

# ALAMEDA COUNTY

MAR 1.5 2017

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT NANCY MOSE, Deputy

Melvin B. Pearlston (SBN 54291) Robert B. Hancock (SBN 179438) PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER 50 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, California 94111 Tel: (415) 310-1940/Fax: (415) 354-3508

Attorneys for Plaintiff

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

AMY CHAMBERLIN, in the public interest.

Plaintiff.

AMERICAN WOOD FIBERS, INC., a Wisconsin corporation; and DOES 1 through 500, inclusive,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. RG16826473

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

[Cal. Health and Safety Code Sec. 25249.6, et seq.]

|PROPOSED| STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Chamberlia v. American Wood Fibers, Inc.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Action arises out of the alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. (also known as and hereinafter referred to as "Proposition 65") regarding the following products: Defendant's "Premier Pet Kennel Care Red Cedar Bedding," "Premier Pet Pine Softwood Bedding," "Pet's Pick Kennel Care Eastern Red Cedar Bedding," "America's Choice Premium Mini Flake Animal Bedding," and "Tractor Supply Co. Premium Pine Shavings, Fine" (the "Products"). (hereinafter, collectively the "Covered Products").
- 1.2 Plaintiff AMY CHAMBERLIN ("Chamberlin") is a California resident acting as a private enforcer of Proposition 65. Chamberlin alleges that she brings this Action in the public interest pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5, et seq. asserts that she is dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.3 Defendant American Wood Fibers, Inc., is a Wisconsin corporation, hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" or "AWF."
- 1.4 Chamberlin and AWF are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
  - 1.5 AWF manufactures, distributes and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.6 On or about April 21, 2015, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)(1), Chamberlin served a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and AWF. On or about April 23, 2015, pursuant to

20.

 California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)(1), Chamberlin served a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and Tractor Supply Company. Collectively, the two notices are referred to herein as "Notices of Violation."

- 1.7 After more than sixty (60) days passed since service of the Notices of Violation, and no designated governmental agency having filed a complaint against AWF or Tractor Supply Company with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations, Chamberlin filed a complaint (the "Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil penalties. The Complaint is based on the allegations in the Notices of Violation.
- 1.8 The Complaint alleges that AWF manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in California the Covered Products, which allegedly contain wood dust, a substance listed under Proposition 65 as being known by the State of California to cause cancer, requiring a Proposition 65 warning. Further, the Complaint alleges that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to wood dust without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. ÁWF generally denies all material and factual allegations of the Notices of Violations and the Complaint, and specifically denies that the Plaintiff or California consumers have been harmed or damaged by its conduct. AWF and Chamberlin each reserve all rights to allege additional facts, claims, and affirmative defenses if the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment.
- 1.9 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent

companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding. Provided, however, nothing in this Section shall affect the enforceability of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment.

#### 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, AWF shall be permanently enjoined from offering for sale to a consumer in California, directly selling to a consumer in California, or "Distributing into California" any of the Covered Products unless the label of the Covered Products contains a Proposition 65 compliant warning, consistent with Section 3.4, below. "Distributing into California" means to ship any of the Covered Products to California for sale. Provided, however, that AWF may manufacture or package and sell Covered Products without providing a Proposition 65 compliant warning so long as such products are only for sale to consumers located outside of California and AWF does not distribute them into California.

3.2 All Covered Products that have been or will have been produced, distributed, shipped, or sold, or otherwise placed in the stream of commerce through and including 60-days after the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment are exempt from the provisions of Sections 3.1 and 3.3 and are included within the release in Sections 8.1 through 8.4.

# 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

For the Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1, AWF shall provide the following warning ("Warning"):

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

At its option, AWF may also state, in proximity to the above safe harbor warning language, that "The chemical at issue is wood dust," or some variant of that phrase, such that consumers understand that there are no actual chemical additives to the products.

