ļ			
1 2	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484) LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles	
3	Los Angeles, CA 90069	DEC -7 2016	
4	Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By Daniel No.	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, Elise Roskopf	By Daniel Haro, Deputy	
6	Attorney for Flamum, Elise Roskop		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
8			
9 10	ELISE ROSKOPF, an individual,	CASE NO. BC 633962	
11	Plaintiff,	CONCENT HIDCMENT	
12	v.)	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
13	RICHELIEU AMERICA LTD., a corporation,)	Judge: Hon. Met Rea record	
	RICHELIEU HARDWARE LTD., a) corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,	Judge: Hon. Mel Red Recann Dept.: 45 Compl. Filed: Sept-14, 2016	
14	inclusive,	Unlimited Jurisdiction	
15	Defendants.)		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	1. INTRODUCTION		
21		d into by Elise Roskopf ("Plaintiff") on the one	
22			
23	Defendants"), on the other hand, to settle certain claims asserted by Plaintiff against Settlin		
24	Defendants as set forth in the operative complaint in this matter.		
25	1.2 On December 3, 2015, Plaintiff provided a sixty-day notice of violation relating t		
26	the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") to the		
27	Settling Defendants, the California Attorney General, and the various public enforcement		
28	:		

9

6

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18 19

21 22

20

2425

23

26 27

28

agencies regarding the alleged Proposition 65 violations relating to the presence of lead in Onward Picture Hanging Kit #4516-R.

- 1.3 Plaintiff subsequently filed the Complaint in this action and named Settling Defendants as defendants.
- 1.4 Plaintiff alleges that Settling Defendants manufacture, distribute, and/or sell Covered Products in the State of California without Proposition 65 warnings.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Plaintiff and Settling Defendants (collectively, the "Parties" and individually, a "Party") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 664.6 and Proposition 65.
- Plaintiff and Settling Defendants enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and 1.6 final settlement of all claims raised in the Complaint and arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendants alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law, or equitable requirements. Except as expressly stated herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by any Party of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by any Party of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendants each deny the material, factual and legal allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint and expressly deny any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling,

compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 The term "Covered Products" means Onward Picture Hanging Kit #4516-R.
- 2.2 The term "Effective Date" means the date of notice of entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment of the court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- distribute or sell any Covered Product after the Effective Date to any of its distributors or retailers that it knows or has reason to believe will sell or offer that Covered Product for sale to California consumers ("California Distributor"), unless either (a) the galvanizing solution in which the materials in the Covered Products are submerged has a lead content by weight of no more than 300 parts per million (0.03%), and all non-galvanized materials (e.g., brass alloys) contain a lead content by weight of no more than 300 parts per million (0.03%), or (b) the Covered Product is distributed or sold with a clear and reasonable warning as described in Section 3.2.
- 3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Consent Judgment shall state:

"WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm."

If any of the Settling Defendants has reason to believe the Covered Product contains additional chemicals listed under Proposition 65, the word "chemicals" may be used in place of "a chemical". This statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product or on the packaging of the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual.

A Covered Product that is sold by a Settling Defendant online shall also provide the warning message by a clearly marked hyperlink on the product display page, or otherwise

22.

prominently displayed to the purchaser before the purchaser completes his or her purchase of the Covered Product. Plaintiff understands that Settling Defendants do not control third party websites; therefore, this paragraph does not require Settling Defendants to assume control over any third party websites.

- 3.3 Within 30 days of the Effective Date, each Settling Defendant shall notify each of its California Distributors by first-class mail, email, facsimile, or equivalent means, that any Covered Products sold or distributed by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date that are not reformulated pursuant to Section 3.1 or labeled with a Proposition 65 warning pursuant to Section 3.2 may not be sold to California consumers without providing a clear and reasonable warning that complies with Section 3.2. Settling Defendants shall further inform California Distributors that any Covered Products sold over the internet to California consumers shall provide the warning statement by a clearly marked hyperlink on the product display page, or otherwise prominently displayed to the purchaser before the purchaser completes his or her purchase of the Covered Product. The obligations of Paragraphs 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 shall not apply to any individual Covered Product that was sold or distributed by any of the Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.
- 3.4 If the Proposition 65 warning regulations in effect as of the Effective Date (27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25601 et seq.) are subsequently amended to provide for different warning messages and/or methods, a Settling Defendant may, at its option, provide warnings for Covered Products that comply with any amended safe harbor or mandatory regulations as they pertain to Covered Products, in lieu of the provisions of Section 3.2.

