Nichdas & Tomaseuic, Lup Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Shalin A. Markley (SBN 291785) 1 25 Broadway, 19th Ploor san Diego, CA 92101 San Francisco County Superior Court 1d: 1019-325-0492 3 Fax:1019-325-0496 MAR 1 4 2017 entholas enichdaslaw.org smarkley enicholaslaw.org 4 OF THE COUR 5 Cklaw Group, PC. Nram Glick (SBN 251582) Deputy Clerk 6 Vefey Mcfarthy (SBN 305372) 275 Broadway, Swite 2100 San Diego, Ca. 92101 Tel: 619-282-3400 7 AHOMRUS FOR SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 ARTHUR ZIVKOVIC, an individual Case No.: CGC 16-555270 UK 11 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF 12 PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT v. AND CONSENT JUDGMENT 13 TEKNOR APEX COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, Reservation No.: 01120314-09 14 inclusive Date: March 14, 2017 15 Defendant. Time: 9:30 a.m. 16 Dept.: 302 Judge: Hon. Harold E. Kahn 17 Case Filed: December 19, 2016 18 Trial Date: None set 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO PROP 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

1 -	Plaintiff Arthur Zivkovic and defendant Teknor Apex Company, agreed through the
2	respective counsel to enter judgment pursuant to the terms of their settlement in the form of
3	stipulated judgment ("Consent Judgment"). This Court's issued an Order approving th
4	Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment on March 14, 2017.
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health an
6	Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered i
7	accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit A. By stipulatio
8	of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civ
9	Procedure § 664.6.
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	
13	
14	Dated: 31411
15	Hon. Harold E. Kahn JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16	
17	Approved as to form:
18	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
19	1.11711
20	By: My Sticholas: Craig Nicholas: Robert Falk
21	Craig Nicholas: Robert Falk Attorney for Plaintiff Jerimiah Levine
22.	Attorneys for Defendant
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CEC-16-555270

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO PROP 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

EXHIBIT A

į				
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Shaun Markley (SBN 291785) 225 Broadway, 19 th Floor San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492 Fax: (619) 325-0496 GLICK LAW GROUP, PC Noam Glick (SBN 251582) Kelsey McCarthy (SBN 305372) 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 615-2193			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Arthur Zivkovic			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
12	IN AND FOR THE COU	NTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
13	ARTHUR ZIVKOVIC, an individual,	Case No. CGC 16-555270		
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
15	v.	AS TO TEKNOR APEX COMPANY		
16 17	TEKNOR APEX COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,			
18	Defendant.			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	la-1333937	1		

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

2.1

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Arthur Zivkovic, ("Zivkovic") on the one hand, and Teknor Apex Company ("Defendant") on the other hand, with Zivkovic and Defendant individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Zivkovic is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to chemicals.

1.3 Defendant

Defendant employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Zivkovic alleges that Defendant manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes for sale to consumers in the State of California garden hoses containing phthalates including Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"); Di-isodecyl Phthalate ("DIDP"); Diisononyl Phthalate ("DINP") ("the Chemicals") without first providing the clear and reasonable exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm. DIDP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. DINP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer.

1.5 **Product Description**

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are garden hoses containing some or all of the Chemicals that are manufactured and/or distributed for authorized sale to consumers in California by Defendant, including, but not limited to:

Green Thumb Reinforced 3-Ply Vinyl Garden Hose 5/8" x 50' UPC # 305946

NeverKink 5/8" x 50' Premium Duty Hose UPC # 031724869253

NeverKink 5/8" x 25' Heavy Duty Hose UPC # 031724860526

Apex 5/8" x 50' Medium Duty Water Hose UPC # 031724853559

Green Thumb NeverKink Garden Hose 5/8" x 25' UPC # 156212

("Products"). The Products include Products sold in Defendant's brand names (including those set forth above) and Products Defendant manufactures and/or distributes for authorized sale in California under private label arrangements entered into with retailers or others.

1.6 Notices of Violation

On or about August 10, 2016, Zivkovic served Defendant and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with "60-Day Notices of Violation" ("Notices"), documents that informed the recipients of Zivkovic's allegation that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users to the Chemicals.

