Glerk of the Superior Court 1 Parker A. Smith, Esq. (#290311) SY AND SMITH, PC 2 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 SEP 2 9 2017 Del Mar, CA 92130 3 Phone: 858 746 9554 By: K.Mulligan, Deputy 4 Facsimile: 858 746 5199 5 Attorney for Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 13 KING PUN CHENG, CASE NO.: 37-2017-00019562-CU-NP-CTL 14 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING 15 STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: 16 CONSENT JUDGMENT FERGUSON ENTERPRISES, INC. "IMAGED FILE" 17 Date: September 29, 2017 18 Defendant. Time: 8:30 AM 19 **Dept.: C-62** Judge: Hon. Ronald L. Styn 20 Action Filed: May 30, 2017 21 Plaintiff, King Pun Cheng and Defendant, Ferguson Enterprises, Inc. having 22 agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the 23 Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and attached to the 24 Judgment pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment as Exhibit 1. 25 After consideration of the papers submitted and arguments presented, the Court finds that the 26 settlement agreement set out in the attached Consent Judgment meets the criteria established by 27 Health & Safety Code §25249.7, in that: 28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PARKER SMITH, ESQ. (SBN 290311) SY AND SMITH, PC 11622 Bl Camino Real Suite 100 Del Mar, CA 92130 Telephone: 858-746-9554 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	
9	COUNTY OF SA	
10	KINGPUN CHENG,	Case No.
11	Plaintiff,	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION
12	vs.	STIPULATION RE ENTRY OF
13	FERGUSON ENTERPRISES, INC.; AND DOES 1-25	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FERGUSON ENTERPRISES, INC.
14	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: May 30, 2017
15 16		
17	•	
18		
19	,	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	·	
26		
27		
28		
	LEGAL:10080-0006/4595383.1 1	

ĺ

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

1.1 The Parties

This Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is hereby entered into by and between Kingpun Cheng acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Cheng") and Ferguson Enterprises, Inc., (hereinafter "Ferguson" or "Defendant"). Collectively Ferguson and Cheng shall be referred to hereafter as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party," Cheng is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Defendant employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249,6 et seq.

#### 1.2 Allegations and Representations

Cheng alleges that Defendant has offered for sale in the State of California and has sold in California, water gauges and pressure gauges, which contain lead, and that such sales have not been accompanied by Proposition 65 warnings. Lead is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Cheng has cited Ferguson "Raptor Water Gauge RAP142611 and Raptor Pressure Gauge RAP142614", as a specific examples of water and pressure gauges that are the subject of his allegations.

#### 1,3 Covered Products Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as Raptor Water Gauge RAP142611 and Raptor Pressure Gauge RAP142614 All such items shall be referred to herein as the "Covered Products."

#### 1.4 Notices of Violation/Complaint

A) On or about March 30, 2017, Cheng served Dixieline Lumber Company ("Dixieline"), Ferguson and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Ferguson and Dixieline were in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers

23<sup>°</sup> 

that the Covered Products exposed users in California to lead. No public enforcer diligently prosecuted the claims threatened in the Notice within sixty days plus service time relative to the provision of the Notice to them by Cheng.

B) On May 30, 2017, Cheng, acting in the interest of the general public in the State of California, filed a complaint in the Superior Court of San Diego County alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 (the "Complaint") based on the alleged failure to warn of exposures to lead contained in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Dixieline or Ferguson.

# 1.5 Stipulation as to Jurisdiction/No Admission

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of San Diego, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Notices.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

### 1.6 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a judgment of the Court. All Covered Products already shipped on or before the "Effective Date" or within 90 days thereafter are deemed to be covered by the waiver and release provisions of Paragraph 5.1 of this Consent Judgment and shall not be subject to any future enforcement action by Cheng hereunder. The reformulation and warning requirements of Paragraphs 2.1 and 2.2 shall apply to any Covered Product shipped later than 90

days after the Effective Date.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Execution Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is signed by all parties in Clause 14 below.

# 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION</u>

Commencing Ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, Ferguson shall not ship, sell, or offer to sell in California a Covered Product(s) that is/are manufactured, distributed or sold by Ferguson containing more than 300 ppm lead without a warning as set forth in Section 2.3.

