1 2 3 4 5 6	Parker A. Smith, Esq. (290311) SY AND SMITH, PC 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 Del Mar, CA 92130 Phone: 858 746 9554 Facsimile: 858 746 5199 Attorney for Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng	FILED SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT JUN -2 2017 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT BY:T. RAY
7 8 9 10		THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OF SAN DIEGO
11 12	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
13 14 15	KING PUN CHENG,) CASE NO.: 37-2017-00010851-CU-NP-CTL)
16 17	Plaintiff,	 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT APPROVING PROP 65 STIPULATION AND CONSENT JUDGMENT
18 19 20	HAIER US APPLIANCE SOLUTIONS, INC.	 (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) Date: May 26, 2017 Time: 10:00 AM Dept. C-71
21 22	Defendant.	 Judge: Hon. Gregory W. Pollack Action Filed: March 24, 2017 "IMAGED FILE"
23 24	In the above entitled action, F	Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng and Defendant, Haier US
25	Appliance Solutions, Inc. having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be	
26	entered pursuant to the terms of the Propos	sition 65 settlement agreement in the form of a
27	[Proposed] Consent Judgment entered into	by the parties, and following the issuance of an order
28	approving this Proposition 65 settlement a	greement and entering this Consent Judgment on

, , ,

1	PARKER SMITH, ESQ. (SBN 290311) SY AND SMITH, PC.			
2	11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 Del Mar, CA 92130 Telephone: (858) 746-9554			
4	Facsimile: (858)746-5199			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, King Pun Cheng			
6				
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO			
10	KING PUN CHENG,	Case No. 37-2017-00010851-CU-NP-CTL		
11	Plaintiff,	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION		
12	vs.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
13	HAIER US APPLIANCE SOLUTIONS, INC.	ORDER RE ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO HAIER US		
14	Defendants.	APPLIANCE SOLUTIONS, INC.		
15		Complaint Filed: March 24, 2017		
16				
17	1, <u>Introduction</u>			
18	1.1 Parties			
19	This Consent Judgment is hereby entered into by and between Kingpun Cheng, as an			
20 21	individual and acting in the interest of the public, (hereinafter "Cheng") and Haier US Appliance			
22	Solutions, Inc. (hereinafter "HAIER"). HAIER and Cheng shall be collectively referred to as the			
23	"Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Cheng is an individual residing in California who seeks			
24	to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemica	als and improve human health by reducing or		

eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. HAIER employs ten or more

persons as required for purposes of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition

26

27

65").

1.2 General Allegations

Cheng alleges that HAIER offered for sale and sold in the State of California Haier

Stainless Steel Washer Hoses, including but not limited to "Haier Improved GE Appliances

Stainless Steel Washer Hoses" UPC084691809968 ("Covered Products"), containing DEHP, a

chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause

cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm, and that they did so without providing the

warning Cheng alleges is required by Proposition 65.

1.3 Notice of Violation

On or about September 26, 2016, Cheng issued a 60 Day Notice of Violation to HAIER, Home Depot, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) alleging that Haier US Appliance Solutions, Inc. was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn California consumers that the Covered Products exposed them to DEHP ("60 Day Notice"). The 60 Day Notice is referred to herein as "Notice". No public enforcer diligently prosecuted the claims threatened in the Notice within sixty days plus service time after service of the Notice to them by Cheng.

1.4 Complaint

On or about March 24, 2017 Cheng filed a Complaint against HAIER for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in San Diego Superior Court, Case No. 37-2017-00010851-CU-NP-CTL. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that HAIER violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products.

1.5 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties consent that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over the

named Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Diego and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Notices, Complaint, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the prior conduct of the Parties or on the facts alleged in the Complaint or arising therefrom or related to.

1.6 No Admission

HAIER denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in Cheng's Notice and Complaint and maintain that they have not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by HAIER of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by HAIER of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of HAIER under this Consent Judgment.

1.7 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date this Court approves and enters this Consent Judgment.

2. <u>Injunctive Relief</u>

Except for those Covered Products described in Section 2.4 of this Consent Judgment, commencing on the Effective Date, HAIER shall only sell, offer for sale, or distribute for sale in California, Covered Products that are either (a) reformulated pursuant to Section 2.1 or (b) include a warning as provided in Section 2.3.

2.1 Reformulation Option.

The Covered Products shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 with regard to DEHP, and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements for DEHP, if the exposed the Covered Products meet the following criteria: the alloy from which the components are made shall have a DEHP content by weight of no more than 0.10% (1,000 parts per million, or "1,000 ppm"). HAIER may comply with the above requirements by relying on information obtained from its suppliers regarding the content of the alloy from which the components are made, provided such reliance is in good faith. Obtaining test results showing that the DEHP content is no more than 0.10%, using a method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished from detection) of less than 1,000 ppm shall be deemed to establish good faith reliance. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Covered Products in compliance with this standard are "Reformulated Products".

2.2 Warning Alternative.

As an alternative to reformulating the Covered Products, , Covered Products that HAIER ships for sale, sells or offers for sale in California that do not meet the Reformulation Option set forth in Section 2.1 above shall be accompanied by a warning as described in Section 2.3 below.

2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings.

Where required under Section 2.2 above, HAIER shall provide Proposition 65 warnings substantially as follows:

WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

OR

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

 HAIER shall provide the warning language set forth in Section 2.3 either with the unit package of the Covered Products or affixed to the Covered Products. Such warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product's label or package or the Covered Product itself. If printed on the label, the warning shall be contained in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product.

Where utilized as an alternative to meeting the criteria set forth in Section 2.1,

2.3.2 The requirements for warnings set forth in Section 2.3 above are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment. The Parties recognize that these are not the exclusive methods of providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations and that they may or may not be appropriate in other circumstances. HAIER may use other warning text and/or method of transmission deemed "clear and reasonable" under regulations promulgated by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment without being in breach of this Consent Judgment.

2.4 Sell-Through

Notwithstanding any other Section in this Consent Judgment, Covered Products that are manufactured prior to June 30, 2017shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to Section 5 of this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligations of HAIER as set forth in this Consent Judgment, including but not limited to Section 2, do not apply to the Covered Products manufactured prior to June 30, 2017.

3. Entry of Consent Judgment

3.1 Civil Penalty

With regard to all claims that have been raised or which could be raised with respect to failure to warn pursuant to Proposition 65 with regard to DEHP in the Covered Products, HAIER

shall pay a civil penalty of \$2,800 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Cheng, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d) and the instructions directly below.

3.2 Payment Procedures

HAIER shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "OEHHA" (tax identification number: 68-0284486) in an amount representing 75% of the total penalty (i.e., \$2,100; and (b) one check in an amount representing 25% of the total penalty (i.e., \$700) made payable directly to Cheng. HAIER shall mail these payments within five (5) days after the Effective Date at which time such payments shall be mailed to the following addresses respectively:

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

Attn: Mike Gyurics

1001 I Street

Mail Stop 12-B

Sacramento, CA 95814

and

Mr. Kingpun Cheng

C/O Sy and Smith, PC

11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100

San Diego, CA 92130

4. Reimbursement of Fees and Costs

The parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Cheng and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine and principles of contract law. Under these legal principles, HAIER shall reimburse Cheng's counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to HAIER's attention, and negotiating a settlement. HAIER shall pay Cheng's counsel \$35,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and related costs associated with this matter and the Notice. HAIER shall wire said monies or send a check payable to "Sy and Smith, PC" within five (5) days of the Effective Date. Sy and Smith, PC will provide HAIER with wire instruction and tax identification information on or before the Effective Date if requested. Other than the payment required hereunder, each side is to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

5. Release of all Claims

5.1 Release of HAIER and Downstream Customers, Retailers, Suppliers and Entities

Cheng, acting on behalf of himself and on behalf of the general public and in the public interest, releases HAIER and its respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, employees, sister and parent entities, affiliates, subsidiaries, successors, and assigns, its suppliers and vendors, and each entity to whom it directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Products including, but not limited to, their downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers (including, but not limited to, Home Depot, Inc. and its respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, employees, sister and parent entities, affiliates, subsidiaries, successors, and assigns), franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, and subsidiaries (collectively "Releasees") from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through

Notice of Violation. This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between Cheng, acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public and in the public interest, and HAIER, and shall have preclusive effect such that no other person or entity, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against HAIER or Releasees. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance by HAIER and Releasees with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products.

the Effective Date based on exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products as set forth in the

In addition to the foregoing, Cheng, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and not in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases any other claims that he could make against HAIER or the Releasees arising prior to the Effective Date with respect to violations of Proposition 65 based upon the Covered Products. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released above may include unknown claims, and with respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Cheng hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE
CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF
EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY
AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Cheng acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

5.2 HAIER Release of Cheng

HAIER waives any and all claims against Cheng, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Cheng and his attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter.

6. Non-Disparagement

The Parties agree to refrain from taking action or making statements, written, oral or through any form of social media, which disparage or defame the goodwill or reputation of the other Party.

7. Severability and Merger

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this document are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

8. Governing Law

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then HAIER shall have no further

1 obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to the Covered Products to the extent 2 the Covered Products are so affected. 3 9. Notices 4 9.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided 5 pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: 6 electronic mail and either (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or 7 (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses: 8 9 HAIER: 10 Shelby Miller George Gigounas 11 DLA Piper LLP 12 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94105 13 shelby.miller@dlapiper.com george.gigounas@dlapiper.com 14 15 and 16 For Cheng: 17 Parker A. Smith 18 Sy and Smith, PC 19 11622 El CHaierno Real, Suite 100 Del Mar, CA 92130 20 parker@sysmithlaw.com 21 Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing by the means set forth above to the 22 other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent. 23 10. Counterparts; Facsimile Signatures 24 10.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of 25 which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and 26 the same document. 27

1			
1	11. <u>Court Approval</u>		
2	This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and		
3	shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one (1)		
4			
5	year after it has been fully executed by the Parties.		
6	12. Compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)		
7	Cheng agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety		
8	Code §25249.7(f).		
9	13. <u>Modification</u>		
10	This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further written agreement of the Parties		
11	with court approval or by noticed motion.		
12	14. Attorney Fees		
13			
14	A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent		
15	Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.		
16	15. <u>Authorization</u>		
17	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
18	respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
19	document and certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to		
20			
21	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party and legally bind that Party.		
22	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
23			
24	Dated: Dated:		
25	_		
26			
27	Parker A. Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff Shelby Miller, Attorney for Defendant		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

11. Court Approval

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one (1) year after it has been fully executed by the Parties.

12. Compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)

Cheng agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f).

13. Modification

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further written agreement of the Parties with court approval or by noticed motion.

14. Attorney Fees

A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent

Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

15. Authorization

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party and legally bind that Party.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: 4/5/17	Dated:
Ву:	Ву:
Parker A. Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff	Shelby Miller Attorney for Defendan

1 2 3 4 5	Dated: 2017.4.5 By: Kingpun Cheng	Dated: 4/10/17 By: On Behalf of HAIER	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:		
8			
9	Dated:		
10		Judge of the Superior Court	
11			
12 13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19		•	
20			
21			
22 23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		•	

28

11. **Court Approval**

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one (1) year after it has been fully executed by the Parties.

Compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f) 12.

Cheng agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f).

Modification 13.

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further written agreement of the Parties with court approval or by noticed motion.

14. **Attorney Fees**

A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

Authorization 15.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party and legally bind that Party.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated:	Dated: 4/1/1	
Ву:	Ву:	
Parker A. Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff	Shelby Miller, Attorney for Defendan	

Shelby Miller, Attorney for Defendant

11/7/