

Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP ALAMEDA COUNTY 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 JAN 12 2917 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COU iosh@chanler.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D. 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA** 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D., Case No. RG16821244 12 PROPOSEDI JUDGMENT PURSUANT Plaintiff, 13 TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT 14 JUDGMENT PERSEUS BOOKS, INC.; et al., 15 Date: January 13, 2017 Defendants. Time: 2:30 p.m. 16 Dept.: 302 17 Judge: Michael M. Markman 18 Reservation Number: R-1799203 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. and Defendant CLB PB, LLC (f/k/a Perseus Books, LLC), having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a consent judgment, and following this Court's issuance of an order approving their Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 1/12/17

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR OF THE SUPERIOR

Ì

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 E-mail: josh@chanler.com Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D. SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
TO.	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
П	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.,	Case No. RG16821244
13	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT
14	v. .	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
15	PERSEUS BOOKS, INC., et al.,	Code Civ. Proc. 9 004.0)
16	Defendants.	*
17	-	
18		
19		
20		
21		n 674
22		
23	,	!
24		
25		
26		1
27	· '	940 96
28		į

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Leeman"), and CLP PB, LLC (f/k/a Perseus Books, LLC) ("PB"), with Leeman and PB each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

While doing business as Perseus Books, LLC, PB employed ten or more individuals and was a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Leeman alleges, while doing business as Perseus Books, LLC, PB manufactured, distributed, imported, sold and offered for sale in California mugs with exterior decorations that contained lead without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm PB denies Leeman's allegations.

1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Products" are defined as mugs with exterior decorations that contain lead that are manufactured, distributed, imported, sold, or offered for sale in California by PB including, but not limited to, *The Big Lebowski Kit (Mug)*, #52000, ISBN-13: 978-0-7624-3900-3, hereinafter "Products."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On August 20, 2015, Leeman served PB, and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") alleging that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to

1.2

lead from the Products. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On June 28, 2016, Leeman filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming PB as a defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves all claims which are or could have been asserted in the Complaint against PB. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. PB denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that it did not knowingly or intentionally expose California consumers to lead through the reasonably foreseeable use of its products, and otherwise contends that all of the products it has sold and distributed for sale in California or elsewhere, including the Products, have been, and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect PB's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over PB as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is executed by the Parties.

2.1 Commitment to Reformulate

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, PB shall only manufacture for sale or purchase for sale in California Reformulated Products. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Reformulated Products are defined as Products that (a) contain lead in concentrations of no more than 90 parts per million ("ppm") (0.09%) in any exterior decorations when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3050B and 6010B, or equivalent methodologies used by state and federal agencies to determine lead content in a solid substance; (b) yield a result of no more than 1.0 microgram ("µg") of lead when a wipe is applied to all surfaces according to NIOSH 9100 protocol; and (c) a Reformulated Product shall yield a result of Non-detect (defined as no more than 25 ppm lead content for any decorations located in the upper 20 centimeters of a Product, ie., the "Lip-and-Rim" area of the vessel, or the decorative materials located on the interior surface of the Product (i.e., the beverage-containing portion) when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3050B and 6010B, or equivalent methodologies used by state and federal agencies to determine lead content in a solid substance.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and in settlement of all the claims referred to in the Notice, Complaint, and this Consent Judgment, PB shall pay \$4,000 in civil penalties. Each civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty retained by Leeman.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, the Parties negotiated the compensation due to Leeman and

her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment, and through court approval of the same, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, if any. Defendants shall pay \$18,500 for all fees and costs incurred investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement that provides a significant public benefit.

3.3 Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be held in trust until such time as the Court approves the Parties' settlement. All payments due under this agreement shall be delivered within forty-five (45) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, and held in trust by Defendants' counsel until the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment contemplated by Section 5. Within five (5) business days of the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment, Defendants' counsel shall tender the civil penalty payment and attorneys' fee and costs reimbursements required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2, as follows:

- 3.3.1 A check in the amount of \$3,000 paid to OEHHA;
- 3.3.2 A check in the amount of \$1,000 paid to "Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. Client Trust Account";
- 3.3.3 A check in the amount of \$18,500 paid to "The Chanler Group."

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Leeman's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Leeman, acting on her own behalf, or on behalf of her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees ("Releasors"), and Releasors hereby release any such claims, against PB and Running Press Book Publishers ("Running Press") and their

parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom PB and Running Press directly or indirectly distribute or sells Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, licensees, and becker&mayer! LLC, ("becker&mayer!"), including becker&mayer!'s distributors, manufacturers, current and future parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated entities ("Releasees"), based on the failure to warn about alleged exposures to lead contained in Products manufactured, distributed, sold or offered for sale by Releasees in California before the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Leeman, as an individual and not on behalf of the public, and on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all Leeman's rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims that Leeman may have, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses including, but not exclusively, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees arising under Proposition 65 with respect to Lead in the Products, as alleged in the notice of violation, manufactured, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale by PB, before the Effective Date (collectively "claims"), against PB and Releasees.

4.2 Leeman's Individual Release of Claims

Leeman, in her individual capacity only and *not* in her representative capacity, also provides a release to PB, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman's of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to lead in Products sold or distributed for sale by PB before the Effective Date.

4.3 PB's Release of Leeman

PB, on their own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Leeman and her

 attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

4.4 Mutual Waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542

The Parties each acknowledge she/it is familiar with Section 1542 of the Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties, each on his/its own behalf, and on behalf of his/its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits which they may have under, or which may be conferred upon them by the provisions of Civil Code section 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent she/it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters, as specifically defined by Sections 4.2 and 4.3, above.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or within such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. **SEVERABILITY**

Except as provided in Section 6 below, if, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally or as to the Products, and/or as to lead, then PB may

provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further 2 injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the 3 Products or lead are so affected. **NOTICE** 5 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment 6 shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, 7 return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses: CLP PB, LLC: Kathleen H. Goodhart Kenneth Lau, President Cooley LLP CLP PB, LLC 10 101 California Street 250 West 57th Street, 15th Floor 5th Floor New York, NY 10107 11 San Francisco, CA 94111-5800; Leeman: 12 Proposition 65 Coordinator 13 The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street 14 Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 15 16 Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to 17 which all notices and other communications shall be sent. 18 9. **COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES** 19 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of 20 which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the 21 same document. 22 // 23 // 24 $/\!\!/$ 25 //· 26 11 27

28

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

б

7.

26.

Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which Leeman shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts; and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any opposition or objection any third-party may file, if any, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by; (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. AUTHORIZATION

ACREED TO.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

ACDEED TO

AGREE TO:	
Date: 10-07-16	-
By: Kenneth Lau, President	
	Ву:

-8

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (CCP 1013a)

I certify that the following is true and correct:

I am a Deputy Clerk employed by the Alameda County Superior Court. I am over the age of 18 years. My business address is 2233 Shoreline Drive, Alameda, California. I served this Second Stipulation and Request to Judgment Prop 65 and Consent Judgment by placing copies in envelope(s) addressed as shown below and then by sealing and placing those for collection, stamping or metering with prepaid postage, and mailing on the date stated below, in the United States mail at Alameda California, following standard court practices.

Voorhees, Josh The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, St 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Date: January 13, 2017

Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court

Ву

Danielle Labrecque, Courtroom Clerk

Honorable Michael Markman

Department 302