Ninety (90) days after the Effective Date in California, Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Ferguson shall either be (a) reformulated pursuant to Section 2.1 or (b) include a warning as provided in Section 2.3.

- 2.1 Reformulation Option. The Covered Products shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 with regard to lead and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements for lead if the exposed brass or other metal components that are part of the Covered Products meet the following criteria: (a) the alloy from which the components are made shall have no lead as an intentionally added constituent; and (b) the alloy from which the components are made shall have a lead content by weight of no more than 0.03% (300 parts per million, or "300 ppm").
- 2.2 Warning Alternative. Commencing on the Effective Date, Covered Products that Ferguson ships, sells or offers for sale in California that do not meet the warning exemption standard set forth in Section 2.1 above shall be accompanied by a warning as described in Section 2.3 below no later than Ninety (90) days after the Effective Date. The warning requirements set forth in paragraph 2.3 below, apply only to Covered Products Defendant manufactures, distributes, markets, sells or ships for distribution Ninety (90) days after the Effective Date for sale or use inside the State of California.

2.3 Warnings. Where required under Section 2.2 above, Ferguson shall provide either of the Proposition 65 warnings as follows:

WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm, For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

OR

WARNING: This product contains [lead,] a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm

- 2.4 Where utilized as an alternative to meeting the reformulation criteria set forth in Section 2.1, Defendant shall provide the warning language set forth in Section 2.3 either:
- (a) With the unit package of the Covered Products or affixed to the Covered Products. Such warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product's label or package or the Covered Product itself. If printed on the label, the warning shall be contained in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product; Perguson may continue to utilize, on an ongoing basis, unit packaging containing substantively the same Proposition 65 warnings as those set forth in Section 2.3 above, but only to the extent such packaging materials have already been printed within one hundred twenty days of the Effective Date, or
- (b) In the owner's manual of another product in which the Covered Product is a component, but only if the other product: (i) may cause serious injury or bodily harm unless used as directed; (ii) is sophisticated, difficult to understand or install, set-up, or assemble; or (iii) has one or more features a consumer must read about in order to know how to program or use the Covered Product. If the warning is given in the owner's manual pursuant to this subsection, it shall be

i

located in one of the following places in the manual: the outside of the front cover; the inside of the front cover; the first page other than the cover; or the outside of the back cover. The warning shall be printed or stamped in the manual or contained in a durable label or sticker affixed to the manual in a font no smaller than the font used for other chemically-related safety warnings in the manual. Alternatively, the warning may be included in a safety warning section of the owner's manual. Ferguson may continue to utilize, on an ongoing basis, owner's manuals containing substantively the same Proposition 65 warnings and without the additional admonitions as those set forth in Section 2.3 above, but only to the extent such manuals have already been printed within ninety days of the Biffective Date. Owner's manual warnings may only be allowed if the owner's manual is sold in the same package and at the same time as the other product and not for Covered Products sold separately.

2.5 The requirements for warnings, set forth in Section 2.3 above are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Settlement Agreement. The Parties recognize that these are not the exclusive methods of providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations and that they may or may not be appropriate in other circumstances.

# 3. PAYMENTS PURSUANT TO HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(b)

With regard to all claims that have been raised or which could be raised with respect to failure to warn pursuant to Proposition 65 with regard to lead in the Covered Products, Defendant shall pay a civil penalty of \$1,000 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Cheng, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d) and the instructions directly below.

Defendant shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made

27

28

payable to "OBHHA" (tax identification number; 68-0284486) in an amount representing 75% of the total penalty (i.e., \$750); and (b) one check in an amount representing 25% of the total penalty (i.e., \$250) made payable directly to Cheng. Defendant shall mail these payments within ten (10) business days following the Execution Date, to be held in trust by Cheng's counsel, until the Effective Date, at which time such payments shall be mailed to the following addresses respectively:

Mike Gyurics OEHHA – MS#12-B Post Office Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

Mr. Kingpun Cheng C/O Parker A. Smith, Attorney at Law 2173 Salk Ave., Suite 250 Carlsbad, CA 92008

