ENDORSED FILED **ALAMEDA COUNTY** 1 APR 1 8 2018 2 **CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT** 3 By PAM WILLIAMS 4 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 11 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG17-851470 12 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] €ONSENT JUDGMENT 13 AS TO WARNOCK FOOD ٧. PRODUCTS, INC. 14 SNACK INNOVATIONS, INC., et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 20 **DEFINITIONS** 1. 21 The "Complaint" means the operative First Amended Complaint in the above-1.1 22 captioned matter. 23 "Covered Products" means fried or baked potato or sweet potato based snack food 24 1.2 products, including Sliced Chips and Extruded Products (as defined below). 25 "Sliced Chips" means sliced potato chips and sliced sweet potato chips. 1.3 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPAREE CONSENT JUDGMENT - WARNOCK FOOD PRODUCTS, INC. - CASE NO. RG 17-851470

- 1.4 "Extruded Products" means all Covered Products other than Sliced Chips. It is the Parties' intent that the Extruded Products referenced in this Consent Judgment are the kind of products falling within Type 4 in the "extruded, pellet, and baked products" category in the Consent Judgment as to Defendant Snak King Corporation, entered August 31, 2011, in *People v. Snyder's of Hanover, et al.*, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 09-455286.¹
- 1.5 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.

2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH") and Warnock Food Products, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the Complaint in the above-captioned matter.
- 2.2 On or about January 12, 2017, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Setting Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 2.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 2.4 On March 2, 2017, CEH filed the original complaint in the above-captioned matter. On April 3, 2017, CEH filed the Complaint, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.
 - 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court

¹ These products are referred to as "Group C, Type 4" products in Exhibit A to the Snak King Consent Judgment.

Document Prepared

ON RECYCLED PAPER

has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the operative Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the operative Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Upon the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide concentration levels (the "Reformulation Levels"), such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties:

3.1.1 For Sliced Chips:

3.1.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 281 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Sliced Chips Average Level"). The Sliced Chips Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from 5 different lots

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

displayed on the Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information
and enclosed in a text box. If the warning statement is displayed on a placard or sign where the
Covered Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary
individual to easily determine which specific Covered Products the warning applies to, and to
differentiate between that Covered Product and other products to which the warning statement
does not apply. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically
present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and
understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. A Clear and
Reasonable Warning under this Consent Judgment shall otherwise comply with Title 27,
California Code of Regulations, Article 6, Section 25600 et seq.

3.3 **Alternative Compliance.** As an alternative to complying with Section 3.1 or Section 3.2, Settling Defendant may sell Covered Products that do not meet the Reformulation Levels without a Clear and Reasonable Warning provided that such sales made after the Effective Date are only to entities that have represented to Settling Defendant that they will not sell or distribute the Covered Products within the State of California or, in the alternative, will not sell or distribute the Covered Products without providing a Clear and Reasonable Warning in compliance with Section 3.2 above, and further provided that Settling Defendant has provided notice to all entities to which it sells such Covered Products that are reasonably known to sell or distribute the Covered Products to California consumers that the Covered Products are not labeled for sale in California. To the extent that Settling Defendant has knowledge that an entity is nonetheless selling or offering for sale Covered Products that do not meet the Reformulation Level to consumers in the State of California after the Effective Date without a Clear and Reasonable Warning, Settling Defendant may not thereafter sell Covered Products that do not meet the Reformulation Level to that entity without a Clear and Reasonable Warning.

25

24

23

26

4. ENFORCEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3 by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.4 if applicable.

4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 Covered Product Identification. Within 30 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall notify CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify Covered Products supplied or offered by Settling Defendant for sale on or after that date, for example, a unique brand name or characteristic system of product numbering or labeling. Settling Defendant shall provide a copy of the same notice to the Oakland Office of the Attorney General, Attn: Laura Zuckerman, subject and pursuant to Cal. Evid. Code § 1040. Except as provided for in Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(i), the Attorney General shall maintain, and ensure that all recipients maintain, the submitted information as confidential official information to the full extent authorized in Section 1040 of the Evidence Code. Upon written request by CEH, but no more than once in any calendar year, Settling Defendant shall, within 30 days of receiving a request from CEH, update the information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1 by notifying CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify Covered Products currently supplied or offered for sale by Settling Defendant. If CEH is unable to determine whether a particular product is a Covered Product as to Settling Defendant based on the information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1, Settling Defendant shall cooperate in good faith with CEH in determining whether the product at issue is a Covered Product supplied or offered for sale by Settling Defendant. Information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1, including but not limited to the identities of parties to contracts between Settling Defendant and third parties, may be designated by Settling Defendant as competitively sensitive confidential business information, and if so designated shall not be disclosed to any person without the written

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

permission of Settling Defendant. Any motions or pleadings or any other court filings that may reveal information designated as competitively sensitive confidential business information pursuant to this Section shall be submitted in accordance with California Rules of Court 8.46 and 2.550, et seq. This provision shall sunset seven years after the Effective Date.

