

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

Oct-13-2016 2:24 pm

Case Number: CGC-16-551517

Filing Date: Oct-13-2016 2:24

Filed by: ROSIE NOGUERA

Image: 05590719

TEXT JUDGMENT

AS YOU SOW VS. RAINBOW LIGHT NUTRITIONAL SYSTEMS, INC. ET AL

001C05590719

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

Superior Court of California 1 ELLISON FOLK (State Bar No. 149232) LAURA D. BEATON (State Bar No. 294466) County of San Francisco OCT 13 2016 SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP 396 Hayes Street San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 552-7272 Facsimile: (415) 552-5816 CLERK OF THE COURT Deputy Clerk folk@smwlaw.com beaton@smwlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff AS YOU SOW STEVEN R. TEKOSKY TATRO TEKOSKY SADWICK LLP 333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 4270 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 225-7171 Facsimile: (213) 225-7151 10 Facsimile: stekosky@ttsmlaw.com 11 Attorneys for Defendant RAINBOW LIGHT, LLC 12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 14 15 AS YOU SOW, Case No. CGC 15-551517 16 TND (PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT 17 Plaintiff, 18 19 RAINBOW LIGHT NUTRITIONAL SYSTEMS, INC., and DOES 1 through 10, 20 inclusive, Defendants. 21 22 23 24 .25 26 27 28

PROPOSEIVI CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. CGC 15-551517

- 11
- 14 15
- 16

- 22
- 24

- On September 17, 2014, As You Sow, Inc. ("AYS") issued a 60-day notice of violation ("Original Notice") under California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65") that was served on Defendant Rainbow Light Nutritional Systems, Inc. and all required California public enforcers. On October 14, 2014, Rainbow Light Nutritional Systems, Inc. converted to a limited liability company, changing its name to Rainbow Light Nutritional Systems, LLC ("Rainbow"). Rainbow is the successor in interest to Defendant. In the Original Notice, AYS alleged that Rainbow Light Creamy Vanilla Protein Energizer, a protein supplement manufactured, distributed, or sold by Rainbow, causes exposures to cadmium and that this product requires warnings under Proposition 65.
- On February 5, 2016, AYS issued a 60-day notice of violation ("Second Notice") under Proposition 65 that was served on Rainbow and all required California public enforcers. In the Second Notice, AYS alleged that Rainbow Light Chocolate Protein Energizer and other similar products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Rainbow cause exposures to cadmium and that these products require warnings under Proposition 65.
- 1.3 Together, the Protein Energizer products described in Sections 1.1 and 1.2, as well as all of Rainbow's varieties, sizes, flavors, packaging, forms, potencies and any other variations of protein supplements and protein supplement products supplying at least five grams of protein according to the "Nutrition Facts" or "Supplement Facts" panel on the product label previously or currently, or are in the future, manufactured by, sold by, introduced into the stream of commerce, or distributed directly or indirectly in or into California by, or on behalf of, Rainbow are referred to herein as the "Protein Supplement Products," including such products otherwise meeting the definition of "Protein Supplement Products" set forth in this paragraph which are first introduced into California subsequent to the effective date of this Consent Judgment. The Protein Supplement Products that are covered by this Consent Judgment include, but are not 26 | limited to, Rainbow Light Creamy Vanilla Protein Energizer, Rainbow Light Chocolate Protein 27 || Energizer, Rainbow Light Acai Berry Blast Protein Energizer, Prenatal and Postnatal Protein Energizer Vanilla, Vegan Lean Protein Vanilla and Vegan Lean Protein – Berry.

- 1.4 On April 15, 2016, AYS individually and on behalf of the public interest, initiated this action ("Action") against Rainbow. The Complaint ("Complaint") asserted a cause of action under Proposition 65 on the basis of the allegations contained in the Original Notice and Second Notice.
- 1.5 Rainbow denies AYS's claims that the Protein Supplement Products require warnings under Proposition 65.
- 1.6 AYS and Rainbow (referred to herein collectively as the "Parties" and individually as a "Party") also dispute how exposure levels to cadmium are calculated under Proposition 65.
- 1.7 For the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation concerning the claims and defenses in this Action, the Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein, or which could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment, Rainbow does not admit any violation of Proposition 65 or any other law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Rainbow of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or affect the responsibilities and duties of the Parties under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered by the Court.

