

1 **ENDORSED** FILED 2 ALAMEDA COUNTY 3 JUL 2 1 2016 4 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 11 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, Case No. RG 16-802669 a non-profit corporation, 12 Plaintiff. [PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT 13 AS TO SPIRIT HALLOWEEN VS. SUPERSTORES LLC 14 SPIRIT HALLOWEEN SUPERSTORES LLC. 15 16 Defendants. 17 18 INTRODUCTION 1. 19 1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by the Center For Environmental 20 Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH") on the one hand, and Spirit Halloween 21 Superstores LLC ("Defendant") on the other hand, to settle certain claims asserted by CEH 22 against Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint in the matter entitled Center for 23 Environmental Health v. Spirit Halloween Superstores LLC, et al., Alameda County Superior 24 Court Case No. RG 16-802669 (the "Action"). 25 1.2 On November 12, 2015, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation" relating to the 26 California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") to the 27 California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED -1-ON RECYCLED PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT - SPIRIT HALLOWEEN SUPERSTORES LLC - Case No. RG 16-802669

regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds (collectively referred to herein as "Lead") in the Spirit Western Belt & Holster, SKU No. 07206634 ("Covered Products").

1.3 On February 3, 2016, CEH filed the Complaint in the Action naming

Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendant

- 1.3 On February 3, 2016, CEH filed the Complaint in the Action naming Defendant as a defendant in the Action.
- 1.4 Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products in the State of California.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law, or equitable requirements. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law. Defendant denies the material factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly denies any wrong doing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is

accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the date of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Effective Date"), Defendant shall not manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product in California or anywhere else unless such Covered Product complies with the following Lead Limits:
- 2.1.1 "Paint or other Surface Coatings" as that term is defined in 16 C.F.R. § 1303.2(b): no more than 0.009 percent Lead by weight (90 parts per million) ("ppm").
- 2.1.2 Polyvinyl chloride ("PVC"): no more than 0.02 percent Lead by weight (200 ppm).
- 2.1.3 All other materials other than cubic zirconia (sometimes called cubic zirconium, CZ), crystal, glass or rhinestones: no more than 0.03 percent Lead by weight (300 ppm).
- 2.2 Market Withdrawal of Covered Products. On or before August 31, 2016, Defendant shall withdraw all Covered Products that do not meet the Lead Limits ("Recall Covered Products") from the market in California, and, at a minimum, send instructions to any of its stores and/or customers that offer the Recall Covered Products for sale in California to cease offering such Recall Covered Products for sale and to either return all Recall Covered Products to Defendant for destruction, or to directly destroy the Recall Covered Products. Any destruction of the Recall Covered Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws. Defendant shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records and correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of the Recall Covered Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Parties shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court.

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 **Enforcement Procedures.** Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of Section 2 of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall

provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion, or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 **Payments from Defendant.** Within five (5) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$35,000, as further set forth in this Section.
- Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks in the amounts set forth below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
- 4.2.1 A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in the amount of \$4,600. The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (i.e., 25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment in the amount of \$3,450 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment in the amount of \$1,150 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.2 A payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b) in the amount of \$6,900. CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments and to purchase and test Covered Products to confirm compliance with such reformulation requirements. In addition, as part of its *Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund*, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.3 A reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$23,500. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.

5

3

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH and Defendant and Defendant's parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant, the Defendant Releasees, and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Defendant prevail on any such motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding, Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- 9.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 9.3 Nothing in this Section 9 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other

1	provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.		
2	12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION		
3	12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the		
4	Consent Judgment.		
5	13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT		
6	13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully		
7	authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter int		
8	and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that		
9	Party.		
10	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS		
11	14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any		
12	claim against another entity on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent		
13	Judgment.		
14	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
15	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts		
16	and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.		
17			
18	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED			
ON RECYCLED PAPER	-9-		

CONSENT JUDGMENT - SPIRIT HALLOWEEN SUPERSTORES LLC - Case No. RG 16-802669

·		
1 2	Dated: 11 MARCH, 2016	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3		
4		Signature
5		
6		CHARLE PLACES.
7		Printed Name
8		
9		AZGOCIATE DIRECTOR
10		Title
11	Dated:, 2016	SPIRIT HALLOWEEN SUPERSTORES LLC
12		
13		
14		Signature
15		
16		
17		Printed Name
18		
19		
20		Title
21		
22	·	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	
24	·	
25	Dated:	
26		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
27		
28		•
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-10-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – SPIRIT HAI	LLOWEEN SUPERSTORES LLC Case No. RG 16-802669

4		
1 2	Dated:, 2016	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3		
4		Signature
5		Oignaeuro .
6		
7		Printed Name
8		
9		
10		Title
11	Dated: <u>March 2</u> , 2016	SPIRIT HALLOWEEN SUPERSTORES LLC
12		
13		fu le t
14		Signature
15 16		
16		Kevin W. Mahoney
17		Printed Name
19		
20		Vice President and General Counsel Title
21		
22		Λ
23	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,	
24	AND DECREED	
25	Dated: 12/2//	
26	, .	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
27		The second of th
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	COMPONE WE CAN THE CONTROL OF THE CO	-10-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT - SPIRIT HA	LLOWEEN SUPERSTORES LLC - Case No. RG 16-802669