ŀ			
1 2	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484) LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles FEB 02 2017 Sherri R Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By Anthony He, Deputy	
3	Los Angeles, CA 90069 Telephone: (323) 337-9015	FEB 02 2017	
4	Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC	By Anthony He, Deputy	
6			
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF LOS ANGELES	
9			
10	APS&EE, LLC, a limited liability company,) CASE NO. BC631622	
11	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
12	V.) Judge: Hon. Elizabeth A. White	
13	ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION, a corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,) Dept.: 48 Compl. Filed: August 24, 2016	
14	inclusive,) ·	
15	Defendants.) Unlimited Jurisdiction	
16)	
17)	
18	///		
19	111		
20	111		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		

1. <u>RECITALS</u>

1.1 The Parties

- 1.1.1 This Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC ("Plaintiff") and Defendant, Ace Hardware Corporation ("Defendant"). Plaintiff and Defendant shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."
- 1.1.2 Plaintiff is an organization based in California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.
- 1.1.3 Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more employees and is a person in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety*Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

Plaintiff alleges that Defendant manufactured, distributed, sold, and/or offered to sell the "Ace" brand of galvanized nails containing dangerous levels of lead, including, without limitation: "Ace" hot galvanized box, 1 lb., #53455, 6d 2in., 0-82901-19701-0 in the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to lead without providing a clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the terms "Product" or "Products" shall mean and are defined as "Ace" brand galvanized nails containing lead that are manufactured, sold or distributed for sale in California by Ace Hardware Corporation or any of its authorized wholesalers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members or licensees.

A sixty-day notice of violation dated June 13, 2016 ("60-Day Notice"), along with a Certificate of Merit, was provided by Plaintiff to Defendant and various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65. On August 24, 2016, in the public interest, Plaintiff filed the instant action in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles,

alleging violations of Proposition 65.

1.3 No Admissions

Defendant denies all allegations in Plaintiff's 60-Day Notice and Complaint and maintains that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that Defendant has not violated Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability by Defendant but to the contrary as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

1.4 Jurisdiction And Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 664.6 and Proposition 65.

1.5 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be the date this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND REFORMULATION

2.1 Reformulation

As of the Effective Date, Defendant shall not distribute, sell, or offer for sale the Products in California unless (a) the galvanizing solution in which the Products are submerged has a lead content by weight of no more than 100 parts per million (0.01%), or (b) the Product is distributed, sold, or offered for sale with a clear and reasonable warning as described in Section 2.2.

2.2 Clear And Reasonable Warnings

(a) Retail Store Sales. Whenever a clear and reasonable warning is required under Section 2.1, it shall state the following with the capitalized and emboldened wording:

"WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of

California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm."

If Defendant has reason to believe that the Products contain additional chemicals listed under Proposition 65, it may use the word "chemicals" in lieu of "lead, a chemical."

Defendant agrees that each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary consumer prior to sale. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a clear and reasonable warning for the Products shall consist of a warning that appears on the product, the product's package, label or other labeling as defined by Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25602.

(b) Internet Sales. Products offered for sale directly by Defendant in California via the internet that do not meet the reformulation standard described in section 2.1 shall be accompanied by a Proposition 65 warning, either: (a) on the same web page on which the Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the Product; (c) on the same web page as the price for the Product prior to sales completion; (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process prior to sales completion; or (e) on a web page with a conspicuous hyperlink from the Product display page. The warning shall contain the following capitalized and emboldened wording and shall appear with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale:

"WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm."

If Defendant has reason to believe that the Products contain additional chemicals listed under Proposition 65, it may use the word "chemicals" in lieu of "lead, a chemical".

2.3 Sell-through For Existing Inventory

The injunctive requirements of Section 2 shall not apply to Products that were manufactured or in the stream of commerce as of the Effective Date, which products are subject to the releases provided in Section 4.1.

3. PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all causes of action in Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant shall pay a total civil penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health* and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$3,000.00) paid to State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% (\$1,000.00) paid to Plaintiff.

Defendant shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$3,000.00; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of \$1,000.00. Defendant shall remit the payments within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

Plaintiff agrees that it or a duly appointed representative shall mail or otherwise deliver the check made payable to "OEHHA" to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.

3.2 Reimbursement Of Plaintiff's Fees And Costs

Defendant shall reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution of this agreement and entry of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Defendant shall issue a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of twenty two thousand dollars (\$22,000.00). Defendant shall remit the payment within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

4. RELEASES

4.1 Plaintiff's Release Of Proposition 65 Claims Against Defendant

Plaintiff, acting in its individual capacity, its past and current agents, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, and in the public interest, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, as well as the manufacturer(s) and/or supplier(s) of the Products, Defendant's downstream distributors, wholesalers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members and licensees (collectively "Released Parties"), from any violation arising under Proposition 65 pertaining to the failure to warn about exposures to lead from Products manufactured, sold or distributed for sale in California prior to the Effective Date, as alleged or otherwise asserted in the 60-Day Notice or Plaintiff's Complaint. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant and Released Parties with regard to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to lead from Products manufactured, sold or distributed for sale in California after the Effective Date.

4.2 Defendant's Release Of Plaintiff

Defendant, and on behalf of all of the other Released Parties, by this Consent Judgment, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, its shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, experts, successors and assignees for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Defendant in this matter.

4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with California Civil Code § 1542 which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under California Civil Code § 1542 or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

5. **COURT APPROVAL**

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall file a noticed Motion for Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

6. **SEVERABILITY**

Should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment for any reason be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

8. **NOTICES**

All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as follows:

27

28

1	TO DEFENDANT:	TO PLAINTIFF:	
2	Levi W. Heath, Esq. Barnes & Thornburg LLP	Lucas T. Novak, Esq. Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak	
3	2029 Century Park East	8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217	
4	Suite 300 Los Angeles, CA 90067	Los Angeles, CA 90069	
5	Los migeres, em 90007		
6			
7	9. <u>INTEGRATION</u>		
8	This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect		
9	to the subject matter hereof and may not be amended or modified except in writing.		
10	10. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>		
11	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed		
12	an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document.		
13	Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by email, facsimile, or other electronic means		
14	shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed		
15	Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
1			
24	///		
25	///		
26			
27			
28			

11. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said party.

7	
8	AGREED TO:
9	Date: 1/11/2016
10	()
11	By: John Sun
12	Authorized Officer of Ace Hardware Corporation
13	
14	AGREED TO:
15	Date:
16	
17	By:
18	Authorized Officer of APS&EE, LLC
19	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	
22	Dated:
23	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

11. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said party.

interfere wit	th the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said party.
AGREED	го:
Date:	
By:	Authorized Officer of Ace Hardware Corporation
AGREED Date:	ro:
Ву:	Authorized Officer of APS&EE, LLC
IT IS SO O	RDERED.

Dated: 2/2/17

Elizabeth Allen White

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT