1 Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 **ENDORSED** Christopher Tuttle, State Bar No. 264545 FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court 2 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street 3 Parker Plaza, Suite 214 OCT 1 7 2017 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 CLERK OF THE COURT 4 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 BY: ____ GINA GONZALES 5 clifford@chanler.com Deputy Clerk ctuttle@chanler.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 JOHN MOORE 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 JOHN MOORE, Case No. CGC17557606 13 Plaintiff, 14 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT **TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65** 15 у. SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT SMV INDUSTRIES, INC., et al., 16 Date: October 17, 2017 17 Defendant. Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept.: 302 18 Judge: Hon. Harold E. Kahn 19 Reservation No.: 08241017-07 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff John Moore and defendant SMV Industries, Inc. having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a stipulated judgment ("Consent judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an order approving their Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment, and for good cause being shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: OCT 17 2017

HAROLD KAHN
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

EXHIBIT 1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Cliff Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Christopher Tuttle, State Bar No. 264545 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 clifford@chanler.com ctuttle@chanler.com	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
10		
12		
13		
14	JOHN MOORE,	Case No. CGC17557606
15	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
ll ll		-
16	v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and
16 17	v. SMV INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
		(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17	SMV INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17 18	SMV INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17 18 19	SMV INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17 18 19 20	SMV INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17 18 19 20 21	SMV INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17 18 19 20 21 22	SMV INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SMV INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SMV INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SMV INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered by plaintiff John Moore ("Moore"), and SMV Industries, Inc. ("SMV"), with Moore and SMV each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Moore is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

SMV employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Moore alleges that SMV imports, sells, and distributes for sale in California, vinyl/PVC gloves that contain di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment "Products" are defined as vinyl/PVC gloves containing DEHP including, but not limited to, the SMV Industries PVC Coated Work Gloves, Style:7188CG, UPC#0 12947 00967 1 that are imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by SMV.

1.6 Notice of Violation

On December 6, 2016, Moore served SMV, and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") alleging that SMV violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to

1

DEHP from the Products. No public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

3

1.7

4 5

6

7

1.8

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Complaint

On March 17, 2017, Moore filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming SMV as a defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

No Admission

SMV denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products it has sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect SMV's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over SMV as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 **Effective Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court approves this Consent Judgment, including any unopposed tentative ruling granting approval of this Consent Judgment.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATED PRODUCTS

2.1 Commitment to Reformulate or Warn

Commencing within 60 days of the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, SMV shall only manufacture for sale, purchase for sale, or import for sale in California, Products that are either: (a) Reformulated Products as defined by Section 2.2, below; or (b) Products that are offered by SMV with a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Section 2.3.

2.2 Reformulation Standards

For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Products that contain DEHP in concentrations that do not exceed 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or equivalent methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Commencing within 60 days of the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, for any Products sold or offered for sale in California by SMV that are not Reformulated Products, SMV agrees to only offer such Products for sale with a clear and reasonable warning in accordance with this Section. SMV further agrees that any warning used will be prominently placed in relation to the Product with such conspicuousness when compared with other words, statements, designs, or devises as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a clear and reasonable warning for the Products satisfying these criteria shall consist of a warning affixed directly to a Product or its accompanying labeling or packaging sold in California containing one of the following statements:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical

that is known to the State of California to cause

birth defects or other reproductive harm.

WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals

including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects

or other reproductive harm.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), and in settlement of all the claims referred to in the Notice and Complaint, SMV shall pay \$2,500 in civil penalties. The civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty retained by Moore. SMV shall provide its payment in two checks for the following amount made payable to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,875; and (b) "John Moore, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$625. Moore's counsel shall be responsible for delivering OEHHA's portion of any penalty payment made under this Consent Judgment.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Moore and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, the Parties negotiated the compensation due to Moore and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment, and court approval of the same, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, if any. Under these legal principles, SMV shall pay \$26,000 for all fees and costs incurred by Moore investigating, bringing this matter to SMV's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

3.3 Payment Timing; Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be Held in trust until the Effective Date. Within five (5) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, SMV shall deliver all settlement payments due under this agreement to SMV's counsel to hold in trust until the Consent Judgment is approved by the Court. SMV's counsel shall provide Moore's counsel with written confirmation upon its receipt of the settlement payments. SMV's counsel shall deliver

the civil penalty and attorneys' fee reimbursement payments to Moore's counsel at the address set forth in Section 3.4, below.

3.4 Payment Address

Within five (5) days of the Effective Date, all payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Moore's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Moore, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases SMV and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees"), and each entity to whom SMV directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, without limitation, its downstream customers, distributors, wholesalers, and retailers ("Downstream Releasees") for any violation arising under Proposition 65 pertaining to the failure to warn about exposures to DEHP from Products sold or distributed for sale by SMV prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP from Products sold or distributed for sale by SMV after the Effective Date.

4.2 Moore's Individual Release of Claims

Moore, in his individual capacity only and *not* in any representative capacity, also provides a release to SMV, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees, which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of Moore of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products, manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale by SMV before the Effective Date.

4.3 SMV's Release of Moore

SMV, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Moore and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Moore and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree in writing.

6. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then SMV may provide written notice to Moore of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

For SMV:

Douglas Borman, President SMV Industries, Inc. 51213 195th Street Council Bluffs, IA 51501

Garth Ward, Esq. Lewis Brisbois LLP 701 B Street, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101

For Moore:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Moore agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Moore shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may file or lodge, and appearing at the hearing before the Court, if so requested.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

2,

Date: 8/15/2017

Date:

By: JOHN MOORE

By: Los G John Douglas Berman, President

SMV INDUSTRIES, INC.