# 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Cheng and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine and principles of contract law. Under these legal principles, Defendant shall reimburse Cheng's counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendant shall pay Cheng's counsel \$17,500 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and related costs associated with this matter and the Notice. Defendant shall mail a check payable to "Sy and Smith, PC", via certified mail to the address for Cheng's counsel referenced above within ten (10) business days following the Execution Date. Other than the payment required hereunder, each side is to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

### 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

### 5.1 Cheng's Release of Defendant, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees

As to those matters raised in the Complaint and in the Notice of Violation, Cheng, on behalf of himself and on behalf of the public interest, hereby waives and releases any and all claims against Defendant its parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors and assigns (collectively "Releasees") and each of its distributors, wholesalers,

ticensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers (including but not limited to Dixieline), franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users (collectively "Downstream Releasees") and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities for injunctive relief or damages, penalties, fines, sanctions, mitigation, fees (including fees of attorneys, experts, and others), costs, expenses, or any other sum incurred or claimed, for the alleged failure of Defendant, Releasees or Downstream Releasees to provide clear, accurate and reasonable warnings under Proposition 65 and/or under Business and Professions Code §17200, et seq. about exposure to lead arising from the sale, distribution, or use of any Covered Products sold, manufactured or distributed by Defendant, Releasees or Downstream Releasees in California prior to Ninety (90) days after the Effective Date.

Compliance with the Consent Judgment by Defendant or a Releasee shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by that Defendant, Releasee, or Downstream Releasee with respect to the presence of lead in the Covered Products. Plaintiff agrees that any and all claims in the Complaint are resolved with prejudice by this Consent Judgment.

In addition to the foregoing, Cheng, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignces, and <u>not</u> in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases any other Claims that he could make against Defendant, Releasees or Downstream Releasees arising up to Ninety (90) days after the Effective Date with respect to violations of Proposition 65 and/or Business and Professions Code §17200 based upon the Covered Products. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Cheng hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

## 5.2 <u>Defendant's Release of Cheng</u>

Defendant waives any and all claims against Cheng, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Cheng and his attorneys and other representatives, in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.

### 6. SEVERABILITY AND MERGER

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this document are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof,

# 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now or in the future, as to the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to lead arising from the Covered Products. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then Defendant shall provide written notice to Cheng of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

# 8. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

1	
2	For Ferguson Enterprises, Inc.:
3	Brenda K. Radmacher
4	Sonia Taylor Wood, Smith, Henning, & Berman LLP 505 N. Brand Boulevard, Suite 1100
5	Glendale, California 9903
6	and
7	For Cheng:
8	Parker Smith, Esq. Sy and Smith, PC
9	11622 Bl Camino Real, Sulte 100 Del Mar, CA 92130
10	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to
11	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.
12	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; EMAIL OR FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>
13	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by email or facsimile, each
14	of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one
15	and the same document.
16	10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(1)/COURT
17	APPROVAL
18	Cheng agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety
19 20	Code §25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and
21	Defendants shall support approval of such Motion.
22	This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court
23	and shall be mill and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within
24	twelve months after it has been fully executed by the Parties.
25	11. MODIFICATION
26	This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the
27	approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.
41	

. 7

# 12. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 12.1 A party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs unless the unsuccessful party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure Section 2016, et seq.
- 12.2 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

# 13. RETENTION OF JURSIDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

### 14. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

[Signatures Follow]

LEGAL;10080-0006/4595383,1

1	
2	IT IS HEREBY SO STIPULATED:
3	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:
4	Date: 2017-7-25. Date: 7/18/17
5	Date:
6	By: By: A=ST. SOCRETARY
7	KINGPUN CHENG On Behalf of: FERGUSON ENTERPRISES, INC.
8	PERCUSON BITTER NO.
9	
10	
	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
12	The late of the la
13	Daled: Juno 21 2017
1/	Golf Spagmall
15	By:
16	Brenda K. Radmacher, Esq. Sonia J. Taylor, Esq.
17	Sonia J. Taylor, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant Ferguson Enterprises, Inc.
18	
20	Dated; June 25, 2017
21	By:
22	Parker Smith, Bsq. Attorneys for Plaintiff,
23	Kingpun Cheng
24	
25	•
26	
27	
28	·