1 2 3 4 500 6 81	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Troy C. Bailey, State Bar No. 277424 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Josh@chanler.com Troy@chanler.com Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.	ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY  MAR 2 0 2017  CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURTY  BY  DEPU				
8						
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA					
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION					
12						
13	ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.,	Case No. RG16825518				
14	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO				
15	v.	TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT				
16	KORRES USA LTD.; et al.,	JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT KORRES USA LTD.				
17	Defendants.	Date: March 16, 2017				
18		Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept.: 20				
19		Judge: Hon. Robert B. Freedman				
20		Reservation No. R – 1819908				
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

In the captioned action, plaintiff Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E., and defendant Korres USA Ltd., having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a [Proposed] Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment on March 16, 2017.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, Judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

2 | IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 30, 2017

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

# EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Troy C. Bailey, State Bar No. 277424 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118  Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION			
11	ANTHONY PARED BUD DE CONTROL DOLGOSTIO			
12	ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.,  Case No. RG16825518			
13	Plaintiff,  (PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT			
14	V. )			
15	KORRES USA LTD.; et al.,			
16	Defendants. ) Action Filed: August 1, 2016			
17 18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT			

WHEREAS Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. ("Dr. Held") has issued a Notice and filed a Complaint against Korres USA Ltd. regarding the presence of benzophenone in Products, as further described in this Consent Judgment; and

WHEREAS the Parties acknowledge that the Notice to Korres USA Ltd. was intended to cover all of defendant's Products; and

WHEREAS the Parties have discussed the claims accordingly, including the formal and informal exchange of scientific information regarding and risk assessments of benzophenone relevant to a wider range of products; and

WHEREAS the Parties, therefore, wish to resolve all Proposition 65 claims regarding benzophenone in Korres USA Ltd.'s and its subsidiaries' (collectively, "Korres") Products, they hereby agree as follows:

# 1. INTRODUCTION

# 1.1 Parties

This consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between Dr. Held and Korres with Dr. Held and Korres collectively referred to as the "Parties" and individually as a "Party."

# 1.2 Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E.

Dr. Held is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

### 1.3 Korres USA Ltd. and Benzophenone

Korres manufactures, and/or distributes, and/or sells products that are labeled as having a Sun Protection Factor Value<sup>1</sup> ("SPF Products"), including products that have as their primary function to serve as sunscreens. One ingredient used in sunscreen to enhance its ability to provide protection from the sun is octocrylene, an active ingredient approved by the Federal Food & Drug Administration ("FDA"). Octocrylene can at times contain benzophenone. Benzophenone (CAS # 119-61-9) is a chemical listed under The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This term as used herein is defined at 21 C.F.R. § 352.3.

California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. (commonly known as "Proposition 65") as a chemical "known to the state to cause cancer" as Proposition 65 defines that phrase. 27 Cal. Code Reg. § 25000.

# 1.4 Product Description

This Consent Judgment covers and applies to all SPF Products, including but not limited to sunscreen, that are manufactured and/or distributed for sale in California and/or sold in California by Korres. All sizes, types, brands, packaging, formulations, delivery forms (e.g., sprays or lotions applied by hand), intended uses (e.g., "faces," children's products, "sport," "moisturizing," cosmetic purposes) are included, but not limited to, *Korres Suncare Yoghurt Nourishing Fluid Veil Face Sunscreen Broad Spectrum SPF 30 (Octocrylene 10%), UPC #5 203069 058783*, manufactured, distributed or sold by Korres in California ("Products"). This Consent Judgment, and all of its terms, applies to all Products, including without limitation new products and brands introduced, developed, or acquired in the future by Korres which would today meet the definition of Products if they currently were being manufactured or distributed for sale, or being sold, in California. The term Product, as used hereafter in this Consent Judgment, includes such future products and brands.

# 1.5 General Allegations

Dr. Held alleges in the Complaint that Korres manufactured, and/or distributed for sale in California, and/or sold in California, products containing benzophenone without "a clear and reasonable warning" as Proposition 65 defines that phrase, and continues to do so. Dr. Held asserts this settlement is necessary to assure compliance with Proposition 65 now and in the future and to settle Dr. Held's alleged claims.