1.7 Complaint

After the 60-day notice period was exhausted without an authorized public prosecutor of Proposition 65 having asserted such claims, Zivkovic filed the instant action against Defendant ("Complaint") for the alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 that are the subjects of the Notices.

1.8 No Admission

Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notices and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, or distributed for authorized sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, including Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being

¹ The latter include the Room Essentials Blue 50' Light-Duty Garden Hose UPC # 490842000069 ("Room Essentials Hose") marketed by Target Brands, Inc. ("Target"), which was the subject of a 60-day notice that Zivkovic issued to Target pursuant to Proposition 65 on or about November 4, 2016.

specifically denied by Defendant. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date on which Zivkovic serves notice on the Defendant and the Office of the California Attorney General that the Court has approved and entered this Consent Judgement.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS</u>

By no later than the Compliance Date, and continuing thereafter, Defendant shall only manufacture and package for shipment to California, Products that meet the Reformulation Standard set forth in Section 2.1 below ("Reformulated Products") or which meet the warning requirements set forth in Section 2.2 below.²

2.1 Reformulation Standard

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Reformulated Products are defined as Products for which the accessible portions of their poly vinyl chloride ("PVC") components (i.e., those that can be mouthed or touched by an ordinary consumer during reasonably foreseeable use) contain no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) each of any of the following chemicals: Di-n-butyl Phthalate ("DBP"), DEHP, DIDP, DINP, Butyl benzyl phthalate ("BBP"), and Di-n-hexyl Phthalate ("DnHP") ("Listed Phthalates"). For purposes of determining compliance with this Consent Judgment, the content of Listed Phthalates shall be analyzed pursuant to EPA testing

² Given seasonal design, manufacturing, and shipping cycles associated with garden hoses, to allow for the orderly implementation of the injunctive relief requirements set forth in this Consent Judgment, the "Compliance Date" shall mean July 31, 2017, or six (6) months following the Effective Date, whichever arises earlier. Products manufactured and packaged by Defendant prior to the Compliance Date are exempted from the requirements set forth in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 above and may be offered for sale in California and sold through by downstream distributors, dealers, and retailers as is.

methodologies 3580A and 8270C or equivalent methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies for the purpose of determining Listed Phthalate content in a solid substance.

2.2 Product Warnings

For all Products that contain a Listed Phthalate in an amount exceeding the Reformulation Standard set forth in Section 2.1 above, and which are manufactured and packaged for shipment to California following the Compliance Date, Defendant shall provide the following Proposition 65 warning:

WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and birth defects or other reproductive harm.³

The above warning statement shall be placed on the Product's package in at least ten (10) point font and either set within a box or separated from other warning text by at least one line so as to be able to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to purchase or use.

2.3 Required Certification and Potential Penalty for Delay in Compliance

By no later than October 31, 2017, Defendant shall provide a certification to Zivkovic confirming compliance with the injunctive relief provisions of this Consent Judgment in the manner specified above. In the event that Defendant fails to provide Zivkovic with the required certification, it shall, by no later than December 31, 2017, fully address any outstanding compliance requirements or terminate further distribution to California of the product lines in question and make an additional payment of \$15,000 to the Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP Client Trust Account, which shall be paid in a single lump sum. The full \$15,000 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.12.

3. <u>STATUTORY PENALTY PAYMENTS</u>

3.1 Civil Penalty Defendant shall pay \$5,000 in civil penalties pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in accordance with this Section. This penalty payment shall

³ Where only one Listed Phthalate exceeds the Reformulation Standard, Defendant may elect to have this warning statement refer to the word "chemical" in the singular. To the extent Defendant needs to address a requirement of a previous consent judgment, Defendant may also elect to include a reference to lead in the foregoing warning statement.

