# COPY



David R. Bush, State Bar No. 154511 Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221 Bush & Henry 2 3270 Mendocino Ave. #2E Santa Rosa, CA 95403 3 Telephone: (707) 541-6255 4 Facsimile: (707) 676-4301 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro NOV 2 0 2015 6 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 11 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 12 13 MICHAEL DIPIRRO, 14 Case No. RG15779692. Plaintiff. 15 FROPOSED JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO **TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65** 16 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT November 19, October & 2015 17 NEWELL RUBBERMAID INC.; SANFORD, Date: L.P.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, 18 Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept. 20 19 Judge: Hon. Robert B. Freedman Defendants. 20 Reservation No. R-1656326 21 22 23 24 25

BY FAX

26

27

28

-2

Plaintiff, Michael DiPirro, and defendants, Newell Rubbermaid Inc. and Sanford, L.P., having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a Consent Judgment, and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

2 ||

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10 20, 2015

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Robert B. Freedman

David R. Bush, State Bar No. 1 Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 2 Bush & Henry 3270 Mendocino Ave. #2E Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Telephone: (707) 541-6255 Facsimile: (707) 676-4301	54511			
Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro				
	. :			. •
SUPERIO	OR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CAL	LIFORNIA	• .
	COUNTY	OF ALAMEDA		
	UNLIMITED C	IVIL JURISDICTION	J	
	•	•		
MICHAEL DIPIRRO,		Case No.		
Plaintiff,			IOPANE TUR CA	
V.		[PROPOSED] COM	NSENT JUDGN	AENT
NEWELL BUDDEDMAID DO		(Health & Safety Co	de § 25249.6 et	seq.)
L.P.; et al.,	J.; SANFORD			
Defendants.				
Defendants.		,		
Defendants.			· .	
Defendants.				
	Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 2 Bush & Henry 3270 Mendocino Ave. #2E Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Telephone: (707) 541-6255 Facsimile: (707) 676-4301 Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro  SUPERIO  MICHAEL DIPIRRO, Plaintiff, v.  NEWELL RUBBERMAID ING L.P.; et al.,	3270 Mendocino Ave. #2E Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Telephone: (707) 541-6255 Facsimile: (707) 676-4301  Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro  SUPERIOR COURT OF  COUNTY  UNLIMITED C  MICHAEL DIPIRRO,  Plaintiff,  v.  NEWELL RUBBERMAID INC.; SANFORD	Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221 Bush & Henry 3270 Mendocino Ave. #2E Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Telephone: (707) 541-6255 Facsimile: (707) 676-4301  Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CAL COUNTY OF ALAMEDA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION  MICHAEL DIPIRRO, Plaintiff, v.  NEWELL RUBBERMAID INC.; SANFORD L.P.; et al.,  (Health & Safety Co	Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221 Bush & Henry 3270 Mendocino Ave. #2E Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Telephone: (707) 541-6255 Facsimile: (707) 676-4301 Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION  MICHAEL DIPIRRO, Plaintiff, v.  NEWELL RUBBERMAID INC.; SANFORD L.P.; et al.,  [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGN (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et

## 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

#### 1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Michael DiPirro ("DiPirro"), and defendants, Newell Rubbermaid Inc., and Sanford L.P. (collectively, "Defendants"), with DiPirro and Defendants each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

DiPirro is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

#### 1.3 Defendants

Defendants each employ ten or more persons and each is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

## 1.4 General Allegations

DiPirro alleges that Defendants sold business card holders with plastic sleeve sheets containing Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (also known as Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate) ("DEHP") without first providing the clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

#### 1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are business card holders with plastic sleeve sheets containing DEHP that are imported, manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale by Defendants to California consumers (collectively, "the Products"), as identified in Exhibit A attached hereto, including without limitation the *Rolodex Professional 3-Ring Business Card Holder*, 200 Card, Black (#66451) (UPC #071912664512).

#### 1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about April 21, 2015, DiPirro served Defendants and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), a document that informed the recipients of DiPirro's allegation that Defendants and their retailers were in alleged violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn their customers and consumers in California that the Products purportedly expose users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

# 1.7 Complaint

On July 24, 2015, in order to permit the Parties to enter into this Consent Judgment, DiPirro filed the instant action against Defendants ("Complaint") for the alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

#### 1.8 No Admission

Defendants deny the material, factual and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and they maintain that all of the products that they have sold and distributed in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, including Proposition 65.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall entry into or compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

## 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean August 10, 2015.

# 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, Defendants shall only import, manufacture, sell or distribute for sale to California consumers the Products that are "Reformulated Products." For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Reformulated Products shall contain a maximum of 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DEHP content in any accessible component (i.e., any component that may be touched or handled during a reasonably foreseeable use) when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or equivalent methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance. None of the Products that were already imported, manufactured, sold or distributed for sale to California consumers prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to this Section 2.

# 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

# 3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), Defendants shall pay a civil penalty of \$4,500 pursuant to Section 3.3.1 below. The penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (c) (1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty amount earmarked for the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty amount earmarked for DiPirro. The penalty payment shall be delivered to the address listed in Section 3.3 below.

## 3.2 Reimbursement of DiPirro's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that DiPirro and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after all other terms of the Consent Judgment had been finalized, Defendants expressed a desire to resolve the fees and costs. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to DiPirro and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed in this matter exclusive of fees and costs incurred on appeal, if any. Under these legal principles, pursuant to Section 3.3.1 below, Defendants shall pay \$44,500 for the fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating, and enforcing

this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and to be incurred) drafting, negotiating, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest.