# 1.6 Notice of Violation

On March 23, 2016, Dr. Held served Korres and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"), alleging that Korres was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers in California that the Products expose users to benzophenone. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth the Notice.

# 1.7 Complaint

On August, 1, 2016, Dr. Held commenced the instant action, naming Korres as one of the defendants for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice.

# 1.8 No Admission

Korres denies all the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint. Korres maintains that all of its products have been and are in compliance with all laws, including the Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission against interest by Korres of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission against interest by Korres of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Korres' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

# 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Korres as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

# 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION STANDARD

# 2.1 Reformulation Standards

(a) Whereas, Korres, based on inquiry for purposes of this Consent Judgment, has not identified any ingredient in its Products other than octocrylene that is a source of detectable benzophenone in such Products. Further, based upon inquiry for purposes of this Consent Judgment, Korres represents that it has investigated and concluded that there are only a few major suppliers of octocrylene for the domestic market and that time and phasing is needed for the

marketplace of octocrylene suppliers to make the adjustments necessary to deliver octocrylene with benzophenone meeting the Octocrylene Reformulation Standards.

- (b) As of November 15, 2018, Korres shall only manufacture, or cause to be manufactured, either Products containing no more than (i) 50 ppm benzophenone; or (ii) 500 ppm of benzophenone in the ingredient octocrylene used in the finished Products ("Interim Reformulation Standards").
- (c) As of November 15, 2020, Korres shall only manufacture or cause to be manufactured, either Products containing no more than (i) 35 ppm benzophenone; or (ii) 350 ppm of benzophenone in the ingredient octocrylene used in the finished Products ("Final Reformulation Standards").
- (d) The dates and reformulations of the Products as listed in Section 2.1 (b) and (c) shall be referred to collectively as the "Reformulation Standards," consisting of either the Sections 2.1 (b)(i) and (c)(i) (the "Finished Product Reformulation Standards") or Sections 2.1 (b)(ii) and (c)(ii) (the "Octocrylene Reformulation Standards"). Korres may at any time, at its own election, comply with either, both, or any combination of the applicable Finished Product Reformulation Standard or the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard with respect to any Product.
- (e) The Reformulation Standards shall apply to Products which are manufactured by or on behalf of Korres on or after the applicable Reformulation Standard dates.

### 2.2. Notification

Korres shall provide, no later than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, written notice (the "Octocrylene Supplier Letter") to its current octocrylene supplier or suppliers, informing said supplier or suppliers of the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard and urging each supplier to use reasonable efforts to provide expeditiously only octocrylene which complies with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard. Korres shall not include statements in the Octocrylene Supplier Letter that will encourage a supplier to delay compliance with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard.

# 2.3 Compliance with Reformulation Standards

(a) Korres electing to meet the Finished Product Reformulation Standard may, at its option, either (i) test the Product pursuant to a scientifically appropriate application of U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A, 8270C, or any other scientifically appropriate methodology for determining the benzophenone content in a substance of the form of the specific Product being tested, or (ii) may use the appropriate mathematical calculation based on octocrylene percentage in the Product and the benzophenone concentration in the lot of octocrylene used in the finished Product, based either on testing of the octocrylene lot or on a certificate of analysis documenting benzophenone content from the octocrylene supplier (the "Certificate of Analysis") at the option of Korres.

- (b) Korres electing to meet the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard shall obtain a Certificate of Analysis or analytical testing report for each lot of octocrylene used in the manufacture of Products. If, after Korres has advised its octocrylene suppliers to include a Certificate of Analysis with each lot of delivered octocrylene, an octocrylene supplier fails to include a Certificate of Analysis, Korres may correct the lapse upon discovery.
- (c) Korres may, absent grounds to question the accuracy, demonstrate compliance with either Reformulation Standard by relying in good faith on an octocrylene supplier's Certificate of Analysis or comparable verified quantitative benzophenone content information. Such good faith reliance establishes compliance with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard. Octocrylene suppliers shall rely on any scientifically appropriate testing methodology for determining the benzophenone content of octocrylene.
- (d) Korres shall retain compliance documentation for three years after delivery of a lot of octocrylene and compliance documentation shall be made available within 30 days of a written request by Dr. Held, who may make no more than two such requests annually.