4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 Payments From Settling Defendants. Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall pay the total collective sum of eleven thousand dollars (\$11,000.00).
- 4.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount from Settling Defendants shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak, 8335 W. Sunset Blvd., Suite 217, Los Angeles, CA 90069, and made payable and allocated as follows:

- 4.2.1 Settling Defendants shall pay the collective sum of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12, with 75% (\$1,500.00) paid to State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% (\$500.00) paid to Plaintiff. Settling Defendants shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500.00; and (2) a check made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of \$500.00.
- 4.2.2 Settlings Defendant shall pay the collective sum of nine thousand dollars (\$9,000.00) as reimbursement of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. The attorney's fees and costs reimbursement check shall be made payable to the "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak".

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Plaintiff and Settling Defendants and each of Settling Defendants' members, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership and control, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all to whom they have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees, including Staples, Inc. ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), arising out of any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the alleged failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, sold, or imported by Settling Defendants or Defendant Releasees prior to the Effective Date.
- 5.2 Plaintiff, for herself and acting in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the alleged failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with the

Covered Products manufactured, distributed, sold, or imported by Settling Defendants or Defendant Releasees prior to the Effective Date.

- 5.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants, the Defendant Releasees, and their Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendants, the Defendant Releasees, and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about lead in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, sold, or imported by Settling Defendants or Defendant Releasees after the Effective Date.
- 5.4 Settling Defendants and Defendant Releasees waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff and her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, experts and successors and assignees (each in their capacity as such) for actions or statements made or undertaken in connection with the claims resolved in this Consent Judgment.

6. COURT APPROVAL

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall file a noticed Motion for Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

7. SEVERABILITY

Subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment, for any reason, be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

9. <u>NOTICES</u>

All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as follows: TO PLAINTIFF: TO SETTLING DEFENDANTS:

Edward J. Heath, Esq. **ROBINSON & COLE LLP** 280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103

Lucas Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

INTEGRATION 10.

This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and may not be amended or modified except in writing.

COUNTERPARTS 11.

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

/// 111

21 111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 /// 23

/// 24 ///

25 /// 26 ///

27 III28 ///

AUTHORIZATION

1

2

3

28

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions nt or

4	of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this
5	Consent Judgment and is not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prever
6	interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said Party.
7	
8	AGREED TO:
9	Date: September 21, 2016 Printed Name: YANNich Godfau
10	Printed Name: YANNich Godfau
11	By:
12	Authorized Officer of Defendant, Richelieu America Ltd.
13	
14	AGREED TO:
15	Date: Schlenota 21, 2016
16	Date: September 21, 2016 Printed Name: Yannich Godenu
17	By:
18	Authorized Officer of Defendant, Richelieu Hardware Ltd.
19	
20	AGREED TO:
21	Date:
22	By:
23	Plaintiff, Elise Roskopf
24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	
27	Dated:

8

17	ATTEMADIZATION
12.	AUTHORIZATION
A 484	

2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
3	respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions
4	of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this
5	Consent Judgment and is not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or
6	interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said Party.
7	
8	AGREED TO:
9	Date:
10	Printed Name:
11	Ву:
12	Authorized Officer of Defendant, Richelieu America Ltd.
13	
14	AGREED TO:
15	Date:
16	Printed Name:
17	By:
18	Authorized Officer of Defendant, Richelieu Hardware Ltd.
19	
20	AGREED TO:
21	Date: 9/9/16
22	By: Clise Roskoff
23	Plaintiff, Elise Roskopf
24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	DEC - 7 2016
27	Dated:
28	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT