22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Brian Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 clifford@chanler.com brian@chanler.com Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER RUSSELL BRIMER Plaintiff, v. PETEDGE MASSACHUSETTS BUSINESS TRUST; et al., Defendants.

ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

NOV - 9 2017

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By DIANNE HYATT Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT **TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65** SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT

JUDGMENT

Case No. RG17847975

Date:

November 8, 2017

Time:

2:30 p.m.

Dept.:

518

Judge:

Hon. Dennis Hayashi

Reservation No. R-1877230

Plaintiff Russell Brimer and defendants Petedge Massachusetts Business Trust and Petedge, Inc. have agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a stipulated judgment ("Consent judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an order approving their Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment, and for good cause being shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 1//8/17

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

1 2 3	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Brian Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710	ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY NOV - 9 2017
4	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By DIANNE HYATT Deputy
5	clifford@chanler.com brian@chanler.com	DIANNE HYATT
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Schury
7 8	KUSSELL BRIVIER	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
12		
13	RUSSELL BRIMER	Case No. RG17847975
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq., and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
16	PETEDGE MASSACHUSETTS BUSINESS TRUST; et al.,	Code civilios. g como
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
27		
28		
2 0	[PROPOSED] C	ONSENT JUDGMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer ("Brimer"), on the one hand, and defendants Petedge Massachusetts Business Trust and Petedge, Inc. (collectively, "Petedge"), on the other hand, with Brimer and Petedge each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Petedge employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that Petedge sells and distributes for sale in California, pet waste bag holders containing di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP"), and that it does so without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Petedge denies Brimer's allegations.

1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Products" are defined as pet waste bag holders that (i) are designed to resemble a purse, clutch, pouch or handbag, (ii) contain DEHP, and (iii) are sold or distributed for sale in California by Petedge including, without limitation, the Clean Go Pet Waste Bag Holder Set, #ZW31390212, UPC #7 21343 41305 9 (the "Notice Exemplar Product").

1.6 Notice of Violation

On September 7, 2016, Brimer served Petedge, the California Attorney General, and all other requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"). The Notice alleges that Petedge violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP that Brimer alleges is present in the Products.

1.7 Complaint

On or about February 2, 2017, Brimer filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Petedge as a defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Petedge denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all of the products that it has sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by Petedge. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Petedge's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Petedge as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the Santa Clara County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court approves this Consent Judgment, including any unopposed tentative ruling.

2.

Commitment to Reformulate or Provide Warnings 2.1

3

2

1

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION & WARNINGS

Petedge represents that it does not presently manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale the Notice Exemplar Product identified in the Notice. Petedge commits, however, that should it recommence the manufacture, distribution, sale, or offering for sale of the Notice Exemplar Product in the future, and for all Products sold or distributed for sale in California after the Effective Date, it shall only ship, sell or distribute for sale either (a) Reformulated Products as defined by Section 2.2, or (b) Products offered with a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Section 2.3.

Reformulated Products Defined 2.2

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Products, including the Notice Exemplar Product, with no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DEHP content when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or any equivalent methods used by the State of California or federal agencies to determine DEHP content in a solid substance.

Clear and Reasonable Warnings 2.3

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, for any Products sold or distributed for sale in California by Petedge that are not Reformulated Products, Petedge agrees to only offer such Products with a clear and reasonable warning in accordance with this Section. Petedge further agrees that any warning used will be prominently placed in relation to the Product with such conspicuousness when compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a clear and reasonable warning for the Products satisfying these criteria shall consist of a warning affixed directly to a Product or its accompanying labeling or packaging sold in California containing the following statement:

> WARNING: This product contains chemicals, including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

If Petedge sells Products via an internet website to customers in California, the warning requirements of this section shall be satisfied if the foregoing warning appears either: (a) on the same web page on

which a Product is displayed and/or described; (b) on the same page as the price for the Product; or (c) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser prior to purchase during the checkout process. Alternatively, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow or white equilateral triangle may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, price, or checkout listing of the Product, provided the warning statement appears elsewhere on the same web page in a manner that clearly associates it with the Product(s) to which the warning applies.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payment

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), in settlement of all claims alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and referred to in this Consent Judgment, Petedge shall pay a civil penalty of \$2,250. Petedge's payment will be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d) with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty retained by Brimer. Petedge shall deliver its payment in two checks for the following amounts made payable to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,687.50, and (b) "Russell Brimer, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$562.50. Brimer's counsel shall be responsible for delivering OEHHA's portion of the penalty paid under this Consent Judgment.

3.2 Reimbursement of Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of their settlement had been finalized. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Petedge and Brimer negotiated a resolution of the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment. Under these legal principles, Petedge agrees to pay \$26,000 for all fees and costs incurred investigating, bringing this matter to Petedge's attention, and litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Petedge shall deliver its

payment in a single check payable to "The Chanler Group."

3.3 Payment Timing; Payments Held in Trust

All settlement payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to Petedge's counsel within fifteen (15) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties. Petedge's counsel shall provide Brimer's counsel with written confirmation upon its receipt of Petedge's settlement funds. Thereafter, Petedge's counsel shall hold the settlement payments in trust until, and disburse the payments within two days after, the Effective Date.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Brimer's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Brimer, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Petedge and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom Petedge directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for any violation arising under Proposition 65 alleging a failure to warn about exposures to DEHP in Products sold by Petedge prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the failure to warn about exposures to DEHP in Products sold by Petedge after the Effective Date.

4.2 Brimer's Individual Release of Claims

Brimer, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Petedge, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of Brimer of any nature, character or

kind, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products manufactured, imported, sold or distributed for sale by Petedge before the Effective Date.

4.3 Petedge's Release of Brimer

Petedge, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time to which the Parties may agree in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Petedge may provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Petedge:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Andy Katz, President Petedge, Inc. 100 Cummings Center, Suite 307B Beverly, MA 01915

Anatoly M. Darov, Esq. Burns & Levinson LLP 125 Summer Street Boston, MA 02110-1624

For Brimer:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Brimer and Petedge agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and that of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection or opposition any third-party may file or lodge, and appearing before the Court at the hearing, if so requested.

2627

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may only be modified by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon.

12. AUTHORIZATION

7	12. AUTHORIZATION	
6	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understand	
7	and agree to all of the terms and conditions set forth herein.	
8		
9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
10	Date: 7.6./>	Date: 7/6/17
11		7014
12	By:	By: Control
13	RUSSELL-BRIMER	Andy K. President PETEDC MASSACHUSETTS BUSINESS
14		TRUST a: PETEDGE, INC.
15	·	
16	4846-6251-1435.1	
17.	1,75,71,730,1	
18		