<u> </u>						
1	Aqua Terra Aeris (ATA) Law Group MATTHEW C. MACLEAR, SBN 209228 ANTHONY M. BARNES, SBN 199048 828 San Pablo Avenue, Suite 115B	FILED Clerk of the Superior Court APR 27 2018				
3 4	Albany, CA 94706 Telephone: (415) 568 5200	By: I. QUIRARTE, Deputy				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Center for Advanced Public Awareness, Inc. (	"CAPA")				
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
8 9	COUNTY	OF SAN DIEGO				
10 11	<b>CENTER FOR ADVANCED PUBLIC</b> <b>AWARENESS, INC.</b> , a California nonprofit corporation,	No. <u>37-2017-00032929-CU-MC-CTL</u> [STIPULATED] CONSENT JUDGMENT				
12	Plaintiff,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)				
13 14	VS.					
14 15 16	POINT LOMA PATIENT CONSUMER CO-OPERATIVE CORPORATION, a California corporation; and DOES 1-25, inclusive, Defendant.					
17	·					
18 19	1. INTRODUCTION	Plaintiff, CENTER FOR ADVANCED PUBLIC				
20	-	corporation, in the public interest as a private enforcer,				
21	commenced this action by filing a Complaint	t for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties and Other				
22	Relief (the "Complaint") pursuant to the prov	visions of California Health and Safety Code, section				
23		nst Point Loma Patient Consumer Co-Operative				
24 25		or Court Case No. 37-2017-00032929-CU-MC-CTL				
25		Defendant produced, processed, marketed, offered to				
27	sell, solu, and/or distributed for sale in the state of Cantornia marjuana intended for shoking, the					
28	CAPA V. POINT LOMA PATIENT C	CASE NO. <u>37-2017-00032929-CU-MC-CTL</u> ONSUMER CO-OPERATIVE CORPORATION INT JUDGMENT				

the clear and reasonable exposure warning required by Proposition 65. Marijuana smoke is listed 1 pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer. 2 These products, including flowers, leaves, stems, and other organic parts of marijuana plants (referred 3 to hereinafter individually as a "Subject Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are cannabis 4 5 or marijuana intended for combustion via smoking and inhalation. The intended and foreseeable consumption and use (inhalation) of the Subject Products that are produced, processed, marketed, 6 distributed, offered for sale and/or sold by Defendant in California allegedly results in exposures to 7 8 marijuana smoke. Plaintiff alleges such exposures require a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 9 warning, but no such warning was given. Defendant disputes this and other of Plaintiff's allegations. 10 1.2 CAPA and Defendant are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or · · · . collectively as the "Parties." 11

1.3 CAPA is a California non-profit corporation that, among other purposes and causes it
is dedicated to, provides information about the hazards of toxins in consumer products, protects the
public health by preventing pollution and toxics from being discharged, released or emitted into the
environment, and enforces state and federal laws in protection of consumers and the environment.

16 1.4 Defendant is a California non-profit corporation that, among other purposes and causes
17 it is dedicated to, provides medical cannabis to ill Californians pursuant to the laws of the State of
18 California and the laws of the City of San Diego. Defendant is a collective and only provides products
19 to its registered patient members.

1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Defendant is a business
entity that has employed ten or more persons for the alleged violation period, and qualifies as a
"person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Defendant provides
the Subject Products to registered members.

1.6 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in CAPA's Notice of Violation, dated
April 11, 2017, that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and
Defendant ("Notice of Violation" or "NOV"). More than 60 days, plus five (5) days for mailing, have
passed since the NOV was mailed and uploaded to the Attorney General's website, and no designated

28

- 2 - CASE NO. 37-2017-00032929-CU-MC-CTL

governmental entity has diligently prosecuted this matter, as exemplified by the filing of the
 Complaint against Defendant with regard to the Subject Products or the alleged violations.

1.7 CAPA's NOV and Complaint allege that use of the Subject Products exposes persons
in California to marijuana smoke without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation
of California Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6. Defendant denies all material allegations
contained in the Notice and Complaint.

1.8 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise 7 8 and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent 9 Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their 10 respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, or its subsidiaries 11 or divisions. Except for the representations made above, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be 12 construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue 13 of law, or violation of law, at any time, for any purpose. 14

15 1.9 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice,
16 waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties have in any other or future legal
17 proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.

18 1.10 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment is the date on which this Court
19 approves and enters the Judgment.

