19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

01/06/2017

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Sherri B Carter, Executive Officer/Cle

Steve Temblador

Steve Temblador

OCT 04 2016

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,

Plaintiff.

KITTRICH CORPORATION, a California Corporation; DO IT BEST CORP., an Indiana Corporation; and DOES 1-20;

Defendants.

CASE NO. BC613901

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.

Dept. 54

Judge: Ernest M. Hiroshige

Complaint filed: March 17, 2016

Date of Trial: N/A

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and defendant, KITTRICH CORPORATION ("Kittrich" or "Defendant"), each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties." This Consent Judgment is intended to fully resolve all claims, demands, and allegations related to this action and the Notices of Violation referred to herein.

1.2 Defendant and Products

1.2.1 Defendant Kittrich is a California corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Defendant is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and is subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and

Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2.2 Defendant Kittrich manufactures, causes to be manufactured, sells, or distributes (1) All Purpose Gripper Pads, (2) Gripper Pads, (3) Grip Liners, (4) Kitchen Liners, (5) Garage liners, (6) Shelf Liners, (7) Grip-n-Stick Liner, (8) and Grip Pads, together referred to hereinafter as the "Covered Products," defined in Section 2.1 below.

1.3 Chemicals of Concern

- 1.3.1 Diethyl hexyl phthalate ("DEHP") is known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3.2 Diisononyl phthalate ("DINP") is known to the State of California to cause cancer.

1.4 Notices of Violation.

- 1.4.1 On July 1, 2015, CAG served Kittrich, Do It Best Corp. ("DIB"), California Do-It Center, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("July 1, 2015 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of alleged exposures to DEHP contained in All Purpose Gripper Pads sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the July 1, 2015 Notice.
- 1.4.2 On January 29, 2016, CAG served Kittrich, Pliant Solutions Corporation, Anawalt Lumber & Materials, Co., Anawalt Lumber & Materials Co. Montrose, Anawalt Lumber Co., Inc. and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("January 29, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of alleged exposures to DEHP contained in All Purpose Gripper Pads sold by Defendant. No public

enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the January 29, 2015

Notice.

- 1.4.3 On February 5, 2016, CAG served Kittrich, Super 99 Cent Discount, ("99 Cent") and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("February 5, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of alleged exposures to DEHP contained in Gripper Pads sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the February 5, 2016 Notice.
- public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("Second February 5, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of alleged exposures to DINP contained in Grip Liners and Gripper Pads sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Second, February 5, 2016 Notice.
- 1.4.5 On February 5, 2016, CAG served Kittrich and Pioneer & Luceme Hardware Co. Inc., Lucerne Hardware and Pliant Solutions Corp., and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("Third February 5, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of alleged exposures to DINP contained in Grip Liners sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Third February 5, 2016 Notice.
- 1.4.6 On February 5, 2016, CAG served Kittrich and Anawalt Lumber Co., and Anawalt Lumber and Materials Co., and various public enforcement agencies with a document

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("Fourth February 5, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of alleged exposures to DINP contained in Grip Liners sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Fourth February 5, 2016 Notice.

1.4.7 On July 29, 2016, CAG served Kittrich, Home Depot, Inc., ("Home Depot") and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("July 29, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of alleged exposures to DINP contained in Plastic Shelf Liners sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the July 29, 2016 Notice.

1.4.8 On August 19, 2016, CAG served Kittrich, DIY Home Center, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("Second February 5, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of alleged exposures to DINP contained in Plastic Shelf Liners sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the August 19, 2016 Notice.

1.5 Complaint

On March 17, 2016, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in the Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC613901, against the Defendant. CAG filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") on or about September The Complaint and FAC allege, among other things, that Defendant violated 27, 2016. Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DEHP and/or DINP from the Covered Products. The FAC is the operative complaint in this Action.

