1	ENDORSED FILED			
3		ALAMEDA COUNTY NEC 1 8 2018		
4		CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
5		By: ANGEL LOGAN DEPUTY		
6				
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY	OF ALAMEDA		
10				
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	) Case No. RG 16-838609		
12	Plaintiff,	) (PROPOSED) CONSENT		
13	v.	) JUDGMENT AS TO INVENTURE ) FOODS, INC.		
14	SNIKIDDY, LLC, et al.,	)		
15	Defendants.	)		
16		)		
17		)		
18				
19	1. DEFINITIONS			
20		e complaint in the above-captioned matter.		
21	1.2 "Covered Products" means (1) all sweet potato-based snack food products			
22	manufactured by Settling Defendant; and (2) all Nathan's <sup>®</sup> brand Crunchy Crinkle Fries products			
23	manufactured by Settling Defendant. Without limitation, expressly excluded from "Covered			
24	Products" are: (a) all sliced potato products manufactured by Settling Defendant; (b) all potato-			
25	based "skin" products manufactured by Settling Defendant; and (c) all products covered by the			
26	Consent Judgment between the Environmental Law Foundation and Settling Defendant's predecessor Poore Brothers, Inc. in prior Proposition 65 litigation relating to acrylamide,			
27 28		too nugation relating to acrylannuc,		
∠o Document Prepared on Recycled Paper				

*Environmental Law Foundation v. Birds Eye Foods, Inc.*, Case No. BC356591, including those
 products identified in Section 1.7 thereof. A list of the Covered Products currently offered for
 sale by Settling Defendant is attached as Exhibit 1 hereto.<sup>1</sup>

- 1.3 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this ConsentJudgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.
- 6

2.

4

5

# INTRODUCTION

7 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a
8 California non-profit corporation ("CEH") and Inventure Foods, Inc. ("Settling Defendant").
9 CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain
10 claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth, or could have been set forth, in
11 the Complaint.

2.2 On or about August 12 and August 26, 2016, CEH provided 60-day Notices of
Violation of Proposition 65 (the "Notices") to the California Attorney General, the District
Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a
population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant,
along with its downstream retailer and customer, Bristol Farms, violated Proposition 65 by
exposing persons in California to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first
providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

2.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures,
distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or
has done so at times relevant to the Complaint.

- 22 2.4 On November 10, 2016, CEH filed the initial complaint in the above-captioned
  23 matter, naming Settling Defendant, as well as Bristol Farms, as an original defendant. On April
  - 24
  - <sup>1</sup> It is the Parties' intent that the Extruded Products referenced in this Consent Judgment are the kind of products falling within Type 4 in the "extruded, pellet, and baked products" category in the Consent Judgment as to Defendant Snak King Corporation, entered August 31, 2011, in *People v. Snyder's of Hanover, et al.*, Alameda County Superior
  - Court Case No. RG 09-455286. These products are referred to as "Group C, Type 4" products in Exhibit A to the
     Snak King Consent Judgment, which is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and available on the Attorney General's website at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/litigation.

11, 2017, CEH filed the Complaint, which added additional defendants but did not amend CEH's allegations or claims against Settling Defendant.

23

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notices with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

10 2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission against 11 interest by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall 12 compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission against interest 13 by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this 14 Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the 15 Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the 16 product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of 17 settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

18

3.

## INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. Upon the Effective Date, Settling
 Defendant shall not manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or
 offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide concentration levels (the
 "Reformulation Levels"), such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an
 accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS
 (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the
 Parties:

3.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight.
The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from 5

different lots of a particular type of Covered Product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least 60 days. The mean and standard deviation shall be calculated using the sampling data. Any data points that are more than three standard deviations outside the mean shall be discarded once, and the mean and standard deviation recalculated using the remaining data points. The mean determined in accordance with the procedure shall be deemed the "Average Level."

3.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Covered Products
shall not exceed 490 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the
individual unit being tested (the "Unit Level").