20

2.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2.1 The Parties stipulate and agree that the Superior Court of California, County of San
Diego has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction
over the Parties to this Final Judgment. The Parties further stipulate that venue is proper in San Diego
County, and that this Court has jurisdiction and authority to enter a full, final and binding resolution
of all claims, which were or could have been brought in this action based on the allegations contained
in the NOV and/or Complaint.

1

3.

#### **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND WARNINGS TO BE PROVIDED**

3.1 Commencing on the Effective Date, pursuant to the provisions of California Health &
Safety Code, section 25249.7, subdivision (a), Defendant is enjoined and prohibited from violating
or threatening to violate 24249.6 *et seq.*, and its implementing regulations, California Code of
Regulations, title 27, sections 25601 *et seq.* with respect to alleged exposures to marijuana smoke
from the Subject Products.

7

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

3.2.1 In-Store or Product Label Warnings. Defendant shall either 1) post in-store
warning signs ("In-Store Warnings") or 2) affix a warning label to the packaging, labeling, or directly
on each Subject Product ("Product Label Warning") sold in its dispensary or through a delivery
service, if any, in California.

In-Store Warnings shall be provided at two or more of the following locations: a) at or near each cash register in the store; b) at or near each display case in the store; or c) at or near the entrance to the store. The warnings shall be at least 8 inches by 10 inches, and posted at a height and location that will make it conspicuous and easy to read for the average person. The text of the warning shall be printed in black ink, in a font that is easy to read and legible, but in no case shall be smaller than size 34 font.

The text of Product Label Warnings shall be printed in black ink, in a font that is easy to read and legible, in the same type size or larger than the Subject Product's description text. The warning shall be securely affixed to (via a label) or printed upon the container, label, or labeling of each Subject Product. Employees may not write over the text of the warning for any reason.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to prohibit Defendant from modifying the warning text to also reference reproductive harm in compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 27, sections 25601 *et seq.*, as may be amended. If Defendant provides a warning for reproductive harm, Defendant may use the bracketed language set forth below, without further approval by the Court. The warning must be substantially similar to the following and may also

include a warning concerning birth defects or other reproductive harm (bracketed [] language below 1 2 may be added at the sole discretion of Defendant):

> This product contains a chemical (Marijuana Smoke) known to WARNING: the State of California to cause cancer [and birth defects or other reproductive harm].

or

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

A WARNING: Smoking [or consuming] marijuana products can expose you to chemicals including marijuana smoke, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer, and , which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm]. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Or the following short form warning as follows:

A WARNING: Cancer [and Reproductive Harm]- www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

3.2.2 Internet Website Warning. For all Subject Products that are advertised on a 13 website as offered for sale at Defendant's dispensary or available for delivery directly to Defendant's 14 dispensary members, a warning that complies with the content requirements of Section 25603(a) and 15 Section 3.2.1 above must also be provided by including either the warning or a clearly marked 16 hyperlink using the word "WARNING" on the Subject Product display page, or by otherwise 17 prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase. If a short-form 18 warning is provided as set forth above, the warning provided on the website may use the same content 19 as the on-product warning. Defendant may include supplemental information only as set forth in 20 California Code of Regulations, title 27, Section 25601(e). 21

3.2.3 Membership Warning. Defendant shall provide a warning in its Membership 22 Agreement which includes a warning as specified in Section 3.2.1. This Warning shall be printed in 23 black ink, in a font that is no smaller than the type on the rest of the page, and separated from 24 surrounding text. 25

> - 5 -CAPA V. POINT LOMA PATIENT CONSUMER COOPERATIVE CORPORATION CONSENT JUDGMENT

CASE NO. 37-2017-00032929-CU-MC-CTL

26 III

27 111

1

4.

#### SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

2 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney's fees, and costs, Defendant shall pay \$75,000.00 ("Total Settlement Payment"). One half 3 of the Total Settlement Amount (\$37,500.00) shall be paid within seven (7) days of the Effective 4 5 Date. The final half of the Total Settlement Amount (\$37,500.00) shall be paid on or before 6 December 10, 2017, provided that the Consent Judgment has been approved and entered by the Court, 7 but if not then within three (3) weeks of the Effective Date. Defendant shall make each one-half 8 payment by wire transfer to ATA's escrow account, for which ATA will give Defendant the necessary 9 account information, or other reasonable commercial method including by cash. The Total Settlement 10 Amount shall be apportioned as follows:

4.2 PENALTY AMOUNT \$27,359.24 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to
California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (B)(1). CAPA shall remit 75%
(\$20,519.43) of the total civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance
with California Health and Safety Code, section 25249.12, subdivision (c). CAPA will retain the
remaining 25% (\$6,839.81) of the civil penalty.

