### State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

## REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing	O Supplemental F	Filing Corrected Filing	
	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV Inc.  DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT Sakar International	., Inc.			
S	COURT DOCKET NUMBER BC668589  SHORT CASE NAME Shefa v. Sakar		Lo	OURTNAME OS Angeles Count	cy Superior Court
	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$2,700.00  DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT  08 / 14 / 2017	PAYMENT: ATTORNE \$21,500.0  IS JUDGMENT PURSI TO SETTLEMENT?  Yes	EYS FEES F	PAYMENT: OTHER  O  IFYES, DATE SETTLEMENT WAS REPORTED TO ATTORNEY GENERA  TTACHED	For Internal Use Only
FILER	NAME OF CONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum ORGANIZATION Law Office of Danie ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Av CITY Van Nuys	el Greenbau	320	-MAIL ADDRESS igreenbaum@green	TELEPHONE NUMBER ( 818 ) 809-2199  FAXNUMBER ( 424 ) 243-7689  baumlawfirm.com

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM			
	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104)	CONFORMED COPY		
2	The Hathaway Building	ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles		
3	7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320	ED DW		
4	Van Nuys, CA 91406	OCT 11 2017		
4	Telephone: (818) 809-2199	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk		
5	Facsimile:(424) 243-7689	By LaTrina Woods, Deputy		
6	Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com	• •		
7	Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, INC.			
8	TUCKER ELLIS LLP			
9	Matthew Kaplan, Esq.			
10	515 S. Flower Street, 42 <sup>nd</sup> Floor			
10	Los Angeles 90071			
11	Telephone: (213) 430-3309			
12	Facsimile:(213) 430-3409			
1	Email: matthew.kaplan@tuckerellis.com			
13	Attorneys for Defendant			
14	SAKAR INTERNATIONAL, INC.			
15				
	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
16				
17	COUNTY OF L	OS ANGELES		
18	CHEEA I MAY INIC	Case No. BC668589		
1	SHEFA LMV, INC.,	Case No. BC008389		
19	Plaintiff,	(PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT		
20	vs.	AS TO SAKAR INTERNATIONAL,		
21	SAKAR INTERNATIONAL, INC.; and DOES	INC.		
	1 through 100, Inclusive,			
22	Defendants.			
23	)	Action Filed: July 13, 2017		
24	)			
	)			
25	\			
26				
27				
28		· ·		
Ì	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT A	S TO SAKAR INTERNATIONAL, INC.		
1		nor or a constraint man or a constraint to the field to the field of the field of		

### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Parties

This consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff

Shefa LMV, Inc. ("Shefa" or "Plaintiff") and Sakar International, Inc. ("Sakar" or "Defendant").

Shefa and Sakar are referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

### 1.2 Plaintiff

Shefa is a limited liability company in California that alleges it seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

# 1.3 Settling Defendant

SAKAR is alleged to employ ten or more persons and constitute a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

### 1.4 Products Covered

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are headphone products, including but not limited to Vivitar Listen Up DJ Studio Headphones (UPC681066436981), that contain Diisononyl Phthalate ("DINP"), manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by SAKAR (collectively, the "Covered Products").

# 1.5 General Allegations

Shefa alleges that SAKAR manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes, for sale in the state of California, headphone products, including the Covered Products that contain DINP without first providing a clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65. DINP (CAS # 68515-48-0) is a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical "known to the state to cause cancer." 27 CCR §25000. SAKAR denies these allegations.

## 1.6 Notice of Violation

On March 2, 2017, Shefa served SAKAR, others, and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") alleging that SAKAR violated

Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Covered Products expose users to DINP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

## 1.7 Complaint

On July 13, 2017, Shefa filed the instant complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Los Angeles against SAKAR and DOES 1-100, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on exposures to DINP contained in the Covered Products sold to consumers in the State of California (the "Complaint").

### 1.8 No Admission

SAKAR denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all the products it has manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, including Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by SAKAR of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by SAKAR of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by SAKAR. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect SAKAR's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

### 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction over the Parties to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65.

### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

# 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: COMPLIANT PRODUCTS AND WARNINGS

# 2.1 Reformulation Standards

Commencing on the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, SAKAR shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Products in California that contain DINP on any component to which consumers are exposed in concentrations in excess of 1000 parts per million ("ppm") (0.1%) when analyzed pursuant to a scientifically reliable application of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A, 8270C or any other scientifically reliable methodology for determining the levels of Listed Chemical content in a substance of the form of the Covered Products, unless the Covered Products contain a warning that complies with Section 2.2 of this Consent Judgment.

# 2.2 Warning Standard

Defendant agrees, promises, and represents that, as of the Effective Date, to the extent it ships or sells any Covered Products in California that do not meet the reformulation standard of Section 2.1, Defendant will provide a warning on such Covered Products that complies with Proposition 65. The warning shall be provided in such a conspicuous and prominent manner so as to render it likely to be read, seen, or heard by the consumer prior to or at the time of the sale or purchase.

The Parties agree that product labeling stating one of the following shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to any Covered Products not reformulated:

"WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer."

Or

" WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including Diisononyl phthalate (DINP) which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to <a href="https://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</a>."

and associated with taxpayer identification number 46-4580172. This payment shall be delivered to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum, 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406.

### 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

# 4.1 Shefa's Public Release of SAKAR and its Downstream Releasees

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Shefa and SAKAR of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by Shefa, acting on behalf of itself and in a representative capacity in the public interest under Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, against SAKAR, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, manufacturers, suppliers, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and the predecessors, successors, or assigns of each of them, and each entity to whom SAKAR directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Products, including, without limitation, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including, without limitation, Staples, Inc. and its affiliates), franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Releasees"), based on the failure to warn of alleged exposures to DINP from Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by SAKAR prior to the Effective Date. The release in this Section 4.1 applies to all Covered Products that SAKAR manufactured, distributed, or sold prior to the Effective Date, regardless of the date any other Releasee distributes or sells the Covered Products.

