Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981)		CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
Peter T. Sato (SBN 238486) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)	Received	AUG 07 2017
YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI An Association of Independent Law Corporations	Received JUN 162017	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/C
9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, 90212	Filing Window	By Armando Garcia, Deputy
Telephone:(310) 623-1926Facsimile:(310) 623-1930		
Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.		
		성실 성격 이 소리 영화
SUPERIOR COURT OF	ΓΗΕ STATE OF CA	ALIFORNIA
COUNTY O	F LOS ANGELES	
CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,	CASE NO. BC	612917
in the public interest,	CONSENT JU	DGMENT [ <del>PROPOSE</del> D]
Plaintiff,	Health & Safety	v Code § 25249.5 et seq.
v.	[Assigned For	All Purposes to Honorable
HOA BINH POMONA SUPERMARKET, a		Feuer in Dept. 78]
business entity form unknown; ROXY FOOD INTERNATIONAL, dba ORIENTAL TRADING CO., a California Corporation;	Complaint filed	: March 7, 2016
FIRST WORLD ASIAN TRADING		
CORPORATION, a California corporation; PACIFIC EASTERN TRADING	ani ha t	
CORPORATION, a California corporation; VINH- SANH TRADING CORPORATION,		
a California corporation; ROXY TRADING INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1-		
20;		
Defendants.		
1. INTRODUCTION		
	tered into by and b	etween Plaintiff, CONSUMER
ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. ("Plaintiff" or '		
of the public, and Defendants, ROXY TRAD		
	1	

SUPERMARKET ("HOA BINH"), (referred to as "Defendants" collectively), with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### 1.2 Defendants and Covered Products

1.2.1 CAG alleges that ROXY is a California corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, ROXY is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2.2 CAG alleges that HOA BINH is a business entity which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, HOA BINH is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65")

1.2.3 CAG alleges that ROXY manufactures, causes to be manufactured, sells, or distributes certain seaweed in California.

1.2.4 CAG alleges that HOA BINH manufactures, causes to be manufactured, sells, or distributes certain seaweed in California.

1.3 Listed Chemicals

1.3.1 Lead and lead compounds have been listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.3.2 Cadmium and cadmium compounds have been listed by the State ofCalifornia as known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notice of Violation.

1.4.1 On or about May 13, 2016, CAG served ROXY and various public enforcement agencies with a document titled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("May 13, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients

with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to cadmium and cadmium compounds, as well as lead and lead compounds, contained in certain dried seaweed sold by ROXY in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the May 13, 2016 Notice.

1.4.2 On or about June 16, 2015, CAG served ROXY, HOA BINH, and various public enforcement agencies with a document titled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("June 16, 2015 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to lead and lead compounds contained in certain dried seaweed sold by ROXY and HOA BINH in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the June 16, 2015 Notice.

1.4.3 Collectively, the 60-day notices described in paragraphs 1.4.1-1.4.2 above are hereafter referred to as the "Notices."

#### 1.5 **Complaint.**

On March 7, 2016, CAG filed a Complaint against Defendants for civil penalties and injunctive relief (the "Complaint") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC612917, alleging that Defendants violated Proposition 65 for allegedly failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to Lead in certain dried seaweeds Defendants distributed and/or sold in California.

### 1.6 **Consent to Jurisdiction**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations against the Defendants contained in the Complaint, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or

in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

#### 1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation in the Notices or the Complaint, or of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law of any kind, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, including but not limited to the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties, for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action, including future compliance by Defendants with Section 3 of this Consent Judgment.

#### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 "Covered Products" means Seaweed, which includes but is not limited to, "ROXY
Dried Seaweed, Net Wt. 1.5oz (42.5g), Product of China. UPC: 0 51299 17027 1" ("ROXY
DRIED SEAWEED") purchased from and/or sold by ROXY.

