



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

**Document Scanning Lead Sheet**

Feb-15-2018 5:10 pm

Case Number: CGC-17-559276

Filing Date: Feb-15-2018 5:09

Filed by: JACQUELINE ALAMEDA

Image: 06220574

ORDER

EOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION VS. WEBER-STEPHEN PRODUCTS, LLC ET  
AL

001C06220574

**Instructions:**

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

(K)

**FILED**

Superior Court of California  
County of San Francisco

FEB 15 2018

CLERK OF THE COURT  
BY: *[Signature]*  
Deputy Clerk

1 Christopher Sproul (State Bar No. 126398)  
2 ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES  
3 5135 Anza Street  
4 San Francisco, California 94121  
5 Telephone: (415) 533-3376  
6 Facsimile: (415) 358-5695  
7 Email: csproul@enviroadvocates.com

8 Fredric Evenson (State Bar No. 198059)  
9 ECOLOGY LAW CENTER  
10 P.O. Box 1000  
11 Santa Cruz, CA 95061  
12 Telephone: (831) 454-8216  
13 Facsimile: (415) 358-5695  
14 Email: evenson@ecologylaw.com

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
16 ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION

17 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
18 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

19 ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 WEBER STEPHEN PRODUCTS, LLC and  
23 ROSLE USA CORPORATION,

24 Defendants.

Civil Case No. CGC-17-559276

*MR*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

**MOTION TO APPROVE PROPOSITION  
65 SETTLEMENT AND FOR ENTRY OF  
CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO  
DEFENDANT WEBER STEPHEN  
PRODUCTS, LLC**

Date: February 15, 2018  
Time: 9:30 a.m.  
Dept.: 302  
Reservation No.: 012220215-07


1 Plaintiff's motion for approval of settlement and for entry of Consent Judgment as to  
2 Defendant Weber Stephen Products, LLC were heard on regular noticed motion on February 15,  
3 2018, at 9:30 a.m., in Dept. 302. Having reviewed the pleadings, moving papers and supporting  
4 declarations, the Court finds as follows:

- 5 1) The warnings required by the settlement comply with Proposition 65;
- 6 2) The attorneys' fees awarded under the Consent Judgment, and the underlying  
7 hourly rates, are reasonable under California law; and
- 8 3) The civil penalties and payments in lieu of penalties are reasonable based on the  
9 criteria listed in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(2).

10  
11 IT IS SO ORDERED:

12 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

2/15/18

13   
\_\_\_\_\_  
14 Judge of the Superior Court

15 HAROLD KAHN  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28