Upon entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, going forward, SAKAR's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by SAKAR or any other Releasee with respect to DINP in Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by SAKAR on and after the Effective Date.

# 4.2 Shefa's Individual Release of Claims

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Shefa, on its own behalf, and <u>not</u> in its representative capacity, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims that it may have against SAKAR and Releasees, including, without limitation, all actions and causes of action,

suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses, including, without limitation, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees arising under Proposition 65 or any other law relating to or arising from Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by SAKAR prior to the Effective Date. The releases in Section 4.2 are provided in Shefa's individual capacity and are not releases on behalf of the public.

### 4.3 SAKAR's Release of Shefa

SAKAR, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims that it may have against Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, in the course of investigating claims under Proposition 65 related to the Covered Products, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products.

## 4.4 Release of Unknown Claims

It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts contained in the 60-Day Notice, or alleged in the Complaint, relating to the Covered Products, will hereafter be discovered or developed. Shefa, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees and *not* in its representative capacity, on the one hand, and SAKAR, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. Shefa and SAKAR acknowledge that the claims released in Section 4 may include unknown claims, and nevertheless intend to release such claims, and in doing so waive California Civil Code § 1542, which reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Shefa understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code § 1542 is that, even if Shefa suffers future damages arising out of or resulting

from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, the Covered Products, Shefa will not be able to make any claim for those damages against any of the Releasees.

#### 5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within ninety (90) days after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree in writing.

#### 6. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. DINP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, including without limitation the delisting of DINP, then, with the exception of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 above, SAKAR shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. None of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall have any application to Covered Products sold outside of the State of California.

#### 7. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier on any Party by the other at the following addresses:

123	SAKAR:	
10	VAKAR.	
3.0	DI HILL	

To Shefa:

25 TUCKER ELLIS LLP Matthew Kaplan, Esq. 26 515 S. Flower Street, 42<sup>nd</sup> Floor 27

Daniel N. Greenbaum Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320

Los Angeles 90071

Van Nuys, CA 91406

28

22

23

24

11

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

#### 8. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

#### 9. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

#### 10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f), Shefa is obligated to file a noticed motion to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Upon the Parties' execution of this Consent Judgment, Shefa promptly shall proceed to submit this Consent Judgment to the Court with a motion seeking Court approval.

#### 11. MODIFICATION

In the event OEHHA establishes a safe harbor No-Significant Risk Level ("NSRL") 11.1 for DINP, which SAKAR asserts would allow for the Covered Products to contain levels of DINP in amounts greater than those set forth above in Section 2.1, then SAKAR may provide written notice to Shefa of any such assertion and the Parties shall confer within 30 days to attempt to agree upon modification of this Consent Judgment. Should such attempt at informal resolution of a modification fail, and in the event SAKAR still intends to change its reformulation obligations, SAKAR will provide written notice to Shefa of its intent to adopt a modified compliance standard. Upon receipt of SAKAR's notice, Shefa shall have the right to enforce the terms and conditions contained in the Consent Judgment by motion or any other available remedy at law, with the sole issue to be adjudicated being the question of whether the DINP content in the Covered Products set forth in Section 2.1 shall continue to apply or whether the NSRL should govern permissible DINP levels.

This Consent Judgment may only be modified by a written instrument executed by 11.2 the Party or Parties to be bound thereby, and after approval by the Court upon a noticed motion. Any motion to modify shall be served on all Parties and the Office of the Attorney General.

#### DISPUTE RESOLUTION 12.

If Shefa determines at a future date that a violation of this Consent Judgment has occurred, Shefa shall provide notice to SAKAR. Prior to bringing any action to enforce any requirement of this Consent Judgment, the party alleging a violation of this Consent Judgment shall provide the other party with written notice of the grounds for such allegation together with all supporting information as well as a complete demand for the relief sought. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the allegation in an attempt to resolve the matter informally, including providing the party alleged to be in violation with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, the party alleging a violation may file its lawsuit seeking the proposed relief.

#### AUTHORIZATION 13.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
Date: July 19, 2017	Date: Juy (8, 1017	
By:SHEFA LMV, INC.	By: Must fruf SAKAR INTERNATIONAL, INC.	

l,					
2	[PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT				
3	On, 2017 at, Plaintiff Shefa LMV Inc.'s ("Plaintiff") Motion for Court				
4	Approval of and Entry of Consent Judgment as to Defendant Sakar International, Inc. came for				
5	hearing before this Court in Department 61, the Honorable Gregory Keosian presiding. Counsel for				
6	Plaintiff did [not] appear; counsel for Defendant did [not] appear.				
7	After full consideration of the points and authorities and related pleadings submitted, the				
8	Court GRANTED Plaintiff's Motion pursuant to and in accordance with Health & Safety Code				
9	§25249.7(f)(4).				
10	The Court reviewed the Consent Judgment and makes the following findings pursuant to				
11	Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4):				
12	a. The injunctive relief required by the Consent Judgment complies with Health &				
13	Safety Code § 25249.7;				
14	b. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the Consent Judgment is				
15	reasonable under California law; and				
16	c. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to Consent Judgment is reasonable.				
17	The Consent Judgment is hereby approved, and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in				
18	accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment above.				
19	AAT A A GAIS				
20	OCT 1 1 2017				
21	Dated CDECORY KEOCIAN				
22	GREGORY KEOSIAN  Judge of the Superior Court				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	11				
l	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO SAKAR INTERNATIONAL, INC.				