For avoidance of doubt, Covered Products either manufactured, shipped, or sold by Settling
Defendant prior to the Effective Date are not subject to the Reformulation Levels, even if such
products are sold in California or to California consumers after the Effective Date.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings. With the exception of the Covered Products
identified on Exhibit A, a Covered Product purchased, manufactured, shipped, sold or offered for
sale by Settling Defendant may, as an alternative to meeting the reformulation levels set forth in
Section 3.1, be sold or offered for sale in California with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that
complies with the provisions of this Section 3.2. A Clear and Reasonable Warning may only be
provided for Covered Products that Settling Defendant reasonably believes do not meet the
Reformulation Levels. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including acrylamide, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product, on the packaging of the Covered Product, or on a placard or sign provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Covered

28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper

20

21

22

1 Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. 2 If the warning statement is displayed on a placard or sign where the Covered Product is offered for 3 sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which 4 specific Covered Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between that Covered Product 5 and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For internet, catalog or any 6 other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed 7 in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the 8 authorization of or actual payment. Nothing in this Consent Judgment requires that warnings be 9 provided for Covered Products that are not shipped for sale in California. If Settling Defendant 10 elects to avail itself of the warning option provided by this Section 3.2, Settling Defendant shall 11 provide written notice to CEH prior to Settling Defendant's first distribution or sale of Covered 12 Products with warnings under this Section 3.2, and Settling Defendant concurrently shall make the 13 additional payment specified in Section 5.2.4 below.

14

4.

## ENFORCEMENT

4.1 General Enforcement Provisions. CEH may, by motion or application for an
order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent
Judgment. Any action by CEH to enforce Settling Defendant's alleged violations of Section 3.1
or to enforce future alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect to acrylamide exposures
from the Covered Products shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject
to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.4 if applicable.

21

## 4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in
California with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date indicating that the Covered Product was
sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date, and for which CEH has
laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product exceeds the Unit Level, CEH may issue
a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section. CEH may not issue a Notice of Violation as to any
Covered Product for which Settling Defendant has availed itself of the warning option under

1 Section 3.2 unless such Covered Product lacks a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies 2 with Section 3.2

Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation. 4 4.2.2.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified 5 in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days 6 of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased by CEH or the date that CEH 7 can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, shipped, sold, or 8 offered for sale by Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional 9 sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the 10 test data required by Section 4.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before 11 expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period. 12 4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the 13 date the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was

14 purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including 15 the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the 16 product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by 17 CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the 18 test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports 19 associated with testing of the Covered Product.

20 4.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than thirty (30) days after 21 effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to 22 CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of 23 Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of 24 service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. 25 Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, 26 notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the 27 test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

3

4.2.2

4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall
 include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation,
 including all available test data. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or
 other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section
 4.2.4, it shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party
 unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.

7 4.2.4 <u>Meet and Confer</u>. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling 8 Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of 9 serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw 10 the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not 11 contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay 12 \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, 13 CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the 14 result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a 15 Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may 16 file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. In any such proceeding, the 17 prevailing party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are 18 provided by law, including pursuant to Section 11, infra.

4.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the
allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if
any, as set forth below.

4.2.5.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a
detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has
undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall,
at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot
number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed
Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California

customers by Settling Defendant. Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and
make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per
year) for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing. If there
is a dispute over the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before
seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per
manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of
Violation in the first calendar year following the Effective Date.

8 4.2.5.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth 9 Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully 10 contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. 11 If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 12 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for 13 each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for 14 the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; 15 (ii) was conducted on the same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels 16 below the applicable Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 17 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second 18 Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. In no 19 case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation 20 not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of 21 Notices of Violation issued.

4.2.6 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check
payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a
Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for
investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse
attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.

27

4.3 Repeat Violations. If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of
Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or
withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs,
penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with
the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling
Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on
measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

8

5.

## PAYMENTS

9 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within twenty (20) calendar days of the
10 Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$80,000 as a settlement payment as
11 further set forth in this Section.

12 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount shall be paid in four (4) 13 separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by 14 Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late 15 fee to be paid by Settling Defendant to CEH in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment 16 is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under 17 this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement 18 proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling 19 Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made 20 payable as follows:

5.2.1 \$13,820 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §
25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health
Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty
payment for \$10,365 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer
identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:

27

1	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
2	Attn: Mike Gyurics
3	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
4	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
5	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
6	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
7	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B
8	Sacramento, CA 95814
9	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$3,455 shall be made payable to
10	the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-
11	3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San
12	Francisco, CA 94117.
13	5.2.2 \$10,360 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to
14	Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH
15	intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following
16	purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH
17	programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic
18	chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to
19	acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and
20	risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall
21	obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and
22	CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any
23	request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable
24	to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-
25	3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San
26	Francisco, CA 94117.
27	
28	

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER 5.2.3 \$55,820 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys'
 fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the
 Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This
 payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA
 94117.