2. MONITORING

2.1 At least 60 days prior to the applicable Compliance Date (as defined in Section 3.1 below), or, in the instance of a Subsequently Produced Protein Supplement Product, 60 days prior to the introduction of a Subsequently Produced Protein Supplement Product that Rainbow intends to distribute or sell in California, Rainbow will test or arrange for testing for cadmium in each of its Protein Supplement Products that it intends to distribute or sell in California, using the methods described in Sections 2.3 and 2.4. In establishing an initial data set for purposes of this Consent Judgment, Rainbow may rely on testing conducted prior to entry of this Consent Judgment if such testing documents cadmium levels in Protein Supplement Products either already in the stream of commerce or that are ready for distribution or sale.

- 2.2 After establishing the initial data set described in Section 2.1, Rainbow shall repeat the testing as described in Sections 2.3 and 2.4 annually for a period of three years (the "Testing Period").
- 2.3 Rainbow may use a testing laboratory certified by EPA, FDA, or the State of California, or one of the laboratories listed in Exhibit A. The cadmium concentrations must be measured using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry ("ICP-MS") utilizing scientifically appropriate adherence to the protocols set forth in EPA Methods 6020 and 6020a. The laboratory must digest at least 0.5 grams of each sample with a level of detection of at least 4 parts per billion. The sample preparation method must use a microwave- or heat-assisted acid digestion method.
- 2.4 To fulfill its testing obligations, using a testing method described in Section 2.3, Rainbow must test or cause to be tested three samples of the final product for each Protein Supplement Product, with samples randomly selected from three different lots (or from the maximum number of lots that are available for testing if there are fewer than three lots available). All laboratory test data and certifications must be retained by Rainbow for a period of three years from the date of testing. The requirements of Sections 2.2 and 2.3 do not apply to any Protein Supplement Products for which Rainbow has provided a warning that complies with Section 3.2.
 - 2.4.1 Rainbow shall send to AYS a full copy of all test results obtained pursuant to sections 2.1 through 2.4 within 21 days after AYS requests the results.
 - 2.4.2 Any single test result which exceeds 6.25 micrograms of cadmium, in a Daily Serving, as calculated under this Section 2 shall be deemed an "Outlier."
 - 2.4.3 At Rainbow's option, any single Outlier test result may be subject to validation before it is deemed a final Outlier result for purposes of this Consent Judgment. The validation process shall consist of two steps:
 - (a) First, Rainbow shall check for equipment problems, test-process errors, validation-procedure errors, laboratory contamination, operator errors, and any other factors which could have produced an erroneous result. If the result is determined erroneous due to testing error or failure to satisfy quality assurance or quality-control procedures, the result shall

be discarded and not used for any purpose under this Consent Judgment. The Protein Supplement Product shall then be re-tested as if such test were the first test.

- (b) Second, if a single Outlier test result is obtained and the steps in Section 2.4.3(a) have not invalidated the result, then Rainbow may collect up to three more samples from the same lot or batch and have those samples tested in accordance with this Section 2. The arithmetic mean of the test results of all samples tested from the single lot or batch (including the original Outlier test result) shall then be determined. That mean test result shall be deemed the final result and shall constitute the applicable test result for purposes of this Consent Judgment. If this validated test result is an Outlier as defined in Section 2.4.2, then the terms of Section 3.3 shall apply to that Outlier test result.
- 2.5 Rainbow shall provide to AYS within 45 days of completing the initial testing of its Protein Supplement Products under Section 2.1, and annually thereafter during the Testing Period set forth in Section 2.2, a list of all such products for which the test results obtained pursuant to this Section 2 indicate a Proposition 65 warning is required pursuant to Section 3 herein.
- 2.6 During the term of this Consent Judgment, Rainbow shall continue to take good faith and commercially reasonable efforts to further reduce any cadmium in the Protein Supplement Products. These efforts shall include, at a minimum, efforts to secure ingredients with lower cadmium content; obligating suppliers to use the highest quality materials (with respect to cadmium content) that are feasible in the manufacturing process; contacting suppliers of product ingredients in an effort to reduce cadmium in the ingredients through means such as review of supplier manufacturing process; alternative ingredient sourcing; reformulation(s) of the Products to reduce cadmium; and use of processes or methods to extract metals from product ingredients. The requirements of this Section 2.6 do not apply to any Protein Supplement Product that contains less than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium in a Daily Serving.

3. CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

3.1 Commencing on April 30, 2017 (the "Compliance Date"), warnings are required under Proposition 65 only with respect to Protein Supplement Products that Rainbow sells to

 California consumers that expose users to more than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium in a Daily Serving.

- 3.2 A "Daily Serving" (for purposes of determining Proposition 65 compliance for chemicals present in the Protein Supplement Products) shall be defined as one of the following, as applicable: (a) if the Protein Supplement Product label includes a recommended number of servings, then the recommended serving size, so long as the recommended serving size is equal to or larger than the serving size set forth on the "Nutritional Facts" or "Supplement Facts" portion of the label; (b) if the Protein Supplement Product label includes a smaller serving size, or no recommended number of servings, then the serving size set forth on the "Nutritional Facts" or "Supplement Facts" portion of the label; or (c) if the Protein Supplement Product label recommends a range of servings in one day, then the amount that is the higher recommended total daily serving.
- 3.3 When calculating whether a Protein Supplement Product exceeds the warning threshold set forth in Section 3.1. Rainbow must compare the warning threshold value to the arithmetic mean of at least three samples tested in accordance with Section 2. Rainbow must base its calculation on the Daily Serving amount as defined in Section 3.2. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Rainbow may not include an Outlier test result (as defined in Section 2.4.2) in calculating the arithmetic mean, and if Rainbow elects to sell a Protein Supplement Product to California consumers for which an Outlier test result is obtained and validated as provided for in Section 2.4.3, then that specific product lot or batch from which the Outlier result was derived shall be subject to the warning obligations of this Section 3. Rainbow shall have 30 days from the date the relevant test result mean is calculated to satisfy the applicable obligations of this Section 3 for Protein Supplement Products manufactured, distributed, or sold after that date.
- 3.4 Warning Standard. Commencing on April 30, 2017, Rainbow shall not manufacture for sale in the State of California, distribute into the State of California, or sell in the State of California any Protein Supplement Product the ingestion of which results in an exposure greater than the applicable warning threshold set forth in Section 3.1, as calculated in accordance with Section 3.3, unless a warning is placed or affixed on the packaging, labeling, or directly to or

WARNING (California Proposition 65): This product contains chemicals known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

(hereinafter "Product Warning"). The Product Warning shall be placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, and/or devices on the labeling or packaging as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. In addition, if the Product Warning is displayed on the product container or labeling, the warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the container or labeling, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. If printed on the labeling itself, the Product Warning shall be contained in the same section of the labeling that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the Protein Supplement Product.

3.4.1 Internet Sales

- Supplement Products subject to the warning requirements of Section 3.4, the warning language required under this Consent Judgment shall be displayed in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text, either: (1) on the same page as the order form for the Protein Supplement Product; (2) on the same page as the price for the Protein Supplement Product is displayed; or (3) in a dialogue box which appears and is visible without scrolling when a California address for delivery is provided by the consumer, so long as the dialogue box appears prior to the completion of the internet sale and requires the consumer to affirmatively accept receipt of the warning set forth in the dialogue box (the warning language in the dialogue box shall be displayed in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text on the screen at the time of the appearance of the dialogue box), as a condition precedent to completing the sale. If necessary, the Product Warning shall be added within one month of the date that testing identifies the need for a Product Warning.
- (b) For sales of Protein Supplement Products to California consumers through the website of an online-retailer customer of Rainbow, prior to the Compliance Date, Rainbow shall send by first-class mail or overnight delivery a letter instructing the customer to

provide warning in the same manner as required under Subsection 3.4.1(a). The letter shall state that failure to provide these warnings may result in liability for the online retailer. In the letter, Rainbow shall request that the online retailer customer respond with a written acknowledgement that it will comply with Rainbow's instructions. If Rainbow complies with the requirements of this subsection (b), Rainbow shall not be found to have violated this Consent Judgment where an online retailer customer fails to provide the warnings required under this Subsection 3.4.1(b).

