Nicholas & Tomasevic, LUP Craig M. Nicholas (SDN 178444) Shawn A. Markley (SRN 291785) 225 Broadway, 19th Ploor Sen Drego, CH 92101 Tel: 619-325-0492 Superior Court of California County of San Francisco emondas & nicholastare as MAR 2 2 2017 3 Ghcklaw Gnoup, PC Noam Glick (SBN 251582) CLERK OF THE, COURT 4 225 Broadway Suite 2100 San Drego, ca. azion 5 Tel: 1019-382-3400 6 noam@glicklawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 Arthur Zivkovic. Case No.: CGC 16-555986 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT 11 Plaintiff, PURSUANT TO TERMS OF 12 ٧. **PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT** AND CONSENT JUDGMENT 13 PLEWS, INC. d/b/a PLEWS & EDELMANN, a Deleware corporation, Reservation No.: 12290307-06 14 Defendant. March 7, 2017 Date: 15 9:30 a.m. Time: 16 Dept.: 302 Judge: Hon. Harold E. Kahn 17 Case Filed: December 19, 2016 18 Trial Date: None set 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 [PROPUSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO PROP 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

| Ì  | Plaintiff Arthur Zivkovic and defendant Plews, Inc., agreed through their respective            |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2  | counsel to enter judgment pursuant to the terms of their settlement in the form of a stipulated |  |  |
| 3  | judgment ("Consent Judgment"). This Court's issued an Order approving the Proposition 63        |  |  |
| 4  | settlement and Consent Judgment on March 7, 2017.   |  |  |
| 5  | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and                         |  |  |
| 6  | Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in  |  |  |
| 7  | accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit A. By stipulation  |  |  |
| 8  | of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civi |  |  |
| 9  | Procedure § 664.6.  |  |  |
| 10 |   |  |  |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |  |  |
| 12 |   |  |  |
| 13 |   |  |  |
| 14 | Dated: 3/16/17  |  |  |
| 15 | Hon. Harold E. Kahn<br>JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  |  |  |
| 16 |   |  |  |
| 17 | Approved as to form:  |  |  |
| 18 | NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP LEWITT HACKMAN SHAPIRO  |  |  |
| 19 | MARSHALL & HARLAN, A.L.C.   |  |  |
| 20 | By: Jani Micholas By: Stepher I Aulier  |  |  |
| 21 | Craig Micholas Stephen T. Holzer  |  |  |
| 22 | Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant   |  |  |
| 23 |   |  |  |
| 24 | ·   |  |  |
| 25 |   |  |  |
| 26 |   |  |  |
| 27 | · ·   |  |  |
| 28 |   |  |  |

# EXHIBIT A

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8         | NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Shan Markley (SBN 291785) 225 Broadway, 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492 Fax: (619) 325-0496  GLICK LAW GROUP, PC Noam Glick (SBN 251582) 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 615-2193  Attorneys for Plaintiff Arthur Zivkovic |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 10   |  |  |  |
| 11   | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  |  |  |
| 12   | IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO   |  |  |
| 13   | ARTHUR ZIVKOVIC, an individual   | Case No.   |  |
| 14   | Plaintiff,   | [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT  |  |
| 15   | v,   | (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6) |  |
| 16   | PLEWS, INC. d/b/a PLEWS & EDELMANN, a Delaware corporation   | 3  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 17   | Defendant.   |  |  |
| 17<br>18                                     | Defendant.   |  |  |
|  | Defendant.   |  |  |
| 18   | Defendant.   |  |  |
| 18<br>19                                     | Defendant.   |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20                               | Defendant.   |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21                         | Defendant.   |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22                   | Defendant.   |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23             | Defendant.   |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24       | Defendant.   |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25 | Defendant.   |  |  |

| Ì | l |  |
|---|---|--|
| ٠ |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |

## 

#### 

## 

## 

#### 

### 

#### 

## 

## 

#### 

## 

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Arthur Zivkovic ("Zivkovic") and Plews, Inc. doing business as Plews & Edelmann ("P&E") (collectively the "Parties").

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

Zivkovic is an individual residing in California and acting in the interest of the general public. He seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

#### 1.3 Defendant

P&E employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

#### 1.4 General Allegations

Zivkovic alleges that P&E manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale in California the Amflo Day Glow Orange PVC Air Hose that contains di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP") and Diisononyl phthalate ("DINP"). Zivkovic further alleges that P&E does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, DEHP is listed as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm as well as cancer. DINP is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer.