# 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

### 3.1 Civil Penalty

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), Korres shall pay initial civil penalties and, if applicable, final civil penalties in the amounts identified below. The penalty payments shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of the penalty amount paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty paid to Dr. Held. Dr. Held's

counsel shall be responsible for remitting Korres' penalty payment(s) under this Settlement Agreement to OEHHA. Each penalty payment shall be made to "Anthony E. Held, Client Trust Account" and remitted to the address indicated in Section 3.3 below.

- 3.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty. Within fourteen (14) business days of the Effective Date Korres shall issue a check payable to "Anthony E. Held, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$3,500.
- 3.1.2 Final Civil Penalty. On or before November 15, 2018, Korres shall pay a final civil penalty (the "Final Civil Penalty") in the amount of \$11,000. However, the Final Civil Penalty shall be waived in its entirety if Korres certifies that all Products subject to this Consent Judgment manufactured by or on behalf of Korres on or after October 1, 2018, meets a Final Reformulation Standard. A responsible official with personal knowledge, after due inquiry, of Korres that has exercised this election shall provide Dr. Held with a written certification confirming compliance with the above conditions on or before November 15, 2018.

### 3.2 Reimbursement of Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Dr. Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Korres expressed a desire to resolve Dr. Held's fees and costs. Korres agrees to pay Dr. Held and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement, including without limitation the fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Korres' attention, negotiating a settlement, and seeking court approval of the same. Korres agrees to pay \$27,500 in fees and costs within five (5) business days of the Effective Date in the form of a check made payable to "The Chanler Group."

# 3.3 Payment Procedures

All payments under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

16.

2.5

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

# 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

# 4.1 Dr. Held's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the Complaint arising out of Korres' alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for exposures to benzophenone in its Products. Dr. Held, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Korres and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under (full or partial) common ownership, manufacturers, suppliers and the directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and predecessors, successors or assigns of each of them ("Releasees") and each entity to whom Korres directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees, and including any and all subsidiaries, parents, marketplace retailers and/or affiliates of the foregoing retailers (collectively, the "Distribution Chain Releasees") for violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to benzophenone from the Products by Korres prior to the Effective Date. Dr. Held's release of claims applies to all Products which Korres (or its manufacturer) either manufactured, and/or distributed and/or sold prior to the Effective Date, regardless of the date any person distributes or sells the subject Products.

Upon entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, going forward, Korres' compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to benzophenone in Korres' prior, current and future Products.

### 4.2 Dr. Held's Individual Release of Claims

Dr. Held, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Korres, Releasees, and Distribution Chain Releasees, which release shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Dr. Held of any

9 10

11

12

13

8

14 15 16

18

19

17

27

28

nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to benzophenone in Korres' Products prior to the Effective Date.

#### 4.3 Korres' Release of Dr. Held

Korres, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Dr. Held and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Dr. Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products up through the Effective Date.

#### 4.4 Release and Dismissal of Retailer Defendant

This Consent Judgment provides a "downstream" release which resolves all claims in the Complaint for all Products manufactured by, or on behalf of, distributed, or sold by Korres. Any retailer who has been named in one or more Complaint (a "Retailer Defendant") due to its sale of one or more such Products shall be dismissed without prejudice unless, prior to the Effective Date that Retailer Defendant had also received a Notice that identified an exemplar product not manufactured or supplied by either Korres or an entity that has previously resolved Dr. Held's claims with a downstream release.

#### 5. FORCE MAJEURE

In the event that it is not feasible for Korres to obtain conforming octorrylene necessary so as to comply with any Reformulation Standard due to an Act of God (including fire, flood, earthquake, storm, hurricane or other natural disaster) or loss of adequate supplier ability to supply octocrylene on an uninterrupted basis compliant with the applicable Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, the provisions of this paragraph will dictate whether the applicable dates for meeting the Reformulation Standards shall be extended. The criteria for determining whether it is feasible to obtain conforming octorylene shall include the following factors: availability and reliability of supply that meets the applicable Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, cost of such conforming octocrylene and resulting increase in manufacturers' prices resulting from the use of conforming octocrylene, performance characteristics of conforming octocrylene and of the resulting Products,

including but not limited to formulation, performance, safety, efficacy, consumer acceptance, and stability.