- 3.4.2 Mail-Order Sales. For any mail-order sales by Rainbow to a consumer in California, the warning language required under this Consent Judgment shall also be included in the mail-order catalogue, either on the same page as any order form and designating the product for which the warning is provided, or on the same page upon which the Protein Supplement Product's price is listed, in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text. If a warning is necessary pursuant to Section 3.4 above, the Product Warning shall be added in the first print run of the mail-order catalogue which occurs following testing that establishes the need for a product warning, or within three months, whichever occurs first.
- 3.5 Any changes to the language or format of the warnings required under Section 3.4 shall be made only after Court approval and following written notice to Plaintiff and to the Attorney General.
- 3.6 At least 60 days before any discontinuance of any warnings that Rainbow has issued pursuant to this Consent Judgment, Rainbow shall conduct the testing required to demonstrate that the Protein Supplement Products conform to Section 3.1, using the analytical methods set forth in Sections 2.3 and 2.4. If Rainbow intends to discontinue a warning, it shall promptly notify AYS no later than 30 days prior to discontinuing the warning. This Section 3.6 shall not apply to a Protein Supplement Product that is discontinued.

4. MONETARY RELIEF

- 4.1 Within 15 days of the Effective Date, Rainbow shall pay \$44,000 in the form of a check made payable to the Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP trust account as reimbursement for AYS's attorneys' fees, investigative costs, and other reasonable litigation costs and expenses.
 - 4.2 Within 15 days of the Effective Date, Rainbow shall pay \$23,000 in the form of a

11

14

15

17

18

19 20

2223

24 25

26 27

28

check made payable to As You Sow as a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b). AYS shall remit 75% of this amount to the State of California pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(b). Rainbow shall have no liability if payments to the State of California are not made by AYS.

- Additional Settlement Payments: Additionally, within 15 days of the Effective 4.3 Date, Rainbow shall pay \$23,000 as an Additional Settlement Payment in lieu of additional civil penalties, with this amount to be used by AYS for grants to California non-profit organizations and by the AYS Environmental Enforcement Fund. These funds shall be used to reduce or remediate exposures to toxic chemicals and to increase consumer, worker, and community awareness of the health hazards posed by toxic chemicals in California via AYS's program work. In deciding among grantee proposals, the AYS Board of Directors ("Board") takes into consideration a number of important factors, including: (1) the nexus between the harm done in the underlying case(s) and the grant program work; (2) the potential for toxics reduction, prevention, remediation, or education benefits to California citizens from the proposal; (3) the budget requirements of the proposed grantee and the alternate funding sources available to it for its project; and (4) the Board's assessment of the grantee's chances for success in its program work. AYS shall ensure that all funds will be disbursed and used in accordance with AYS's mission statement, articles of incorporation, and bylaws and applicable state and federal laws and regulations.
- 4.4 AYS shall maintain adequate records to document that the Additional Settlement Payment funds are spent in the way described in Section 4.3. AYS will require entities receiving grants from these funds to provide AYS with documentation accounting for how the funds are spent.
- 4.5 Within 30 days of a request by the Attorney General, AYS shall provide to the Attorney General all documentation demonstrating how the Additional Settlement Payment funds have been spent.
- 4.6 Except as provided in Section 11.1, the payments made pursuant to this Section 4 shall be the only monetary obligation of Rainbow with respect to this Consent Judgment,

23 |

including as to any fees, costs, or expenses AYS has incurred in relation to this Action.

5. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

AYS agrees to comply with the reporting requirements referenced in California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). Pursuant to the regulations promulgated under that section, AYS shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two days after receipt of all necessary signatures. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, a noticed motion must be filed to obtain judicial approval of the Consent Judgment. Accordingly, a motion for approval of the Consent Judgment shall be prepared and filed by AYS within a reasonable period of time after the date this Consent Judgment is signed by all Parties.

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (1) written agreement among the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of AYS or Rainbow as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon. All Parties and the California Attorney General's Office shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least 15 days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon AYS and Rainbow, their respective officers, directors, and shareholders and the predecessors, successors or assigns of each of them.

8. RELEASES

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between AYS, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Rainbow, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to cadmium in the Protein Supplement Products. AYS, on behalf of itself, its agents, officers, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, and on behalf of the general public in the public interest, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in (directly or indirectly) any form of legal action and

(PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. CGC 15-551517

releases and discharges: (a) Rainbow and its parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, and divisions; (b) each of their respective joint venturers, partners, vendors, manufacturers, packagers, and contractors; (c) each of the distributors, wholesalers, retailers, users, and packagers; and (d) each of the respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, and agents of the persons and entities described in (a) through (c) (the persons and entities identified in (a), (b), (c), and (d), including the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, are collectively referred to as the "Released Parties") from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees (including but not limited to investigation fees, attorneys' fees, and expert fees), costs, and expenses (collectively, "Claims") as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings regarding alleged exposures to cadmium in the Protein Supplement Products manufactured, distributed or sold before the Compliance Date.