#### 1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment "Products" are defined as Amflo 25' Day Glow Orange PVC Air Hoses containing DEHP and/or DINP that are manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by P&E.

#### 1.6 Notices of Violation

On August 10, 2016, Zivkovic served P&E, True Value Company, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"). The Notice alleged that P&E violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn

consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP and DINP contained in the Products.

No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notices.

#### 1.7 Complaint

On 12/19/2016, Zivkovic filed a Complaint against P&E for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice ("Complaint").

#### 1.8 No Admission

P&E denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notices and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect P&E's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over P&E as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, as discussed in Section 5.

#### 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: RELABELED PRODUCTS</u>

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, P&E agrees to only manufacture, import, sell, and/or distribute Relabeled Products in California. "Relabeled Products" are Products containing a sufficient warning label as set forth in Proposition 65 and related

8. 

Regulations. With new Regulations set to take effect in 2018, P&E will after the Effective Date have the option, without limitation, to use the language set forth in the current Regulations<sup>1</sup> or the language set forth in the 2018 Regulations.<sup>2</sup>

#### 3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

#### 3.1 Settlement Amount

P&E shall pay fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notice, Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b) and attorney's fees and costs in the amount of forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to Zivkovic.

The portion of the settlement attributable to attorney's fees and costs shall be paid to Zivkovic's counsel. Zivkovic is entitled to all attorney's fees and costs incurred by him in this action, including but not limited to investigating, bringing this matter to P&E's attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

P&E shall provide its payment in one check payable to Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP. Zivkovic's counsel shall be responsible for delivering OEHHA's and Zivkovic's portions of the penalty paid under this Consent Judgment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 27 CCR § 25603.2 [Repealed Operative August 30, 2018]: "WARNING: This product may contain a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer or birth defects or other reproductive harm."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 27 CCR § 25603 [Operative August 30, 2018]: "WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals, including DINP (PVC hose part), which is known to the State of California to cause cancer; DEHP (PVC hose part), which is known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm; and lead (brass parts), which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov."

## • 1

## 

#### 3.2 Payment Timing; Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be held in trust until the Court approves the Parties' settlement. Within fifteen (15) days of the Parties' mutual execution of this agreement, the \$50,000 settlement amount shall be remitted to P&E's counsel to hold in trust until the Effective Date. P&E's counsel shall provide Zivkovic's counsel with written confirmation following its receipt of these funds. Thereafter, within five days of the Effective Date, P&E's counsel shall deliver the settlement payments to Zivkovic's counsel.

#### 3.3 Payment Address

Following the Effective Date, all payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 225 Broadway, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor San Diego, CA 92101

#### 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

#### 4.1 Zivkovic's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

For any claim or violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to warn about exposures to DEHP and DINP from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by P&E prior to the Effective Date, Zivkovic, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases P&E of any and all liability. This includes P&E's parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, its directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DEHP and DINP from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by P&E after the Effective Date.

#### 4.2 Zivkovic's Individual Release of Claims

Zivkovic, in his individual capacity, also provides a release to P&E and Releasees, which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction and as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorney's fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands by Zivkovic of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected,

arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP and DINP in Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by P&E before the Effective Date.

#### 4.3 P&E's Release of Zivkovic

P&E, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Zivkovic and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Zivkovic and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it, or with respect to the Products.

#### 4.4 Mutual Waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542

The Parties each acknowledge each is familiar with Section 1542 of the Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties, each on his/its own behalf, and on behalf of his/its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits which they may have under, or which may be conferred upon them by the provisions of Civil Code section 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent he/it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters, as specifically defined by Sections 4.1 through 4.3, above.

#### 5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

#### 6. **SEVERABILITY**

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

#### 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reason, including but not limited to changes in the law, then P&E may provide written notice to Zivkovic of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

#### 8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

#### For P&E:

#### For Zivkovic:

| Brett Mueller, President |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Plews & Edelmann         |  |  |
| 1550 Franklin Grove Road |  |  |
| Dixon, IL 61021          |  |  |

Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101

cc: Stephen T. Holzer, Esq.
Lewitt, Hackman, et al.
16633 Ventura Blvd., 11<sup>th</sup> Floor

Encino, CA 91436

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

#### 9. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

#### 10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

5.

Zivkovic agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Zivkovic shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

#### 11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

#### 12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

| AGREED TO:              | AGREED 10:                                      |  |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Date: December 13, 2016 | December 13, 2016 Date:                         |  |
| By: ARTHUR ZIVKOVIC     | By: Brett Mueller [print name] PLEWS & EDELMANN |  |