1

9

11 12

10

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

<u></u>23

25 26

27 28

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the FAC and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the FAC, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the FAC and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims between the Parties that are denied and disputed The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation of the FAC (each and every allegation of which Defendant denies), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

≘23

<u>)</u> 24

كَ 25

₹26

- 2.1 "Covered Products" includes means (1) All Purpose Gripper Pads, including but not limited to the Magic Cover® Grip Extra 4 All-Purpose Gripper Pads; White 5.5" round; GXGP-7914-01; Kittrich Corporation, La Mirada, CA 90638; Made In China; UPC No.: 0 87508 99547 2; (2) Gripper Pads, including but not limited to "Grip Pads (4 Piece Set), Con-Tact® Brand.515 in, 13,97 cm (Round). White KTCH-CGP001-01. Kittrich Corporation. S25-0531 (SKU:601040) UPC: 7 90444 01845 6"; (3) Grip Liners, including but not limited to, Grip Liner, Con-Tact® Brand. 45,72 cm x 1,22 m., 18in x 4ft. 04F-C6U51-01 Black. Kittrich Corporation. '606240 P 5C08 PioneerHD 4 03/5 3152 A EA, \$9.99' UPC: 7 90444 00210 3"; (4) Kitchen Liners, including but not limited to the "Natural Weave Liner"; "Durable and washable"; 12 in x 4 ft.; 30,48 cm x 1,22 m; Caution: Not for use on lacquered or urethane surfaces. Finish damage may occur; "Kittrich® Corporation La Mirada, CA 90638; 04F-127666-01; Lattice Honcy; UPC:087508000558," (5) Garage Liners; (6) Shelf Liners; (7) Grip n Stick Liner; and (8) Grip Pads sold distributed, processed, packaged, produced, manufactured and/or handled by the Defendant, which were the subject of the "Notices" served by CAG and sold by the Defendant.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
 - 2.3 "DEHP" means Diethyl hexyl phthalate.
 - 2.4 "DINP" means Diisononyl phthalate
- 2.5 "Notices" means the July 1, 2015, January 29, 2016, February 5, 2016 (4 Notices), July 29, 2016, the August 19, 2016 Notices, and all other notices issued by CAG to any Party or any person or entity referenced herein.

///

///

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / REFORMULATION / CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

3.1 Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell the Covered

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Products in California unless they are reformulated to contain less than 0.1% (1,000 parts per million) DEHP.

For any Covered Products still existing in Defendant's inventory as of the 3.2 Effective Date, that have not been reformulated to contain less than 0.1% (1,000 parts per million) DEHP, to the extent they do not fall within the safe harbor provisions not requiring a lable. Defendant shall place a Proposition 65 compliant warning on those covered products. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The warning shall state:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

- 3.3 Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell the Covered Products in California unless they are reformulated to contain less than 0.1% (1,000 parts per million) DINP.
- 3.4 For any Covered Products still existing in Defendant's inventory as of the Effective Date, that have not been reformulated to contain less than 0.1% (1,000 parts per million) DINP, to the extent they do not fall within the safe harbor provisions not requiring a lable, Defendant shall place a Proposition 65 compliant warning on those covered products. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The warning shall state:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

3.5 If a Defendant or any Party bound by this Consent Judgment defaults under any of this Agreement's terms, the non-defaulting Party will give to the defaulting Party a written notice

of the default. The defaulting Party will have thirty (30) days after receipt of this notice to cure the default. Only if the defaulting Party fails to cure the default within this time period, may the non-defaulting Party exercise those remedies granted under this Agreement or applicable law.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within twenty (20) business days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay the total amount of one hundred and ten-thousand dollars and zero cents (\$110,000.00) (the "Total Settlement Amount") in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notices in this action. Under no circumstances shall the total amount Defendant pays pursuant to this Consent Judgment exceed the Total Settlement Amount. The Total Settlement Amount shall be paid as follows:
- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendant shall issue two separate checks totaling Eleven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$11,500) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 as follows:
- 4.1.1.1 Defendant will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of Eight Thousand Six Hundred and Twenty-five Dollars (\$8,625) representing 75% of the total penalty. Defendant shall deliver the OEHHA payment to P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, California 95184.
- 4.1.1.2 Defendant will issue a second check to CAG in the amount of Two Thousand Eight Hundred and Seventy-five Dollars (\$2,875) representing 25% of the total penalty.
- 4.1.2 Payments In Lieu of Civil Penalties: Defendant shall pay Eight Thousand Five Hundred Dollars \$8,500 of the Total Settlement Amount in lieu of civil penalties payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use this payment for investigation of the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, including laboratory fees for testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals, administrative costs and fees related to such activities, expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums,

Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation, as well as administrative costs and fees related to such activities in order to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action. Further, should the Court require it, CAG will submit under seal, an accounting of these funds as described above as to how the funds were used.