- 8.2 Defendant's Waiver and Release of Plaintiff: Rainbow, on behalf of itself, its agents, officers, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby releases AYS from and waives any claims against AYS, its officers, directors, shareholders, employees, and agents, and its successors and assigns, for injunctive relief or damages, penalties, fines, sanctions, mitigation, fees (including fees of attorneys, experts, and others), costs, expenses, or any other sum incurred or claimed or which could have been claimed for matters related to the Notices or Complaint.
- 8.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to cadmium in the Protein Supplement Products. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the Office of Environmental and Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") amends the level for which exposure to cadmium requires a warning, or amends the safe-harbor standards relating to warning requirements, the Parties shall meet and confer to determine whether the warning language in this Consent Judgment complies with the new standard, and will modify this Consent Judgment to reflect OEHHA's amendment.
 - 8.4 Nothing in this Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce

the terms of this Consent Judgment.

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

14 15

17

21

22

23

25

27

28

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement this Consent Judgment.

10. COURT APPROVAL

If this Consent Judgment is not approved by this Court, it shall be of no force or effect and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

ENFORCEMENT 11.

11.1 In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provisions of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer within 30 days of receiving written notice of the alleged violation from another Party. In the event that the Parties are unable to resolve their dispute through the meet and confer process, this Consent Judgment may be enforced using any 12 available provision of law. If AYS is the prevailing Party in any dispute regarding compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, it may seek any fines, costs, penalties, or remedies provided by law for failure to comply with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. A prevailing Party in such a dispute regarding compliance with the terms of this Consent 16 | Judgment is entitled to seek recovery of its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in any such motion or proceeding pursuant to the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5. Notwithstanding any language to the contrary herein, AYS may disclose Rainbow's test results in a court filing in support of any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment provided that AYS first provides Rainbow an opportunity to make a motion for leave to seal such data pursuant to a protective order.

11.2 In the event that Rainbow misses any deadline required under this Consent Judgment for the submission of reports, testing, or of any other notifications to AYS required under the Consent Judgment, Rainbow shall nonetheless be deemed to be in compliance with such a deadline if it submits the required information or notification to AYS within 14 days of discovering, or being informed of, the missed deadline.

12. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of

California.

13. EXCHANGE IN COUNTERPARTS

Stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or email, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall be deemed to constitute one document.

14. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (a) first-class, registered, certified return receipt requested, or (b) by overnight courier on AYS or Rainbow by the other at the addresses set forth below. Either AYS or Rainbow may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

Whenever notice or a document is required to be sent to AYS, it shall be sent to:

Danielle Fugere President As You Sow 1611 Telegraph Avenue, Suite 1450 Oakland, CA 94612 and

Ellison Folk Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP 396 Hayes Street San Francisco, CA 94102

Whenever notice or a document is required to be sent to Rainbow, it shall be sent to:

Anthony C. Robinson, Esq. General Counsel Rainbow Light Nutritional Systems, LLC 1301 Sawgrass Corporate Parkway Sunrise, Florida 33323

and

Steven R. Tekosky Tatro Tekosky Sadwick LLP 333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 4270 Los Angeles, CA 90071

15. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this

12

(PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. CGC 15-551517

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

IU

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

2526

20 27

Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

16. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, 6 negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

17. **AUTHORIZED SIGNERS**

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the 12 Party that he or she represents to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party.

Dated: 6/1//2010	AS YOU SOW
	By: Danielle Fugere - Andrew Behar President CE0
Dated: August 8, 2016	RAINBOW LIGHT NUTRITIONAL SYSTEMS, LLC (successor in interest to Rainbow Light Nutritional Systems Inc.) By: Jose Minski CEO and President

In accordance with the agreement of Plaintiff and Defendant,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.

The Court hereby incorporated the terms of the Consent Judgment into this Order. If a party violates the provisions of this Consent Judgment, the Court retains jurisdiction of this matter.

Dated: 10/13/20/6

Judge of the Superior Court

HAROLD KAHN

Exhibit A List of Laboratories Deemed Approved Chemical Solutions, Ltd. Eurofins Exova, Inc. K Prime, Inc. National Food Laboratory, Inc. PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. CGC 15-551517