Michael Freund SBN 99687 Ryan Hoffman SBN 283297 2 Michael Freund & Associates 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 3 Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543

FILED. **ALAMEDA COUNTY**

DEC 0 6 2016

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC. a California non-profit corporation.

Plaintiff.

QIVANA, LLC, and DOES 1-100

Defendants.

CASE NO. RG16817735

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.

Action Filed: May 31, 2016 Trial Date: None set

1. INTRODUCTION

v.

On May 31, 2016, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a non-profit corporation and as a private enforcer, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against Qivana, LLC ("Qivana") and Does 1-100. In this action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed or sold by Qivana contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are: (1) Qivana

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

CASE NO. RG16817735

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

15

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

77

28

LLC Metaboliq Shake Vanilla, (2) Qivana LLC Metaboliq Shake Chocolate, (3) Qivana LLC Metaboliq Infusions Mocha, (4) Qivana LLC Qore Detox, and (5) Qivana LLC Qore Defense.

- 1.2 ERC and Qivana are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 ERC is a California non-profit corporation that, it alleges, is dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Qivana contends that it is a business entity that employed ten or more persons at certain, but not all times relevant to this action, and, to the extent it had ten or more employees at a given time, qualified as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Qivana manufactures, distributes and sells the Covered Products.
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated November 5, 2015, that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Qivana ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached as Exhibit A and is hereby incorporated by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was mailed and uploaded to the Attorney General's website, and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Qivana with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Qivana denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents,

 parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers. Except for the representations made above, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, at any time, for any purpose.

- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that (1) this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Qivana as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, (2) venue is proper in Alameda County, and (3) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

- 3.1 At any time that Qivana has 10 or more employees and qualifies as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65, Qivana shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California", or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Product which exposes a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms per day of lead when the maximum suggested dose is taken as directed on the Covered Product's label, unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.
 - 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State

25

26

27

28

of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Qivana knows will sell the Covered Product in California.

3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If Qivana is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Qivana shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4.

The warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of each Covered Product. In addition, for Covered Products sold over Qivana's website, the warning shall appear on Qivana's checkout page on its website for California consumers identifying any Covered Product, and also appear prior to completing checkout on Qivana's website when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product.

The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on its website or on the label or container of Qivana's product packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No other statements about Proposition 65 or lead may accompany the warning.

Qivana must display the above warnings with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, design of the label, container, or on its website, as applicable, to render

the warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the Daily Lead Exposure Level when the maximum suggested dose is taken as directed on the Reformulated Covered Product's label, contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

- Oivana has 10 or more employees and qualifies as a "person in the course of business" within them meaning of Proposition 65, Qivana shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of five consecutive years by arranging for testing of five randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which Qivana intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into California." The testing requirement does not apply to any of the Covered Products for which Qivana has provided the warning specified in Section 3.2. If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of five consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product.
- 3.4.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level", thearithmetic mean of the lead detection results of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties.

 3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration or possesses nationally-recognized 3rd party accreditation.

- 3.4.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Qivana's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- 3.4.6 Beginning on the Effective Date and continuing for a period of five years when the obligations of this Section 3 are applicable, Qivana shall arrange for copies of all laboratory reports with results of testing for lead content under Section 3.4.1 to be automatically sent by the testing laboratory directly to ERC within ten days after completion of the testing. This reporting requirement shall expire five years from the Effective Date. Qivana shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorney's fees, and costs, Qivana shall make a total payment of \$70,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") in ten consecutive equal monthly payments ("Settlement Payments" by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account, for which ERC will give Qivana the necessary account information. The first payment of \$7,000.00 shall be made within 5 business days of the Effective Date and the remaining nine payments of \$7,000.00 shall follow in 30 day increments thereafter ("Due Dates"). The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.2 \$22,066.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$16,549.50) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code §25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$5,516.50) of the civil penalty.

