1	ENDORSED		
2	FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY		
3	SEP 1 2 2018		
4	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By PAM WILLIAMS		
5	Deputy Deputy		
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10			
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 17-851469		
13	Plaintiff, (PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO KETTLE FOODS, INC. AND		
14	V.) LATE JULY SNACKS LLC		
15	FOODSHOULDTASTEGOOD, INC., et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17)		
18			
19			
20			
21	1. DEFINITIONS		
22	1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative First Amended complaint in the above-		
23	captioned matter.		
24	1.2 "Covered Products" means fried or baked potato or sweet potato based snack		
25	foods, including but not limited to fried or baked potato or sweet potato based chips, fried or		
26	baked potato or sweet potato based sticks, and fried or baked potato or sweet potato based straws		
27	but not including sliced potato chips, under the Kettle Foods, Inc. ("Kettle") brand and Late July		
28	1		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – KETTLE/LATE JULY – CASE NO. RG 17-851469		

Snacks LLC ("Late July") brand. It is the Parties' intent that the Covered Products referenced in this Consent Judgment are a subset of the kind of products falling within Type 4 in the "extruded, pellet, and baked products" category in the Consent Judgment as to Defendant Snak King Corporation, entered August 31, 2011, in *People v. Snyder's of Hanover, et al.*, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 09-455286.¹ An initial list of the Covered Products is attached as Exhibit A hereto.

1.3 "Effective Date" means the 30th day following the date on which notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendants.

2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), on the one hand, and Kettle and Late July (collectively, "Settling Defendants"), on the other hand. CEH and Settling Defendants (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle all claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendants as set forth in the Complaint in the above-captioned matter and all Claims described in Section 7 of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.2 On or about August 26, 2016, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Kettle, alleging that Kettle violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning. On or about September 30, 2016, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to Late July and the same public enforcers alleging that Late July violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
 - 2.3 Each Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that

¹ These products are referred to as "Group C, Type 4" products in Exhibit A to the Snak King Consent Judgment, which is available on the Attorney General's website at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/litigation.

Document Prepared manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.

- 2.4 On March 2, 2017, CEH filed the original complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming Settling Defendants as defendants. On May 23, 2017, CEH filed the Complaint.
- 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the operative Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the operative Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products.
- 2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, except as otherwise provided herein. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings, except as otherwise provided herein. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action, except as otherwise provided herein.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Upon the Effective Date, with the exception of Kettle's Covered Products with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of Section 3.2, Settling Defendants shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide concentration levels, such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass

or

Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties:

- 3.1.1 With the exception of Kettle's Covered Products with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of Section 3.2, the average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 350 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Average Level"). The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from at least 5 and up to 10 different lots of a particular type of Covered Product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least 120 days.
- 3.1.2 With the exception of Kettle's Covered Products with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of Section 3.2, the acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of a Covered Product shall not exceed 490 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Unit Level"). For avoidance of doubt, Covered Products purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped, or sold prior to the Effective Date are not subject to the injunctive relief requirements of Section 3 hereof, even if such products are sold in California or to California consumers after the Effective Date.
- 3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings Kettle Only. A Covered Product purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold or offered for sale by Kettle after the Effective Date may, as an alternative to meeting the Reformulation Levels set forth in Section 3.1, be sold or offered for sale in California with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of this Section 3.2. A Clear and Reasonable Warning may only be provided for Covered Products that Kettle reasonably believes do not meet the Reformulation Levels. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including acrylamide and [additional chemicals], which are known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product, on the packaging of the Covered Product, or on a placard or sign provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on a Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. If the warning statement is displayed on a placard or sign where the Covered Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which specific Covered Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between that Covered Product and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner to render it so that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. The warning that appears on the label attached as Exhibit B is an example of a Clear and Reasonable Warning.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 General Enforcement Provisions. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 or Section 3.2 by Settling Defendants shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.4 if applicable.

4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in California that was purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by a

Settling Defendant and that has a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date more than 6 months after the Effective Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product exceeds the Unit Level (and, for Kettle Covered Products, lacks a Clear and Reasonable Warning), CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section to that Settling Defendant (the "Noticed Settling Defendant").