1	2.2 "	Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the
2	Court.	
3	2.3 "	Lead" means lead and lead compounds.
4	2.4 "	Cadmium" means cadmium and cadmium compounds.
5	2.5 "	Listed Chemicals" means Lead and Cadmium.
6	2.6 "	Notices" means Plaintiff's June 15, 2015 Notice and May 13, 2016 Notice.
7	3. INJUN	CTIVE RELIEF & CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.
8	3.1 V	Within forty-five (45) days after the Effective Date, Defendants shall not sell,
9	offer for sale in	California, or ship for sale in California any Covered Products unless the
10	Defendants prov	vide a Proposition 65 compliant warning for the Covered Products as set forth
11	below. Any wa	rning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or
12	directly on, the	Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as
13	compared with o	other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and
14	understood by a	n ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The
15 16	Parties agree that	at the following warning language shall constitute compliance with Proposition
10	65 with respect	to the alleged Listed Chemicals in the Covered Products distributed and/or sold
18	by the Defendar	nts 45 days after the Effective Date:
19	WARN	<b>NG</b> : This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause
20		nd birth defects or other reproductive harm.
21	3.2 F	For any Covered Products still existing in ROXY's inventory as of the Effective
22		all place a Proposition 65 compliant warning on them. Any warning provided
23		section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products,
24	1	ntly placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements,
25	-	ces as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual
26		y conditions before purchase or use. The Parties agree that the following warning
27	-	constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged Listed

language shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged Listed 28

Chemicals in the Covered Products existing in ROXY's inventory as of the Effective Date:

**WARNING**: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

#### 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 **Payment and Due Date**: Within 15 business days of the Effective Date, ROXY shall pay a total of ninety-eight thousand dollars and zero cents (\$98,000) in full and complete settlement of any and all claims for civil penalties, damages, attorney's fees, expert fees or any other claim for costs, expenses or monetary relief of any kind for claims that were or could have been asserted in the Notices or Complaint, as follows:

4.1.1 **Civil Penalty**: ROXY shall issue two separate checks totaling \$6,860.00 as follows for alleged civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:

(a) ROXY will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of \$5,145.00 representing 75% of the total civil penalty and ROXY will issue a second check to CAG in the amount of \$1,715.00 representing 25% of the total civil penalty;

(b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: ROXY will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$5,145.00. ROXY will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of \$1,715.00 and deliver it to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: ROXY shall pay \$5,145.00 as additional settlement payments to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). CAG will use this payment as follows, seventy percent (70%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation; twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage; and ten percent (10%) to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent.

4.1.3 **Reimbursement of Attorney Fees and Costs:** ROXY shall pay \$85,995.00 payable to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as complete reimbursement for any and all reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, expert fees, and any and all other costs and expenses incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the Defendants' attention, litigating, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and seeking and obtaining court approval of this Consent Judgment.

4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered directly to Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812. ROXY shall provide written confirmation to CAG upon payment to OEHHA.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

5.

## MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendants and their officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, and their successors and assigns ("Defendants' Releasees"), ROXY's supplier of Covered Products only to the extent the Covered Products were supplied to ROXY, and all entities to whom Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensees, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged or or that could have been alleged for alleged exposures to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants, Defendants' Releasees, and/or Downstream Defendants' Releasees up through 45 days after the Effective Date as set forth in the Notices and Complaint. Defendants compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendants, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees 45 days after the Effective Date. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendants, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees. Defendants. Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees are hereafter collectively referred to as the "Released Parties".

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert

fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any actual or alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claim regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through 45 days after the Effective Date regarding any actual or alleged failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through 45 days after the Effective Date arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by the Released Parties through 45 days after the Effective Date regarding the failure to warn about actual or alleged exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages, penalties or other relief against the Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about actual from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages, penalties or other relief against the Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not

know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

#### 6.

### **ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT**

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 30 days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment, and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.