The Warning shall be permanently affixed to or printed on (at the point of manufacture, prior to shipment to California, or prior to distribution within California) the outside packaging or container of each unit of the Covered Products. The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements designs or devices on the outside packaging or labeling, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to use. If the Warning is displayed on the product packaging or labeling, the Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product packaging or labeling, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters. If printed on the labeling itself, the Warning shall be contained in the same section of the labeling that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the Covered Products, if any. The Parties acknowledge that AWF is currently utilizing a safe harbor warning as specified in Cal. Code Reg. Sec. 25603.2 in connection with the Covered Products. AWF may exhaust any current inventory of said Covered Products, and shall begin utilizing the foregoing warning in lieu of the current warning at its earliest opportunity.

4.1 AWF shall make a total payment of \$97,500 in three equal installments. The first installment shall be within ten days of the Effective Date, and each of the two subsequent payment shall be quarterly (i.e., the second payment three months after the first payment and the third payment three months after the second payment). Upon the final payment, such payments, in total, shall be in full and final satisfaction of any and all civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, and attorneys' fees and costs.

- 4.2 The first payment will be in the form of separate checks sent to counsel for Plaintiff, Robert B. Hancock, Pacific Justice Center, 50 California Street, San Francisco, California 94111. The checks shall be payable to the following parties and the payment shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.3 \$32,500 (thirty-two thousand five hundred dollars) as civil penalties pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, one check shall be for \$27,500 (twenty-seven thousand five hundred dollars) shall be payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and a second check shall be for \$5,000 (five thousand dollars) shall be payable to Chamberlin. (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d)). Chamberlin waives any statutory right to share in the penalties awarded to any further extent. Chamberlin's counsel will forward the civil penalty to OEHHA.
- 4.4 The second and third payments shall each be for \$32,500 (thirty-two thousand five hundred dollars) payable to Robert B. Hancock as reimbursement of Chamberlin's attorneys' fees, costs, investigation and litigation expenses ("Attorney's Fees and Costs").

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26 4.5 Any failure by AWF to remit payment on or before its due date shall be deemed a material breach of this Agreement, entitling Plaintiff to rescind. In such event, the Parties agree to cooperate in taking any and all steps necessary to vacate and/or set aside any Judgment or dismissal entered.

# 5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) Written agreement and stipulation of the Parties and upon having such stipulation entered as a modified Consent Judgment by the Court; or (ii) Upon entry of a modified Judgment by the Court pursuant to a motion by one of the Parties after exhausting the meet and confer process set forth as follows. If either Party requests or initiates a modification, then it shall meet and confer with the other Party in good faith before filing a motion with the Court seeking to modify it. Chamberlin is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs regarding the Parties' meet and confer efforts for any modification requested or initiated by AWF. Similarly, AWF is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable attorney's fees and costs regarding the Parties' meet and confer efforts for any modification requested or initiated by Chamberlin. If, despite their meet and confer efforts, the Parties are unable to reach agreement on any proposed modification the party seeking the modification may file the appropriate motion and the prevailing party on such motion shall be entitled recover its reasonable fees and costs associated with such motion. One basis, but not the exclusive basis, for AWF to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment is if Proposition 65 is changed, narrowed, limited, or otherwise rendered inapplicable in whole or in part to the Covered Products or wood dust due to legislative change, a change in the implementing regulations, court decisions, or other legal basis.

- 6.2 Subject to Section 6.3, any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such motion or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.
- 6.3 Before filing a motion or application for an order to show cause, Chamberlin shall provide AWF with 30 (thirty) days written notice of any alleged violations of the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. As long as AWF cures any such alleged violations within the 30-day period (or if any such violation cannot practicably be cured within 30 days, it expeditiously initiates a cure within 30 days and completes it as soon as practicable) and AWF provides proof to Chamberlin that the alleged violation(s) was the result of good faith mistake or accident, then AWF shall not be in violation of the Consent Judgment. AWF shall have the ability to avail itself of the benefits of this Section two (2) times following the Effective Date.

