

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

MAY 1 0 2019

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,

Case No. RG-16-834949

PROPOSED | CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO GALLERIA MARKET, LP AND GALLERIA MARKET/NORTHRIDGE, LP

-1-

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and California entities Galleria Market, LP and Galleria Market/Northridge, LP (collectively, "Settling Defendants"). The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendants as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers thermal paper that is provided by Settling Defendants to their customers in California as transactional documentation such as receipts ("Thermal Paper"). Thermal Paper provided by Settling Defendants to their customers is or has been coated with bisphenol A, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("BPA").
- 1.2. On February 9, 2017, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to each Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California and the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, alleging that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to BPA from Thermal Paper without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3. On October 13, 2016, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter. On November 2, 2016, CEH filed the First Amended Complaint in the above-captioned matter. The First Amended Complaint has since been amended to add additional defendants, including both Settling Defendants on May 5, 2017.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the

Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Thermal Paper provided to consumers by Settling Defendants.

1.5. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1. **Specification Compliance Date.** To the extent it has not already done so, no more than thirty (30) days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, before a Settling Defendant purchases any Thermal Paper, it shall instruct each of its suppliers of Thermal Paper (a "Thermal Paper Supplier") that the Thermal Paper supplied to such Settling Defendant must be phenol free. If in the future a Settling Defendant purchases Thermal Paper from a Thermal Paper Supplier that it has not previously provided with instructions to provide phenol free Thermal Paper, the Settling Defendant shall provide instructions to such Thermal Paper Supplier prior to placing an initial order for Thermal Paper that direct the Thermal Paper Supplier to provide it with Thermal Paper that is phenol free. Each Settling Defendant shall retain and make available to CEH upon reasonable written request records of communications sent to and received from Thermal Paper Suppliers that are related to the requirement of this Section 2.1 for a period of five (5) years from the date of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Effective Date").
- 2.2. **Reformulation of Thermal Paper**. After the Effective Date, no Settling Defendant shall purchase, sell, offer for sale, ship, distribute, use or provide to any employee or customer any Thermal Paper that contains BPA or any other phenol that was intentionally added to the Thermal Paper in the manufacturing process. Thermal Paper that contains less than 20

5

6

7 8 9

11 12

10

14

15

13

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

parts per million BPA or other phenol by weight is deemed to contain no intentionally added BPA or other phenol, such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment.

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1. **Enforcement Procedures.** This Court shall have exclusive jurisdiction over all matters regarding enforcement of the Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce Proposition 65 and the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

4. **PAYMENTS**

- 4.1. **Payments by Settling Defendants.** On or before five (5) days after the entry of this Consent Judgment but no earlier than January 15, 2019, the Settling Defendants shall jointly and severally be liable for payment of the total sum of \$39,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 4.2. **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount shall be paid in four (4) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendants to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendants in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 4.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendants shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:

1	4.2.1. Settling Defendants shall pay \$6,516 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health
2	& Safety Code §25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with
3	Health & Safety Code §25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of
4	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, Settling Defendants shall
5	pay the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$4,887 by check made payable to
6	OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be
7	delivered as follows:
8	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
9	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
10	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
11	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
12	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics
13	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
14	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814
15	Settling Defendants shall pay the CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,629 by check
16	made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification
17	number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero
18	Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
19	4.2.2. Settling Defendants shall pay \$4,884 as an Additional Settlement Payment
20	("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of
21	Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund
22	and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about BPA
23	and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to
24	reduce exposure to BPA and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public
25	health impacts and risks of exposure to BPA and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California.
26	CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these
27	activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty
28	

days of any request from the Attorney General. The payments pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.3. Payment Defendants shall pay \$27,600 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.4. To summarize, Settling Defendants shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$4,887	OEHHA per Section 4.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$1,629	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$4,884	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fees and Costs	\$27,600	LLG

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1. **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2. **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

6.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and each Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries,

affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, shareholders, successors, assigns and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which a Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Thermal Paper, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about exposure to BPA contained in Thermal Paper that was sold, offered for sale, shipped, distributed, used or otherwise provided to employees or customers by a Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

- 6.2. CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever discharges any and all claims against each Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to BPA contained in Thermal Paper that was sold, offered for sale, shipped, distributed, used or otherwise provided to employees or customers by a Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by a Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by such Settling Defendant, its Defendant Releasees and its Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about BPA contained in Thermal Paper sold, offered for sale, shipped, distributed, used or otherwise provided to employees or customers by such Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

7. PROVISION OF NOTICE

7.1. When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

Eric S. Somers Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com

7.2. When Settling Defendants are entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

Steven Y. Han The Han Law Group A Professional Law Corporation 515 S. Flower Street, 36th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 shan@hanlawgroup.com

7.3. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

8. COURT APPROVAL

- 8.1. This Consent Judgment shall become effective as a contract upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendants, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall also prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support approval of such Motion.
- 8.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9.1. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

10. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 10.1. A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016.010, et seq.
- 10.2. Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party

27

6

13 14

12

16 17

15

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of § 1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.

10.3. Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT 13.

13.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

15.

DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Settling Defendants on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Cri

Charlie Pizarro Associate Director

-10-

1	GALLERIA MARKET, LP	
2		
3	Signature	
4	V 1-	
5 6	Young J. Kim Printed Name	
7	h	
8	Partner Title	•
9	GALLERIA MARKET/NORTHRIDGE, LP	
10	,	
11	M	
12	Signature	
13	Young J. KTM	
14	Printed Name	
15		
16	Paranel	
17	Title	
18		
19	WILKE GO ODDAWN	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED:	$\langle \hat{\mathcal{M}} \rangle$
21	//)	10
22	Dated: 5/15/15	Tele Cal G to G to G to G
23		Judge of the Superior Court of California
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 DOCUMBN' PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-11-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - GALLERIA - CASE NO. RG-16-834949