4.2.2 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in California that was manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant and that has a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date more than 6 months after the Effective Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product exceeds the applicable Unit Level, and which lacks a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with Section 3.2, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

4.2.3 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>

4.2.3.1 Subject to Section 4.2.3, the Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.3.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

4.2.3.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of

the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.

- 4.2.4 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.
- 4.2.4.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested because the Settling Defendant has complied with the Clear and Reasonable Warning requirement included in Section 3.3, the Notice of Election shall include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying on to demonstrate compliance. If alternatively, a Notice of Violation is contested on other grounds the Notice of Election shall include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation, including all available test data. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.5, it shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn. If a Notice of Violation is sent on the grounds that a Covered Product does not include a warning in compliance with the language specified in Section 3.2, Settlement Defendant may provide documents evidencing that the Covered Product was sold in California with a clear and reasonable warning that satisfied Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Article 6, Section 25600 et seq. which shall be deemed acceptable for purposes of this Consent Judgement.
- 4.2.5 <u>Meet and Confer.</u> If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of

27

25

serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

- 4.2.6 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.
- 4.2.6.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by Settling Defendant, and that Setting Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to return all such Noticed Covered Products to Settling Defendant. Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per year) for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a

10

11

9

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

calendar year following the Effective Date. 4.2.6.2

type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first

If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same or same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the applicable Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued.

4.2.7 Payments. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.

4.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$75,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section according to the following schedule: (a) on or before February 1, 2018: \$25,000; (b) on or before April 1, 2018: \$25,000; and (c) on or before July 15, 2018: \$25,000.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant to CEH in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
- 5.2.1 \$10,000 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$7,500 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This total amount shall be made in three payments of \$2,500 each payable on or before February 1, 2018, on or before April 1, 2018, and on or before July 15, 2018 and delivered as follows:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,500 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This total amount shall be made in three payments as follows: (a) on or before February 1, 2018: \$833.35; (b) on or before April 1, 2018: \$833.35; and on or before July 15, 2018 \$833.30, each of which payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$7,500 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-

3251981. The total amount under this section shall be made in three payments of \$2,500 each payable on or before February 1, 2018, on or before April 1, 2018, and on or before July 15, 2018, each of which payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$57,500 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be allocated as follows: (a) \$48,665 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$8,835 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. The total amounts due under this section shall be made in six payments delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 as follows: (a) \$16,221.65 payable to the Lexington Law Group on or before February 1, 2018; (b) \$2,945 payable to the Center For Environmental Health on or before April 1, 2018; (d) \$2,945 payable to the Center For Environmental Health on or before April 1, 2018; (e) \$16,221.70 payable to the Lexington Law Group on or before July 15, 2018; (f) \$2,945 payable to the Center For Environmental Health on or before July 15, 2018; (f) \$2,945 payable to the Center For Environmental Health on or before July 15, 2018.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on

behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

8. PROVISION OF NOTICE

8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

		Howard Hirsch Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
		San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com
	8.2	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
Judgn	nent, the	e notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
		Matthew R. Dildine Dowling Aaron Incorporated 8080 N. Palm Ave., Third Floor Fresno, CA 93711 mdildine@dowlingaaron.com
		Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sen
by ser	nding th	ne other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
9.	COU	RT APPROVAL
	9.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and
Settlii	ng Defe	endant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a
Motic	on for A	pproval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this
Conse	ent Judg	gment by the Court.
	9.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
effect	and sha	all not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
purpo	se other	r than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.
10.	GOV	ERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
	10.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
Califo	ornia.	
11.	ATTO	ORNEYS' FEES
	11.1	A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or application
arisin	g out of	this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable
attorn	eys' fee	es and costs.
	11.2	Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of
sancti	ons pur	rsuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against any other entity on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

1 **EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS** 16. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by 2 16.1 means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to 3 constitute one document. 4 5 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED 6 Dated: Judge of the Superior Court 7 8 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 9 10 Dated: , 2017 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 11 Ms for C 12 13 Michael Green 14 15 Chief executive officer 16 17 Title 18 Dated: _____, 2017 WARNOCK FOOD PRODUCTS, INC. 19 20 Signature 21 22 Printed Name 23 24 25 Title 26 27 17 DOCUMENT PREPARED

CONSENT JUDGMENT - WARNOCK FOOD PRODUCTS, INC. - CASE NO. RG 17-851470

1 16. **EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS** 2 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by 3 means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. 5 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED 6 Dated: IOANA PETROU Judge of the Superior Court 7 8 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 9 10 Dated: _____, 2017 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 11 12 Signature 13 14 Printed Name 15 16 17 Title 18 Dated: , 2017 WARNOCK FOOD PRODUCTS, INC. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

CONSENT JUDGMENT - WARNOCK FOOD PRODUCTS, INC. - CASE NO. RG 17-851470