

DEC 3 0 2016

JAMES M. KIM, Court Executive Officer MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT By: J. Berg, Deputy

Brian C. Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118

brian@chanler.com

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6

WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.

7 8

9

1

3

4

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF MARIN

UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

11

12

13

10

WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

14

DOREL INDUSTRIES INC., et al., 15

V.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. CIV1600303

↓PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

Date: 12/30/2014 Time: 1:30 p.m.

Dept.: E

Judge: Hon. Paul M. Haakenson

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. and defendant Pacific Cycle Inc. having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a stipulated judgment ("Consent Judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an order approving their Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DEC 3 0 2016

PAUL M. HAAKENSON

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

EXHIBIT 1

1 2 3 4	Brian C. Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.	
6		
7 8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF MARIN	
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
11		
12		
13	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.,	Case No. CIV1600303
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT PACIFIC CYCLE
15	v.	INC.
16	DOREL INDUSTRIES INC., et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17	Defendants.	
18	appenduation to the second sec	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Leeman"), and Pacific Cycle Inc. ("Pacific"), with Leeman and Pacific each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Pacific employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Leeman alleges that Pacific manufacturers, sells, or distributes for sale in California vinyl/PVC audio cords that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Products Defined

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Products" are defined as vinyl/PVC audio cords or cables containing DEHP that are imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Pacific including, but not limited to, the speaker cable component of the *Noize Speaker Case*, *Item#:*MG77776-4, UPC #0 38675 02631 5.

1.6 Notice of Violation

On October 28, 2015, Leeman served Pacific, and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"), alleging that Pacific violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to

DEHP from the Products. No public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On January 26, 2016, Leeman filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Pacific as a defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Pacific denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products it has sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Pacific's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Pacific as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATED PRODUCTS

Pacific shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale in California any Products with a production date after the Effective date except for Reformulated Products. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Products containing a maximum DEHP concentration of 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) in any component analyzed pursuant to U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and in settlement of all the claims referred to in the Notice, Complaint, and this Consent Judgment, Pacific shall pay \$2,500.00 in civil penalties. The civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty retained by Leeman. Pacific Cycle shall deliver its payment in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,875.00; and (b) "Whitney R. Leeman, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$625.00. Leeman's counsel shall be responsible for delivering OEHHA's portion of the penalty payment made under this Consent Judgment.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, the Parties negotiated the compensation due to Leeman and her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment, and through court approval of the same, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, if any. Pacific shall pay \$27,000.00 for all fees and costs incurred by Leeman investigating, bringing this matter to Pacific's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

3.3 Payment Timing; Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be held in trust until the Court approves the Parties' settlement. All payments due under this agreement shall be delivered within fifteen (15) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, and held in trust by

1 2 3

4

6

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

21

20

22

2324

25

2627

28

Pacific's counsel until the Effective Date. Pacific's counsel shall provide Leeman's counsel with written confirmation of its receipt of Pacific's settlement payments. Within five days of the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment, Pacific's counsel shall deliver the civil penalty and attorneys' fee reimbursement payments to Leeman's counsel at the address in Section 3.4.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 Leeman's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Leeman, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Pacific and its parents (including, without limitation, Dorel Industries, Inc.), subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees"), and each entity to whom Pacific directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, without limitation, its downstream customers, distributors, wholesalers, and retailers ("Downstream Releasees") for any violation arising under Proposition 65 pertaining to the failure to warn about exposures to DEHP from Products sold or distributed for sale by Pacific prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP from Products sold or distributed for sale by Pacific after the Effective Date.

4.2 Leeman's Individual Release of Claims

Leeman, in her individual capacity only and *not* in her representative capacity, also provides a release to Pacific, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products sold or distributed for sale by Pacific before the Effective Date.

4.3 Pacific's Release of Leeman

Pacific, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time to which the Parties agree in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally or as to the Products, then Pacific may provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Pacific:

Robert Kmoch, President Pacific Cycle Inc. 4902 Hammersley Road Madison, WI 53711

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27 28 with a copy to Pacific's counsel:

P. Mark Mahoney, Esq. Schiff Hardin LLP One Market, Spear St. Tower 32nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105

For Leeman:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f), a noticed motion for judicial approval of the settlement is required, which motion Held shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion, responding to any third-party objections, and appearing at the hearing on the motion, if so requested.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

Date: 10/7/2016

Date:

By: WHITNEY RAFEMAN. P

Robert Kmoch, President

PACIFIC CYCLE INC.