4.2.2 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>

4.2.2.1 Subject to Section 4.2.2, the Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for the Noticed Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the Covered Products at issue were purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Products at issue were manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by the Noticed Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Products were purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Products were purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Products giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot and the best-by and sell-by (or equivalent) date or other similar code; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Products and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Products.

4.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than sixty (60) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, the Noticed Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of

Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within sixty (60) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, the Noticed Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendants' good faith efforts, the Noticed Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all documents upon which the Noticed Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation, including all available test data. If the Noticed Settling Defendant or CEH later acquire additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.4, it shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.

4.2.4 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and the Noticed Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, the Noticed Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, the Noticed Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. In the event CEH withdraws a Notice of Violation pursuant to the preceding sentence, it shall return any payments made by Settling Defendants in connection with that Notice. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1 regarding any alleged violation of Section 3. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

- Non-Contested Notices. If the Noticed Settling Defendant elects to not 4.2.5 contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.
- 4.2.5.1 The Noticed Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or propose to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that, with the exception of Kettle Covered Products with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of Section 3.2, all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by the Noticed Settling Defendant, and that the Noticed Setting Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to either return all such Noticed Covered Products to the Noticed Settling Defendant, or to directly destroy such Noticed Covered Products, if the Noticed Settling Defendant has reason to believe the Noticed Covered Products are still offered for sale to California consumers. The Noticed Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per year) for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing.
- If the Notice of Violation is based on a violation of the Unit Level 4.2.5.2 with respect to a single Covered Product, a Settling Defendant may be excused from the recall obligation described in Section 4.2.5.1 (but not the monetary payments, if any, required by this Section 4) if the Settling Defendant produces test results and other evidence that: (1) demonstrates that the acrylamide levels found by CEH in the unit alleged to be in violation is an aberration; and (2) otherwise provides reasonable assurance that the remainder of the Noticed

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

Covered Products, aside from the unit alleged to be in violation, comply with the Reformulation Levels. The Parties agree that this Section 4.2.5.2 is satisfied if the Settling Defendant can demonstrate that the type of Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation satisfies the Average Level. However, to avail itself of this provision, the Settling Defendant must provide CEH with all acrylamide test data in its possession, custody or control pertaining to the type of Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation that was performed within the year prior to the date of the Notice of Violation.

4.2.5.3 If there is a dispute over the corrective action or over whether the Noticed Settling Defendant is excused from the recall obligation, the Noticed Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first year following the Effective Date.

4.2.5.4 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by the Noticed Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then the Noticed Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If the Noticed Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then the Noticed Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each Notice of Violation. If the Noticed Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same or same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the Unit Level. then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. The Noticed Settling Entity can successfully contest a Notice of Violation if it produced with a Notice of Election a copy of correspondence dated before the date of the purchase that resulted in the Notice of Violation, to a person or entity that caused that Covered Product to be sold in California, instructing that person

9

12

13

11

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22. 23

24

25

26 27

or entity to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale in California. In no case shall the Noticed Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued.

- <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check 4.2.6 payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.
- 4.3 Repeat Violations. If a Noticed Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. At least thirty (30) days prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with the Noticed Settling Defendant to determine if the Noticed Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that the Noticed Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

5. **PAYMENTS**

- 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendants. Within twenty (20) calendar days of the date on which notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendants, Settling Defendants shall pay the total sum of \$165,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendants shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendants to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendants to CEH in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1.

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$114,470 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and its successors and assigns and in the public interest, and Settling Defendants

and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which either Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including, but not limited to, Save Mart Supermarkets and SF Markets, LLC), franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped, or sold prior to the Effective Date, even if such products are sold in California or to California consumers after the Effective Date.

- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection with Covered Products purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped, or sold prior to the Effective Date, even if such products are sold in California or to California consumers after the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in Covered Products purchased, manufactured, distributed, shipped, sold, or offered for sale after the Effective Date.
- 7.4 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5 hereof, entry of this Consent Judgment will resolve all claims alleged against Settling Defendants, Save Mart Supermarkets, and SF Markets, LLC in the operative Complaint related to

CONSENT JUDGMENT - KETTLE/LATE JULY - CASE NO. RG 17-851469

ON RECYCLED PAPER

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendants, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or application arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the

1

CONSENT JUDGMENT - KETTLE/LATE JULY - CASE NO. RG 17-851469

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

EXHIBIT A

Description	Size	SKU
Kettle Uprooted Real Vegetable Chips - Sweet	6 oz.	0-84114-11492-1
Potatoes, Beets & Parsnips with Sea Salt		
Kettle Uprooted Real Vegetable Chips - Sweet	6 oz.	0-84114-11493-1
Potatoes with Sea Salt		
Kettle Uprooted Sweet Potato Maple	23 oz.	0-84114-90005-1
Kettle Uprooted Sweet Potato Pie Chips	6 oz.	0-84114-90002-9
Kettle Sweet Potato Pie Chips	23 oz.	0-84114-90065-4
Kettle Uprooted Real Vegetable Chips - Chipotle	6 oz.	0-84114-90001-2
Honey Sweet Potatoes		
Late July Snacks How Sweet Potato It Is Multigrain	5.5 oz.	8-90444-00087-8
Tortilla Chips		

EXHIBIT B



REAL VEGETABLE CHEPS

Certified



Glutan Eree



SWEET POTATO PIE

SWEET POTATOES

great taste...naturally™

NET WT 6 OZ (170g)



We're branching out at Kettle Brand with a delicious new chip made from thickly sliced sweet potatoes grown by our farmers in the Midwest. Digini

— OUR FARMERS -

'At our farm, one of the things we love most to grow is sweet potatoes. There's something about the way they're hidden beneath the surface just waiting to be unearlined at harvest.

You put good stuff into the soil and in return gather up a bounty of flavorful sweet potatoes. It's a miracle every time.

We really appreciate how much the people at Kettle Brand value the care we put into growing flavorful food. And darn it if they don't have a knack for turning it into something even more delicious.

Mark Bula Shuwu Bala

Mark Bula and Shawn Bula Bula Gieringer Farms Kettle Partner since 2007

GUARANTEED FRESH AND DELICIOUS through the 'Best Before' date. Please note this date and the UPC code in all correspondence regarding this product.

Trademarks of Kettle Foods, Inc. include the KETTLE brand name, the KETTLE design, and related KETTLE marks. © 2016 Kettle Foods, Inc. All rights reserved.



INGREDIENTS: Sweet potatoes, salflower and/or sunflower and/or canola oil, sugar, dried cane syrup, salt, maple sugar, maltodextrin, spice, brown sugar, natural flavors, sea salt, dried molasses.

Nutrition

Serving Size 1oz about 13 chips (28g) Servings Per Container: 6

Amount Per Serving			************	
Calories 150	Calories	from	Fat 9	0
	9	6 Dally	Valu	e*
Total Fat 10g			15	%
Saturated Fat 0.	5 g		3	%
Trans Fat 0g				
Polyunsaturated	Fat 1g			-
Monounsaturate	d Fat 7g		**********	
Cholesterol 0mg			0	%
Sodium 150mg		~~~~~~	6	%
Potassium 350mg	···	·	10	%
Total Carbohydra	ite 16g		5	%
Dietary Fiber 2g	***************************************		8	%
Sugars 7g				
Protein 1g	***************************************			
Vitamin A 120%	 Vi 	tamin	C 4%	ó
Calcium 15%	• Iro	on 8%		

diet. Your daily values are based on a 2,000 carons diet. Your daily values may be higher or lower depending on your catorie needs:					
	Calories:	2,000	2,500		
Total Fat	Less than	65g	80g		
Saturated Pat	Loss than	20g	25g		
Cholesterol	Less than	300mg	300mg		

Less than	20g	25g
Less than	300mg	300mg
Less than	2,400mg	2,400mg
	3,500mg	3,500mg
	300g	375g
	25g	30g

	Less than	Less than 300mg Less than 2,400mg 3,500mg 300g

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.



Distributed by: KETTLE FOODS INC Salem, OR 97261

SINCE 1082

