Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 1 Warren M. Klein, State Bar No. 303958 THE CHANLER GROUP 2 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 3 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 4 JAN 3 1 2017 JAMES M. KIM, Court Executive Officer MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT cliff@chanler.com 5 warren@chanler.com By: E. Chais, Deputy 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D. 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF MARIN 11 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 12 13 WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D., Case No. CIV1600968 14 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT **TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65** 15 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT V. **JUDGMENT** 16 GENESCO INC., et al., Date: January 31, 2017
Time: 1:30 p.m. 17 Defendants. Dept.: 18 Judge: Hon. Geoffrey M. Howard 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. and defendants Genesco Inc., and Hat World, Inc., having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a consent judgment, and following this Court's issuance of an order approving their Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

STEPHEN P. FRECCERO

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

EXHIBIT 1

1 2	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Warren M. Klein, State Bar No. 303958 THE CHANLER GROUP		
3	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214		
4	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880		
5	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 E-mail: Josh@Chanler.com		
6	E-mail: Warren@Chanler.com		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.		
8			
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	COUNTY OF MARIN		
12	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
13	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
14			
15			
16	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.,	Case No. CIV1600968	
17	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
18	v. ((Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)	
19	GENESCO INC.; et al.,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Leeman") on the one hand, and Genesco Inc.and Hat World, Inc. (collectively "Settling Defendants") on the other, with Leeman and Settling Defendants each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendants

Settling Defendants employ ten or more persons and are each a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Leeman alleges that Settling Defendants manufacture, import, sell, or distribute for sale in California, hat luggage cases with vinyl/PVC shoulder straps containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

Settling Defendants' products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as hat luggage cases with vinyl/PVC shoulder straps containing DEHP including, without limitation, *Lids Luggage, Item 20326157-990, UPC #4 02023 33297 5*, which are manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale by Settling Defendants in the State of California, hereinafter the "Product[s]."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On December 29, 2015, Leeman served Settling Defendants and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") alleging that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 when they failed to warn their customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On or about March 17, 2016, Leeman filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Settling Defendants as defendants for alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Settling Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintain that all of the products that they have sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Settling Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

4 5

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS

2.1 Reformulated Products

Commencing on the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Settling Defendants shall only purchase for sale, manufacture for sale, import, sell, or distribute for sale in California "Reformulated Products," or Products that are sold with a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Section 2.2 below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are products that contain DEHP in concentrations of less than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or any other methodology utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining the DEHP content in a solid substance.

2.2 Product Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall provide clear and reasonable warnings for all Products as set forth in subsections 2.2(a) and (b) that do not currently have warnings and that do not qualify as Reformulated Products. Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which *specific* Product the warning applies, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion.

(a) Retail Store Sales.

(i) Product Labeling. Settling Defendants shall affix a warning to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Product packaging provided for sale in retail outlets in California that states:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harms.

25

26

27

28



M WARNING:

The following products can expose you to chemicals, including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

[list products for which warning is required]

- **(b)** Mail Order Catalog and Internet Sales. In the event that Settling Defendants sell Products via mail order catalog and/or the internet, to customers located in California, after the Effective Date, that are not Reformulated Products, Settling Defendants shall provide warnings for such Products sold via mail order catalog or the internet to California residents. Warnings given in the mail order catalog or on the internet shall identify the *specific* Product to which the warning applies as further specified in Sections 2.2(b)(i) and (ii).
- (i) Mail Order Catalog Warning. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog shall be in the same type size or larger than the Product description text within the catalog. The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harms.

Or.



M WARNING:

This product can expose you to chemicals, including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product, Settling Defendants may utilize a designated symbol to cross reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the Product(s):

28

WARNING: Certain products identified with this symbol **V** and offered for sale in this catalog contain DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harms.

Or.



Certain products identified with this symbol \(\nbeggreen\) and offered for sale in this catalog can expose you to chemicals, including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the Product. On each page where the designated symbol appears, Settling Defendants must provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

(ii) Internet Website Warning. A warning shall be given in conjunction with the sale of the Products via the internet, which warning shall appear either: (a) on the same web page on which a Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for a Product; (c) on the same page as the price for any Product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which it is given in the same type size or larger than the Product description text:

> WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harms.