- 4.4 \$22,068.70 shall be distributed to ERC in lieu of further civil penalties, for day-to-day business activities such as (1) continued enforcement of Proposition 65, which includes analyzing, researching and testing consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 chemicals, focusing on the same or similar type of ingestible products that are the subject matter of the current action; (2) the continued monitoring of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with Proposition 65; and (3) giving a donation of \$1,100.00 to the Center For Environmental Health to address reducing toxic chemical exposures in California.
- 4.5 \$1,530.00 shall be distributed to Michael Freund as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, \$5,725.00 shall be distributed to Ryan Hoffman as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$14,455.99 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees.
- 4.6 In the event that Qivana fails to remit the Total Settlement Payment owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the Due Date, Qivana shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to Qivana via electronic mail. If Qivana fails to deliver the Total Settlement Payment within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Payment shall become immediately due and payable and shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, Qivana agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties or pursuant to Section 5.4 and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If Qivana seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then Qivana must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to Qivana within thirty days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Qivana in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Qivana a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

- In the event that Qivana initiates or otherwise requests a modification under 5.3 Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application of the Consent Judgment, Qivana shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.
- 5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated 6.2 Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform Qivana in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Qivana to identify the Covered Products at issue. Qivana shall, within thirty days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent thirdparty laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating Qivana's compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties shall first make a good-faith

APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to Covered Products which are distributed, sold, or consumed exclusively outside the State of California...

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Qivana and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of Qivana), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead up to and including the Effective Date.
- 8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, on one hand, and Qivana on its own behalf only, on the other, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice or Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
 - 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

alleged in the Notice or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, on one hand, and Qivana, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. ERC and Qivana acknowledge that the claims released in Section 8 may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

ERC on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Qivana, on the other hand, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542

- 8.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any releasee regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and the Complaint.
- Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or 8.5 environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Qivana's products other than the Covered Products.

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

GOVERNING LAW 10.

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail. Courtesy copies via

Land email may also be sent. 2 FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.: Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center 3 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 4 San Diego, CA 92108 Tel: (619) 500-3090 5 Email: chris_erc501c3@yahoo.com 6 With a copy to: 7 Michael Freund Ryan Hoffman 8 Michael Freund & Associates 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 540-1992 10 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543 11 QIVANA, LLC 12 Derek H. Hall Chief Executive Officer 13 Qivana 5255 Edgewood Drive 14 Provo. UT 84604 Telephone: 801-610-4602 15 Facsimile: 888-338-7222 16 17 With a copy to: 18 Maureen Gorsen 19 Paul Beard Alston & Bird, LLP 20 1115 11th Street Sacramento, CA 95814 21 Telephone: 916-498-3337 Facsimile: 213-576-2865 22 23 12. COURT APPROVAL 24 12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a 25 Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this 26 Consent Judgment. 27 28

12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.

12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect, and the parties shall make good faith efforts to craft a stipulated consent judgment that addresses the Court's objections.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

ENFORCEMENT

ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs,

 penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of

Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment,
but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by
law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

	Dated: 3/18/ ,2016	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, ING. 60 10 11/1/		
	3	By: / Jan		
	4	Chris Heritandly Disector		
	5 Dated:, 2016	QIVANA, LLC		
	6			
	7	By: Its:		
	APPROVED AS TO FORM:			
	Dated: 9/20 2016			
Ī	0 , 2010	MICHAEL FREUND & ASSOCIATES		
I	I	By: (312)		
1:	2	Mieffael Freund		
13	3	Ryan Hoffman		
		Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc.		
14				
1.5	Dated:, 2016	ALSTON & BIRD, LLP		
16	The state of the s			
17	7700	By:		
18		Attorney for Defendant Qivana, LLC		
19	ORDE	R AND JUDGMENT		
20	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is			
21	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.			
23	Dated: [2] 4 , 2016			
24		Judge of the Superior Court		
25				
26				
27				
28				
TATE SOLD WAS ASSESSED.	CTIDII	-		
- Table	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	CASE NO BC16917725		

}	25249.7(1)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.		
2			
3	Dated:	. 2016	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.
5			By: Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director
7	Dated: 8/24		QIVANA, LLC
8			By: Dorek Hall Its: CEO
10	APPROVED AS TO	FORM:	
2	Dated:	, 2016	MICHAEL FREUND & ASSOCIATES
(fully) water potential			By: Michael Freund Ryan Hoffman Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc.
7	Dated: 8/24	. 2016	ALSTON & BIRD, LLP
Point passes			Mar John
20			Attorney for Defendant Qivana, LLC
21	ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is		
And the second			
24	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms. IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
43 11		DIODGED AND D	EUKEED.
26	Dated:	, 2016	
27	Judge of the Superior Court		
85			
S	STIPULATED CONSENT JUI	OGMENT	
11			CASE NO. RG16817725