana	VPalma@perkinscoie.com
StriVectin Operating Co., Inc.	Jeson Kerr	jasonkerr@ppktrial.com
Niadyne, Inc.	Jason Kerr	jasonkerr@ppktrial.com
First Aid Beauty LLC / Peter Thomas Roth	Brad Scheller	bmscheller@mintz.com
Galderma Laboratories LP	Sarah Choi	sarah.choi@dentons.com

- II. <u>California Attorney General (via website Portal)</u>: On June 10, 2016, I uploaded a true and correct copy thereof as a PDF file via the California Attorney General's website.
- III. <u>District and City Attorneys (via U.S. Mail)</u>: I caused to be served on June 10, 2016 the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States Mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows: SEE BELOW
- IV. <u>District and City Attorneys (via email)</u>: On June 10, 2016, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known electronic addresses via email. The transmission was reported as sent without error.

cfepd@yolocounty.org; sgrassini@contracosta.org; Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us; epu@da.sccgov.org; CEPD@countyofnapa.org; jbarnes@sonoma-county.org; Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us;

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

June 10, 2016	Nathan Ford	N W
Date	Name	Signature

District Attorney ALAMEDA COUNTY 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney ALPINE COUNTY PO Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney AMADOR COUNTY 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney
BUTTE COUNTY
25 County Center Drive —
Administration Building
Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney CALAVERAS COUNTY 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney COLUSA COUNTY 346 5th Street, Suite. 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney
DEL NORTE COUNTY
450 H Street, Room 171
Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney
EL DORADO COUNTY
515 Main Street
Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney FRESNO COUNTY 2220 Tulare Street, Suite. 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney GLENN COUNTY PO Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney HUMBOLDT COUNTY 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney
IMPERIAL COUNTY
940 West Main Street, Suite. 102
El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney INYO COUNTY 168 North Edwards Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney KERN COUNTY 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney KINGS COUNTY 1400 West Lacey Blvd. Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney LAKE COUNTY 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney LASSEN COUNTY 220 S. Lassen Street, Suite. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney LOS ANGELES COUNTY 210 W. Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney
MADERA COUNTY
209 West Yosemite Avenue
Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney MARIN COUNTY 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney MARIPOSA COUNTY PO BOX 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney MENDOCINO COUNTY PO BOX 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney MERCED COUNTY 550 West Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney MODOC COUNTY 204 S. Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101 District Attorney MONO COUNTY PO BOX 2053 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546

District Attorney NEVADA COUNTY 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney
ORANGE COUNTY
401 Civic Center Drive West
Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney
PLACER COUNTY
10810 Justice Center Drive
Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney PLUMAS COUNTY 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney RIVERSIDE COUNTY 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney SACRAMENTO COUNTY 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95812

District Attorney SAN BENITO COUNTY 419 4th Street Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY 303 W. Third Street San Bernardino, CA 92415

District Attorney SAN DIEGO COUNTY 330 W. Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY 880 Bryant Street, Third Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY PO BOX 990 Stockton, CA 95202 District Attorney SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY Courthouse Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney SAN MATEO COUNTY 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney SANTA BARBARA COUNTY 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney SANTA CLARA COUNTY 70 West Hedding Street, West Wing San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney SHASTA COUNTY 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney SIERRA COUNTY 100 Courthouse Square Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney SISKIYOU COUNTY PO BOX 986 Yreka, CA 96097 District Attorney SOLANO COUNTY 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney SONOMA COUNTY 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney
STANISLAUS COUNTY 832 12th Street, Suite 300
Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney SUTTER COUNTY 446 Second Street, Suite 102 Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney TEHAMA COUNTY PO BOX 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney TRINITY COUNTY PO BOX 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney TUOLUMNE COUNTY 423 No. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370 District Attorney VENTURA COUNTY 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney YUBA COUNTY 215 Fifth Street, Suite. 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Mike Feuer City Attorney CITY OF LOS ANGELES 200 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Jan Goldsmith
City Attorney
CITY OF SAN DIEGO
1200 Third Avenue, 3rd Floor
San Diego, CA 92101

Richard Doyle City Attorney CITY OF SAN JOSE 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

Dennis J. Herrera City Attorney CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

- I, Daniel N. Greenbaum, hereby declare:
- (1) This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notices have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- (2) I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- (3) I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- (4) Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- (5) The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

June 10, 2016	Daniel N. Greenbaum	Smiller	
Date	Name	Signature	