- 4.1.3 Payment to Charity: Defendant shall pay \$15,000 of the Total Settlement Amount to the Beverly Hills Cancer Center & Research Foundation ("BHCCRF"). BHCCRF is a registered California 501(c)(3) corporation, incorporated on September 9, 2013. The mission of the BHCCRF is to further cancer research. The BHCCRF addresses the public harm addressed in the Instant Action, specifically, addressing the effects of exposure to products containing DEHP and DINP, chemicals known to the State of California, to cause cancer. Defendant shall issue a 1099 Form to the Beverly Hills Cancer Center and Research Foundation, in the amount of \$15,000, and deliver it to the BHCCRF, c/o Shervin Gabayan at 8900 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California 90211.
- 4.1.4 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs: As part of the Total Settlement Amount, Defendant shall cause a check in the amount of Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000) made payable to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" to be issued as reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- 4.2 All payments referenced in Section 4.1 above, except for the OEHHA payment, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

8

12

15

18 19

21 22

20

© 23 © 24 © 25

> 27 28

- 4.3 The payment referenced in paragraph 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to the Beverly Hills Cancer Center and Research Foundation, at 8900 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, CA 90211, Attention: Shervin Gabayan.
- 4.4 Defendant will issue two Forms 1099 for the above-referenced payments: (1) OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of Eight Thousand Six Hundred Twenty-five (\$8,625) which shall be delivered to OEHHA at P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 for the payment required by Paragraph 4.1.1.1; and (2) CAG in the amount of Two Thousand Eight Hundred Seventy-Five (\$2,875) which shall be delivered to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, attorneys, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products, including, but not limited, to Do It Best Corp., Do it Best Corp. Members, Pliant Solutions Corporation, Anawalt Lumber & Materials Co., Anawalt Lumber & Materials Co.-Montrose, Anawalt Lumber Co., Inc., and Do-It Center ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), for all claims, known and unknown, whether asserted by CAG or not, and without limitation, for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEHP and/or DINP, from Covered Products, as set forth in the Notices. CAG represents and warrants that it is not aware of any further or additional claims it holds or may hold against the Defendant, the Defendant Releasees or the Downstream Defendant Releasees. Defendant and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposure to DEHP and/or DINP from Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

27

28

Defendant, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees (Defendant, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees herein referred to as "Released Parties")

CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, 5.2 successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases any and all claims, including, without limitation, any and all claims, actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEHP and/or DINP from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to DEHP and/or DINP from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEHP and/or DINP from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEHP and/or DINP from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any alleged exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to alleged exposure to DEHP

and/or DINP from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against the Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEHP and/or DINP from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG, Defendant waives their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the FAC.
- If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval
- 6.3 CAG will file a request for a dismissal with prejudice as to Defendant Do It Best Corp., within ten (10) days of the Effective Date.

7. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

7 8

6

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

27 28

Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to 7.2 meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the 8.1 terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by 9.1. Defendant outside the State of California. That notwithstanding, the extent of the releases provided herein apply globally regardless of jurisdiction, and nothing herein shall limit Released Parties from selling the Covered Products outside the State of California.

SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

ATTORNEY FEES 11.

Except as specifically provided herein, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with this Action.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT 12.

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding 12.1 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

2

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25 26

28

The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

13.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

14. **EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS**

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

15. NOTICES

15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First Class Mail.

If to CAG:

Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926

If to Kittrich, Inc.:

Peter Stavropoulos, General Counsel Kittrich Corporation 14555 Alondra Boulevard

Los Angeles, CA 90038 With a copy to: 2 3 John Mark Jennings Kutak Rock, LLP 4 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500 Irvine, CA 92614 5 (949) 417-0999 6 AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE 7 16. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized 8 by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf 9 of the party represented and legally to bind that party. 10 11 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 12 2016 13 14 15 ROBERT FRIEDLAND 16 CEO KITTRICH, INC. CONSUMER AD 17 GROUP, INC. 18 19 IT IS SO ORDERED. 20 21 Hon, Ernest M. Hiroshige 22 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 23 24 25 26 27 28

01/06/2017

CONSENT JUDGMENT

4851-4386-79-61.1