6 5.2.4 Additional Civil Penalty. If Settling Defendant avails itself of the 7 warning option provided for by Section 3.2, Settling Defendant shall make an additional 8 payment of \$80,000 as a civil penalty, concurrently with its written notice as provided in 9 Section 3.2. This additional civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with 10 Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of 11 Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of 12 the civil penalty payment for \$60,000 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with 13 taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 14 5.2.1 above or any updated address for OEHHA. The CEH portion of the additional civil 15 penalty payment for \$20,000 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and 16 associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to 17 Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

18

6.

## MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

6.1 Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by
express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the
Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the
Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.

6.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment
shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to
modify the Consent Judgment.

6.3 In the event that new legislation or regulations relating to the acrylamide content
of the Covered Products is adopted on either the federal or California state level, after meeting

1 and conferring pursuant to Section 6.2 above, either Party may seek a modification to conform the 2 requirements of this Consent Judgment to such new requirements provided that the requirements 3 are either: (a) at least as restrictive as those set forth herein; or (b) completely preemptive of 4 Proposition 65 as adjudged by a final order of an appellate court of competent jurisdiction, and 5 the other Party may oppose such a modification.

6

7.

## **CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE**

7 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH, on 8 behalf of itself and the public interest, and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, 9 affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, 10 shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which 11 Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not 12 limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees 13 (including without limitation Bristol Farms, Inc.) ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide 14 15 contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling 16 Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

17

7.2 In consideration of Settling Defendant's obligations under Section 5, CEH, for 18 itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all 19 claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees 20 arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that 21 have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the 22 failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection with Covered Products 23 manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

24 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall 25 constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and 26 Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in 27 Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective

28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	Date.		
2	8.	PROV	VISION OF NOTICE
3		8.1	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
4	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
5			Howard Hirsch
6			Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
7			San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com
8			minisen@rexiawgroup.com
9		8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
10	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
11			George Gigounas
12			DLA Piper LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400
13			San Francisco, CA 94105 George.Gigounas@dlapiper.com
14			Richard Fama
15			Cozen O'Connor 45 Broadway Atrium, Suite 1600
16			New York, NY 10006 rfama@cozen.com
17			
18	Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending		
19	the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.		
20	9.	COU	RT APPROVAL
21		9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and
22	Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a		
23	Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this		
24	Consent Judgment by the Court.		
25		9.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
26	effect a	ind sha	all not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
27	purpose	e other	than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.
28			
epared Paper			12

-

1 2

3

4

## 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

5 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or application
6 arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable
7 attorneys' fees and costs.

8 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of
9 sanctions pursuant to law.

10

## **12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

11 12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding 12 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, 13 negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein 14 and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties 15 except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, 16 other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party 17 hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, 18 shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically 19 contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the 20 Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, 21 modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in 22 writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent 23 Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof 24 whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

25

## **13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

26 13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
27 Consent Judgment.

1 2

3

4

14.

# AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

5

# **15. OTHER SETTLEMENTS**

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
against any other entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent
Judgment.

9 15.2 Settling Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment pursuant to
10 Section 6 to substitute higher Reformulation Levels that CEH agrees to in a future consent
11 judgment applicable to products identical to the Covered Products, and CEH agrees not to oppose
12 any such motion except for good cause shown.