6.2 **Notice of Violation.** Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendants. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: (a) the name of the Covered Products; (b) specific dates when the Covered Product was sold in California; (c) the store or other place at which the Covered Product was available for sale to consumers; and (d) all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered Products and identification of the Listed Chemicals tested, and (e) any other evidence or support for the allegations in the NOV.

6.2.1 **Non-Contested NOV.** CAG shall take no further action of any kind regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Defendants serve a Notice of Election ("NOE") not to contest the NOV that meets one of the following conditions:

(a) A statement that the Covered Product was manufactured or shippedby Defendants for sale in California prior to 45 days after the Effective Date; or

(b) A statement that since receiving the NOV Defendants have taken corrective action by either: (i) taking all steps necessary to bring the sale of the product into compliance under the terms of this Consent Judgment; or (ii) requesting that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Product identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Product to Defendants or vendor, as applicable; or (iii) refute the information provided in the NOV.

6.2.2 **Contested NOV.** Defendants may serve a Notice of Election ("NOE") informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 60 days of receiving the NOV.

 (a) In its election, Defendants may request that the sample(s) of Covered Product tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA- accredited laboratory.

(b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain the Listed Chemicals in excess of the safe harbor limits for the Listed Chemicals as determined by CAG, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with the safe harbor limits as described above, Defendants may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.

(c) If Defendants do not withdraw a NOE to contest the NOV, theParties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek anorder enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.

6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

| 7.

#### ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendants waive their respective rights to a hearing and trial on the allegations in the Notices and Complaint.

7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court: (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

## **MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT**

8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9.

# **RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

# 10. LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold or distributed outside the State of California.

<sub>22</sub> 11.

# SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, CAG may then submit it to the Court for approval.

### **12. ATTORNEY FEES**

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3 and 6.3, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with the claims resolved in this Consent Judgment.

13. GOVERNING LAW

13.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

13.2 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then Defendants may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendants from any obligation to comply with any other pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

13.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

14.	EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS
	14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile
or po	rtable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one
docun	nent and have the same force and effect as original signatures.
15.	NOTICES
	15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by delivery of First Class Mail.
	If to CAG:
	Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq.
	Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W
	Beverly Hills, CA 90212
	If to Defendant ROXY TRADING, INC .:
	Arthur Fine, Esq.
	MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP 11377 West Olympic Boulevard
	Los Angeles, CA 90064-1683
	If to Defendant HOA BINH POMONA SUPERMARKET:
	Richard H. Lam
	ALVAREZ-GLASMAN & COLVIN 13181 Crossroads Parkway North
	Suite 400 – West Tower
///	City of Industry, Calif. 91746
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	
///	
	14
	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]
	docur <b>15.</b> /// /// /// /// ///

1 16.

2

3

4

# AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that party.

5		n
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO://
7	Date: June 6, 2017	Date: 2017
8	all Man	Mienali Frelang
9	Name: Michael Marcus	Name: USIENG SHETANG
10	Title: Director	Title: President
11	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	ROXY TRADING, INC.
12		AGREED TO:
13		Date:, 2017
14		
15		
16		Name:
17		Title: HOA BINH POMONA SUPERMARKET
18		•
19		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21		
22	Date:	
23		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		15
	CONCENT MID	
	CONSENT JUD	GMENT [PROPOSED]
l		

1	16.
1	10.

3

4

5

## AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that party.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:, 2017	Date:, 2017
Name:	Name:
Title: CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	Title: ROXY TRADING, INC. AGREED TO:
	Date: June 2nd /2017
	Name: Doreen Han
	Title: Chef Inangal April HOA BINH POMONA SUPERMARKET
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Date: 8/7/17	Jaillet
X	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	GAIL RIDERMAN FELFER
	And and an
	그는 이 아이들을 감독하는 것이 아이들이 많이
그는 말 걸 때 여기가 다.	
	15
CONSENT J	UDGMENT [PROPOSED]
	같은 감사, 감독 집은 명령 같은 것이 있는 것이다.