Į	be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(l) and (d), with			
	75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment			
	("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Zivkovic. More specifically,			
	within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall issue two separate checks			
	for the civil penalty payment to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$3,750 and with the memo line			
	on the check indicating "Prop 65 Penalties Zivkovic v. Teknor" (Defendant may reference			
	OEHHA's Tax Identification Number of 68-0284486 for this check); and (b) Nicholas &			
	Tomasevic LLP Trust Account" in the amount of \$1,250 (for which Zivkovic shall provide			
	Defendant a completed IRS Form W-9 with a tax identification number within two days			
l	following the Effective Date, if not beforehand). These checks shall be delivered to the			
	addresses listed in Section 3.3 below.			
	3.2 Payment Delivery			
	(a) Payment to Zivkovic shall be delivered to the following address:			
	Craig Nicholas Nicholas & Tomasevic 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101			
	(b) Payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to one of the following addresses:			
	For United States Postal Service Delivery:			

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

1001 I Street

Or

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Sacramento, CA 95814

" la-1333937

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

Effective Date. To facilitate timely payment, Zivkovic shall provide Defendant with a completed IRS Form W-9 with Nicholas & Tomasevic's tax identification number within two days following the Effective Date if not beforehand.

Defendant shall pay Zivkovic \$45,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of

investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the

deliver payment to the address listed in Section 3(a) above within ten (10) business days of the

public interest. Defendant shall make its checks payable to Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP and shall

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 Zivkovic's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Zivkovic, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendant, and its affiliated entities, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Products, including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, dealers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees (including, without limitation, Lowe's Home Centers, LLC, True Value Company, and The Home Depot) ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposures to the Chemicals from Products manufactured and packaged by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.⁴

5.2 Zivkovic's Private Releases of Claims

Zivkovic, in his individual capacity only, also provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to any of the chemicals listed under Proposition 65 (including but not limited to the Listed Phthalates) in the Products. In this regard, Zivkovic hereby

⁴ Following the Effective Date, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to the Chemicals from the Products.

acknowledges that he is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE
CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE
TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST
HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

5.3 Defendant's Release of Zivkovic

Defendant, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims that it may have against Zivkovic and his attorneys and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Zivkovic and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against Defendant in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

6. **COURT APPROVAL**

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties unless the Parties mutually agree to extend that time period due to what they mutually agree are reasonably unforeseeable circumstances.

7. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

8. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to Zivkovic of any asserted change in the law and have no

1	further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the
2	Products are so affected.
3	9. <u>NOTICES</u>
4	Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant
5	to this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class
6	(registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight or two-day courier on any
7	Party by the other Party to the following addresses:
8	For Defendant Teknor Apex Company:
9	Teknor Apex Company
10	505 N Central Avenue Pawtucket, RI 02852
11	With a convitor
12	With a copy to:
13	Robert Falk RFalk@mofo.com
14 15	Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street, 32nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105
16	For Zivkovic:
17 18	Noam Glick Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, Suite 2100
19	San Diego, CA 92101
20	AND:
21	Craig Nicholas
22	Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 225 Broadway, 19th Floor
23	San Diego, CA 92101
24	Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to
25	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.
26	
27	
28	

10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Zivkovic agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement manifested in this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Zivkovic shall file and serve a noticed motion for approval of this Consent Judgment within sixty (60) days of its execution by all Parties and assure that the Office of the California Attorney General is served with said motion and all supporting papers at least forty-five (45) days prior to the scheduled hearing thereon. Defendant agrees to support the entry of this Consent Judgment once such motion has been filed.

12. ENFORCEMENT

Any Party may, after meeting and conferring, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

13. **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and upon entry, following at least twenty-one (21) days' notice to the Office of the Attorney General, of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or application of any Party, which shall also be served on the Office of the California Attorney General with at least twenty-one (21) days' notice, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

,	14 AT PRESONT A TRON		
1	14. AUTHORIZATION		
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
3	respective Parties and have read, understood and agreed to all of the terms and conditions of this		
4	Consent Judgment.		
5	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:		
6	Date: January 11, 2017 Date: 1/12/17		
7			
8	By: Arthur Zivkovic By: Jonathan D. Fain		
10	Arthur Zivkovic Spinathan D. Fain Chairman and Chief Operating Officer Teknor Apex Company		
11	,		
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
1.4			
15	Dated:		
16	Judge of the Superior Court		
17			
18	·		
19			
20			
21			
22	•		
23	·		
24	1		
25			
26.	·		
27			
28			
Ļ	la-1333937 10		