Or,

WARNING:

This product can expose you to chemicals, including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

Alternatively, the designated symbol may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which a warning is being given, provided that the following warning statement also appears elsewhere on the same web page, as follows:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harms.

Or,



This product can expose you to chemicals, including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants shall pay \$14,000 in civil penalties. Each civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d) with seventy-five percent (75%) of the funds paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds allocated to Leeman.

3.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty

Within fifteen (15) days of the mutual execution of this Consent Judgement, Settling Defendants shall issue two checks for their initial civil penalty payment as follows: (1) a check in the amount of \$3,000 made payable to California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and (2) a check in the amount of \$1,000 made payable to "Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D., Client Trust Account," and shall deliver said checks to Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, c/o Deanne Miller, Esq. Defendants' counsel shall provide The Chanler Group with written confirmation within five days of receipt that the check has been received. Within five (5) days of the date the Court approves this Consent Judgment, Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP shall deliver the

checks for the initial civil penalty payment to Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. by mailing to the Chanler Group, pursuant to Section 3.3.1. Leeman shall be responsible for delivering OEHHA's portion of any penalty payment(s) made under this Consent Judgment.

3.1.2 Final Civil Penalty

On or before July 30, 2017, Settling Defendants shall make a final civil penalty payment of \$10,000. Pursuant to title 11 California Code of Regulations, section 3203(c), Leeman agrees that the final civil penalty payment shall be waived in its entirety if, no later than July 15, 2017, an officer of Settling Defendants provide Leeman with written certification to verify that they are no longer offering or distributing for sale in California the Products, or are only offering for sale and distributing for sale in California, Reformulated Products as defined in section 2.1, above. The option to certify reformulation in lieu of making the final civil penalty payment required by this Section is a material term and time is of the essence.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Settling Defendants expressed a desire to resolve Leeman's fees and costs. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Leeman's and her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendants shall, within fifteen (15) days of the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment, issue a check payable to "The Chanler Group" in the amount of fees and costs of \$31,000 to be held by Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP for The Chanler Group. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP shall provide The Chanler Group with written confirmation within five days of receipt that the check has been received. Within five (5) days of the date the Court approves this Consent Judgment, Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP shall deliver the check by mailing same to the Chanler Group, c/o Warren Klein.

234

5

7

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

3.3 Payment Procedures

Except for the final civil penalty payment required by Section 3.1.2, the checks for payment due under this Consent Judgment are to be delivered within fifteen (15) days of the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment, to Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, and released to The Chanler Group and Leeman within five (5) days of the date the Court approves this Consent Judgment, according to the following subsection.

3.3.1 Payment Address

All payments required under this Consent Judgment and tax documentation for OEHHA, Leeman, and her counsel shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Leeman's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Leeman, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom it directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products, including but not limited to their downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from the Products sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice.

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the failure to warn about exposures to DEHP in Products sold or distributed after the Effective Date.

4.2 Leeman's Individual Release of Claims

Leeman, in her individual capacity only and *not* in her representative capacity, also provides a release to Settling Defendants, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a

full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to phthalates, including DEHP, from the Products sold or distributed for sale by Settling Defendants before the Effective Date.

4.3 Settling Defendants' Release of Leeman

Settling Defendants, on their own behalf, and on behalf of their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties.

6. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Settling Defendants may provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the

1	Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Settling	
2	Defendants from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control laws.	
3	8. NOTICE	
4	Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment	
5	shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail,	
6	return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:	
7	For Setting Defendants:	
8	Office of the General Counsel	
9	Nashville TN 37202	
10		
11	With a copy to:	
12	Deanne Miller, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 300 South Grand Ave., 22 nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071	
13		
14		
15	For Leeman:	
16		
17		
18	Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710	
19	Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all	
20	notices and other communications shall be sent.	
21	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>	
22	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable	
23	document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when	
24	taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.	
25		
26		
27	10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES	
28	Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and	

28