In such an instance, Korres shall provide notice to Plaintiff. Included in the notice shall be the specific reason or reasons for invoking the Force Majeure clause, along with a reasonable estimate of the time period during which Korres will be unable to comply with the applicable Reformulation Standard. During the time invoked by Korres, the Reformulation Standard shall be revised to 100 ppm for the Finished Product Reformulation Standard and 1,000 ppm for the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard.

If the Parties disagree as to whether Korres has a valid reason to invoke the Force Majeure clause or disagree as to the length of time necessary for Korres to comply with the Reformulation Standard, they shall attempt to resolve their differences in good faith. Failing that, they shall attempt to resolve their differences through one or more sessions a mediator mutually agreed to by the Parties or, if necessary, as referred by the Court. If the Parties cannot reach resolution via a meet and confer or the mediation process, an aggrieved Party may move the Court via a noticed motion on all Parties, with a copy to the Office of the Attorney General, for such additional relief as that Party deems necessary.

### 6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree in writing.

# 7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be void or unenforceable, or any Parties agree to modify any terms due to input from the Office of the Attorney General or after a hearing before the Court in connection with Dr. Held's Motion to Approve, or for other good cause, each Party to be bound by any such modified terms must re-execute the modified Consent Judgment and such modified Consent Judgment then shall be presented to the Court for approval by Dr. Held; provided,

however, that if a provision of this Consent Judgment declared void or unenforceable is material to the Party for whom such term provided a benefit or protection, that Party can seek other remedies, including, without limitation, rescission or reformation, based on the provision being declared void or unenforceable.

# 8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, including without limitation the delisting of benzophenone, then Korres may provide written notice to Dr. Held of any asserted change in the law, and with the exception of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 above, have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. None of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall have any application to Products sold outside of the State of California.

# 9. FUTURE FEDERAL REGULATION OF OCTOCRYLENE OR BENZOPHENONE

If FDA adopts new regulations or Congress enacts new laws governing octocrylene and/or benzophenone content in any Products, then the Parties shall meet and confer regarding the effect of such changes in the law on the obligations of this Consent Judgment. If necessary to reach agreement, the Parties may refer any specific issue for consideration by a mediator agreed to by the Parties or, if necessary, as appointed by the Court. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if FDA authorizes the percentage of octocrylene to increase above the current limit of 10% in Products, then this Consent Judgment shall by operation of law be amended to allow benzophenone in finished Products to rise in proportion to the percentage increase. Korres shall notify Plaintiff of the date this Section operates to change any Finished Product Reformulation Standard. This notice obligation shall sunset on June 1, 2023. Even if FDA changes the level of permissible octocrylene prior to June 1, 2018, the civil penalty provisions of Section 3.1.2 shall apply as written, not to any standards as modified by this Section 9.

# 10. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) overnight courier on any party by the other at the following addresses:

To Korres:

To Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E.:

Alejandro L. Bras, Esq. Morrison & Foerster LLP 452 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2842

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

# 11. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

### 12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Dr. Held agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

#### 13. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f),

Dr. Held is obligated to file a noticed motion to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment.

Korres agrees to urge the Court to approve this Consent Judgment. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Dr. Held and Korres agree to work together to the extent appropriate, and shall appear at any hearing before the Court to urge the Court to approve the Consent Judgment.

26

27

1	14.	MODIFICATION	
2	This Consent Judgment may only be modified by a written instrument executed by the Party		
3	or Parties to be bound thereby, and after approval by the Court upon a noticed motion. Any motion		
4	to modify shall be served on all Parties and the Office of the Attorney General.		
5	15. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
6	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
7	respective Parties and have read, understood and agreed to all of the terms and conditions of this		
8	Conse	ent Judgment.	
9	AG	REED TO:	AGREED TO:
10			
11	Dat	te: <u>1/24/2017</u>	Date:
12	By:	at 100	By:
13	Бу.	Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E.	George Korres, CEO Korres USA Ltd.
14		*	Rolles USA Liu.
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

# 14. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may only be modified by a written instrument executed by the Party or Parties to be bound thereby, and after approval by the Court upon a noticed motion. Any motion to modify shall be served on all Parties and the Office of the Attorney General.

# 15. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agreed to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: January 30, 2017
By:Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E.	By: George Korres, CEO Korres USA Ltd.

-13-