## 3.3 Payment Procedures

## 3.3.1 Payments Held in Trust

Payments shall be delivered to the Law Offices of David R. Bush within two (2) business days of Court approval of this Consent Judgment, and shall be in the form of three checks for the following amounts made payable to:

- (a) "Law Office of David R. Bush" in the amount of \$3,375 for payment to OEHHA. Law Office of David R. Bush agrees to forward such funds to OEHHA in a timely manner.
- (b) "Law Office of David R. Bush" in the amount of \$1,125 as payment to Michael DiPirro. David R. Bush agrees to forward such funds in a timely manner.; and
- (c) "Law Offices of David R. Bush" in the amount of \$44,500, as payment for attorneys' fees and costs.

#### 3.3.2 Issuance of 1099 Forms

After the Consent Judgment has been approved, Defendants shall provide DiPirro's counsel with a separate 1099 form for each of its payments under this Agreement to:

- (a) "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment", P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486) for civil penalties paid in the 2015 calendar year;
- (b) "Michael DiPirro", whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request after this Consent Judgment has been fully executed by the Parties for the civil penalty payment in the 2015 calendar year; and
- (c) "Law Offices of David R. Bush" for fees and costs reimbursed pursuant to section 3.2.

#### 3.3.3 Payment Address

All payments and tax forms required by this Section shall be delivered to the

1.8 

following address:

Law Offices of David R. Bush 3270 Mendocino Ave. #2E Santa Rosa, CA 95403

# 4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

# 4.1 DiPirro's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

DiPirro, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendants, and each of them, and their respective officers, directors, managing agents, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, predecessors, successors, subdivisions, manufacturers, distributors, downstream wholesalers and retailers from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on alleged exposures to DEHP from the Products, as set forth in the Notice and the Complaint. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to DEHP from the Products sold by Defendants after the Effective Date.

## 4.2 DiPirro's Individual Release of Claims

DiPirro, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of DiPirro of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP from the Products imported, manufactured, sold or distributed for sale by Defendants before the Effective Date.

#### 4.3 Defendants' Release of DiPirro

Defendants on behalf of themselves and on behalf of their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against DiPirro and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by DiPirro and his attorneys and other

representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

## 5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court, and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

## 6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

## 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendants may provide written notice to DiPirro and his attorneys of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendants from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control laws. This Consent Judgment shall be interpreted in accordance with the fair meaning of the terms herein, without regard to which Party may have drafted any specific provision.

## 8. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Defendants:

Kevin C. Mayer, Esq. Crowell & Moring LLP 515 South Flower Street, 40th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 For DiPirro:

2

3

1

Law Offices of David R. Bush 3270 Mendocino Ave. #2E Santa Rosa, CA 95403

4

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

6

# 9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNTEM ARTS, FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

# 10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

DiPirro agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, DiPirro and Defendants agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and that of their counsel, to support the approval and entry of this Consent Judgment in a timely manner.

# 11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or application of any Party and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

/// ///

///

///

///

///

///

///

12.	<b>AUTHORIZATION</b>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood,

<i>3</i>	and agree to all of the terms and conditions cor	ntained herein.
5	AGREED TÓ:	AGREED TO:
6 7	Date: 8/12/15	Date:
8 9	By: MICHAEL DIPIRRO	By: Bradford R. Turner, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary
<u> </u>		Newell Rubbermaid Inc.  AGREED TO:
		Date:
		By: Bradford R. Turner, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

nt, Sanford L.P.

1	12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>	
2	The undersigned are authorized to execut	e this Consent Judgment and have read, understood
3	and agree to all of the terms and conditions conta	•
4		
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
6		Date: 8/17/15
7	Date:	Date: 8/11//S
8	Dec.	o Maria
9	By: MICHAEL DIPIRRO	By Bradford R. Turner, Senior Vice President,
10	•	General Counsel and Corporate Secretary Newell Rubbermaid Inc.
11		AGREED TO:
12		1 1 -
13		Date: 8/17/15
14		
15		By: Bradford R. Turner, Senior Vice President,
16	a ve	General Counsel and Corporate Secretary Sanford L.P.
17		bullord E.F.
18		
19	: -	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	· ·	# · ·
26 27		
28		

# Exhibit A

2

3

4

5

0	Product by Category of SKUs
7	172//51

1734452 8

1752535 9

76653

10 82341

11 76651

12 1752539

13 1752540 66451

14 22335 22336 15

22337 16

26507 62552 17

62551 18

66454 66490

19 67465 67467 20

67473

21 67696

22 76657

23 76659

24 82339

25

26

27

28

#### **Product Name**

**Product Identification** 

Rolodex 36-card Business Card Case Rolodex 72-card Business Card Book

Rolodex® Identity Business Card Book, 96-card, Black and Gray Low Profile 36-Card Personal Card Case, Brown Neo Classic 96-Card Business Card Book, Black

Low Profile 96-Card Business Card Book, Hawthorn

Identity 36-Card Personal Card Case,

Gray/Raspberry Identity 96-Card Business Card Book, Gray/Raspberry

Faux Leather 200-Card 3-Ring Binder, Black

Explorer 36-Card Personal Card Case, Brown Explorer 96-Card Business Card Book, Brown

Explorer 240-Card Business Card Book, Brown Neo Classic 240-Card Business Card Book, Black

Fashion 36-Card Personal Card Case, Black

Fashion 96-Card Business Card Book, Black Faux Leather 120-Card 6-Ring Binder, Black

Faux Leather 120-Card Business Card Case, Black

Vinyl 192-Card Business Card Book, Black

Vinyl 96-Card Business Card Book, Black

Faux Leather 96-Card Business Card Book, Black

Rolodex® Business Card Binder Kit Rolodex® Low Profile Business Card Case,

36-card, Black

Rolodex® Low Profile Business Card Book, 96-card, Black

Rolodex® Neo Classic Business Card

Case, 36-card