13

# 16. CHANGE IN LAW

16.2

14 16.1 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered 15 inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are 16 rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or 17 rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then Defendant may 18 provide written notice to Plaintiff of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further 19 obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered 20 Products are so affected.

any obligation to comply with any other pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from

26

27

28

1	17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
2	17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
3	<ul> <li>means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to</li> <li>constitute one document.</li> </ul>		
4			
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND		
7	DECREED.		
8			
9			
10	Dated: DEC 1.8 2018 IOANA PETROU		
11	Judge of the Superior Court		
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
13	THIS SU STIFULATED:		
14	Dated: 18 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
15	Chin		
16	Signature		
17	CRALIE L'FADAS		
18 19	Printed Name		
20	A - N		
20	Title		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
Document Prepared on Recycled Paper	16		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT INVENTURE FOODS CASE NO. RG 16-838609		

Dated: 10/12, 2018 INVENTURE FOODS, INC. Signature Sklar Printed Name SVP & GM Title DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT - INVENTURE FOODS - CASE NO. RG 16-838609

# EXHIBIT 1

Boulder Canyon Sweet Potato Chips

Boulder Canyon Sweet Potato Fries

Boulder Canyon Sweet Potato Skins

Nathan's Crinkle Cut Fries – all flavors

TGIF Sweet Potato Skins

Vidalia Sweet Potato Fries

# Exhibit 2

#### <u>Exhibit A</u>

# COVERED PRODUCTS

## CORN, GRAIN, AND LEGUME CHIPS AND STICKS

**Group A.** All corn, grain, and legume-based chips and sticks manufactured by Settling Defendant, including El Sabroso Guacachips, El Sabroso Jalapenitos, Private Label Tortilla Chips, Private Label Organic Blue Tortilla Chips, Private Label Organic Fiesta Tortilla Chips, Private Label Organic White Tortilla Chips, Whole Earth Really Seedy Tortilla Chips, El Sabroso Reduced Fat Tortilla Chips, Private Label Reduced Fat Tortilla Chips, Granny Goose Restaurant Style Tortilla Chips, Private Label Organic Yellow Rounds Tortilla Chips, El Sabroso Salsitas, El Sabroso Yellow Rounds Tortilla Chips, Granny Goose White Corn Tortilla Strips, Private Label White Corn Tortilla Strips, El Sabroso Chile Y Limon Churritos, El Sabroso Chile Y Limon Corn Chips, Granny Goose Corn Chips

Type 1: Triangle-shaped chips

**Type 2:** Round, rolled, and other non-triangle or non-strip-shaped chips

**Type 3:** Strip-shaped chips

**Type 4:** Corn chips and corn sticks (e.g., churritos)

## <u>POPCORN</u>

**Group B.** All popcorn products, including Snak King Popcorn (Cheddar Cheese and Butter), Granny Goose Butter Popcorn, Kettle Corn, Whole Earth Lightly Salted Popcorn, Private Label Organic Popcorn (White Cheddar and Light Salt), Granny Goose Caramel Popcorn

Type 1: Popcorn (plain, flavored and kettle)

**Type 2:** Caramel and candy corn (with or without nuts)

#### EXTRUDED, PELLET, AND BAKED PRODUCTS

**Group C.** All extruded, pellet, and baked products (excluding baked products in Group A), including Private Label Lavash Chips, Private Label Salted Pita Chips, Whole Earth Salted Pita Chips, Private Label Hot Fries, Snak King Hot Fries, Private Label Puffed Rice or Corn, Snak King Cheese Puffs, Private Label Cheese Puffs, Private Label Rice Balls, Private Label Multigrain Chips, Private Label Baked Cheese Curls, Granny Goose Cheese Blazin Curls, Snak King Baked Cheese Curls, Snak King Fried Cheese Curls, Snak King Hot Cheese Curls, Jensen Orchards Veggie Chips, Private Label Veggie Sticks, Private Label Mini Veggie Chips, El Sabroso Duros, Private Label Popped Chips

**Type 1:** Pita and lavash chips (all flavors)

Type 2: Puffs, fries, baked curls, and multigrain chips (all flavors)

Type 3: Fried curls (all flavors)

**Type 4:** Potato, vegetable, and other grain-based pellet chips and sticks (all flavors)

Type 5: Duros (all flavors)

#### PRETZELS

Group D. All pretzels

Type 1: Twists and sticks

#### **OTHER**

**Group E.** All pork rinds and "cracklins," including El Sabroso Regular Pork Rinds, El Sabroso Regular Pork Rinds with Salsa, El Sabroso Hot & Spicy Pork Rinds, El Sabroso Regular Cracklins, and El Sabroso Hot & Spicy Cracklins.

Type 1: Pork rinds and "cracklins"