# 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties and their respective officers, directors, successors and assigns, and it shall benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

### 8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Chamberlin, on behalf of herself and in the public interest, and AWF, of any and all direct or derivative violations (or claimed violations) of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to wood dust from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this Action up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding wood dust. Chamberlin, on behalf of herself and in the public interest, hereby forever releases and discharges, AWF and its past and present officers, directors, owners, shareholders, employees, agents, attorneys, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities and persons in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, including but not limited to Tractor Supply Co., and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims and causes of action and obligations to pay damages, restitution, fines, civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties and expenses (including but not limited to expert analysis fees, expert fees, attorney's fees and costs) (collectively, "Claims") arising under, based on, or derivative of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations up through the Effective Date relating to actual or potential exposure to wood dust from the Covered Products and/or failure to warn about wood dust, as set forth in the Notices of Violation and the Complaint.

25 26

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

8.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to wood dust from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violations and the Complaint.

8.3 It is possible that other Claims not known to Chamberlin arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice of Violations or the Complaint and relating to wood dust in the Covered Products that were manufactured, sold or Distributed into California before the Effective Date will develop or be discovered. Chamberlin, on behalf of herself only, acknowledges that the Claims released herein include all known and unknown Claims and waives California Civil Code Section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Chamberlin, on behalf of herself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

8.4 Chamberlin, on one hand, and AWF, on the other hand, each release and waive all Claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notice of Violations or the Complaint. However, this shall not affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

# 9. CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY

9.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully

26

discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.

- 9.2 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 9.3 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

#### 10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, (b) certified mail, (b) overnight courier, or (c) personal delivery to the following:

#### For Chamberlin:

Melvin B. Pearlston Robert B. Hancock PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER 50 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, California 94111

#### For AWF:

David F.: Gabor WEINTRAUB TOBIN 10250 Constellation Boulevard, Suite 2900 Los Angeles, California 90067

#### 11. COURT APPROVAL

11.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, Chamberlin shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.

11.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.

11.3 If, despite the Parties' best efforts, the Court does not approve this Stipulated Consent Judgment; it shall be null and void and have no force or effect.

#### 12. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid and as the original signature.

# 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

13.4. Tech common withis figurest indicates operiors that his or one is fully sufficient by the Party to see the experience in adjustice to this Country indicates. Except as explicitly provided breaks combined beautiful from the country and course.

# AND RECORD FOR ENGINEER AND HOR ANGEODYAL

Is a Court of Court in Antheronic has some before the Court paper the sequent of the Parties.
The purity request the Court to Antheronic sets Courts before that, being fully industried
against the many restriction by project of the action of

- (a) Plad there december and providing of the Constant tourism at represent a point.
  Other configuration of all minimal related on the allegations of the Constant, that the minimal inches the beautiful providing provided and that the partie houses is exceed by minimal appropriate, and
- (b) Mills the facilities processes to fall feeling the facility cose for the activities of the facilities and the facilities and the facilities are a facilities and the facilities are a facilities and the facilities are a facil

IT IS SO STOPPIATED.

Davids 5/27/2016	Ansa Chamberta
Dista:	ABSTRANWOODTHERS INC.
*	
ω	New Color
derovēdas to kora	

The Constitution of the April 19 Constitution of the Constitution

Pego 13

Sum 5/27/16 Page 14

# **JUDGMENT**

Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

March 15

4

Dated:

2016.

ludge of the Superior Court

Sandra K. Bean

25 26

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Action No. RG16 826473

Case Name: Chamberlin Vs. American Wood Fibers, Inc.

I certify that the following is true and correct: I am the clerk of the above-named Court and not a party to this cause. I served Stipulated Consent Judgment by sealing and placing them for collection, stamping or metering with prepaid postage, and mailing on the date stated below, in the United States mail at Alameda County, California, following standard court practices to the addresses listed below to both

parties addressed below.

Dated: March 15, 2017

CHAD FINKE
Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court

By Nancy a Rose

Melvin B. Pearlston, Esq. Pacific Justice Center 50 California St., Ste. 1500 San Francisco, CA. 94111

David R. Gabor, Esq. Weintraub Tobin Law Corporation 10250 Constellation Blvd., Ste. 2900 Los Angeles, CA. 90067