4.3 <u>COSTS</u> \$804.76 shall be distributed to CAPA as reimbursement for reasonable costs
incurred in bringing this action.

4.4 <u>ATTORNEYS FEES AMOUNT.</u> \$30,422.00 shall be distributed to Aqua Terra
Aeris Law Group ("ATA") for legal fees and costs incurred as a result of this matter, including
investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in
the public interest.

4.5 In the event that Defendant fails to remit the Total Settlement Payment owed under
Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before December 10, 2017, Defendant shall be deemed to
be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. CAPA shall provide written
notice of the delinquency to Defendant's counsel via electronic mail. If Defendant fails to deliver the
Total Settlement Payment within five (5) after the written notice, the Total Settlement Payment shall

28

- 6 - CASE NO. 37-2017-00032929-CU-MC-CTL

become immediately due and payable and shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate
 provided in the Code of Civil Procedure, section 685.010. Additionally, Defendant agrees to pay
 ATA's reasonable attorney fees and costs for reasonably necessary efforts to collect the payment due
 under this Consent Judgment.

5 4.6 ADDITIONAL SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS. \$16,414.00 shall be distributed to 6 CAPA as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, 7 title 27, sections 3203, subdivision (d), and 3204. CAPA will utilize the ASP for activities that 8 address the same public harm as allegedly caused by Defendant in this matter. These activities are 9 detailed below and support CAPA's overarching goal of reducing use, misuse and exposure to 10 hazardous and toxic chemicals, fostering and increasing the public awareness of chemicals inherent in consumer products, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and 11 12 encouraging corporate responsibility. CAPA's activities have had, and will continue to have, a 13 direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be 14 benefitted by the reduction of exposure to marijuana smoke and increase informed choices made by 15 patients and consumers before exposure by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California 16 consumers prior to exposure resulting from purchase of the products.

17 CAPA hereby provides the following list of activities CAPA engages in to protect California 18 consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds 19 will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (70%-80%): investigating, 20 obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and/or testing dispensaries or products that may contain carcinogenic 21 chemicals contained in marijuana smoke and are sold to and expose California consumers to chemicals listed under Proposition 65; continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent 22 judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, 23 24 with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning chemicals of concern (which 25 necessarily includes additional work, investigating, purchasing, processing, analyzing and/or testing consumer products; litigating matters that result in settlements, judgments, defaults, bankruptcies, or 26 dismissals); (2) PUBLIC OUTREACH (up to 5%): public outreach programs including maintaining 27

28

CASE NO. 37-2017-00032929-CU-MC-CTL

7.

1 CAPA's blog, website, and social media accounts; (3) SPECIAL PROJECTS (up to 5%): projects 2 including obtaining expert and legal opinions not specific to any one case that are necessary to the continued private enforcement of Proposition 65; and/or (4) PRODUCT DATABASE (up to 5%): 3 4 maintaining a database with all products sold to California consumers that CAPA has obtained which 5 could cause an exposure to marijuana smoke or other toxic, carcinogenic or reproductive harms, CAPA will maintain adequate records to document that the funds paid as an ASP are spent on 6 7 the activities described herein. CAPA shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any 8 request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

9 4.7 Defendant shall issue separate 1099 forms for each of its payments under this Consent
10 Judgment to the persons identified below:

- 11
   (a) "Center for Advanced Public Awareness, Inc.," whose address and tax

   12
   identification number shall be furnished after this Consent Judgment has been fully

   13
   executed by the Parties.
- (b) "Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group," for attorneys' fees and costs reimbursed pursuant
  to Section 4.4.

16 For any payment that is returned for insufficient funds, payment must be made by a cashier's check
17 within ten (10) calendar days of notification of insufficient funds.

18

5.

## CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

19 5.1 Plaintiff's Public Binding Release of Proposition 65 Claims. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAPA, acting on behalf of itself and in the 20 21 public interest, and Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, 22 and for those affiliated entities under common ownership (collectively, "Releasees") related to any 23 violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by CAPA, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, against Releasees for unwarned exposures to marijuana smoke, and for claims 24 based on the NOV and/or complaint, relating to the Subject Products produced, processed, marketed, 25 26 offered for sale, sold or distributed for sale in California by Defendant prior to the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with 27

28

-8- CASE NO. 37-2017-00032929-CU-MC-CTL

respect to the claims asserted in the NOV and exposures to marijuana smoke from the Subject 1 2 Products sold by or through Defendant after the Effective Date.

3

5.2 CAPA's Individual Release of Claims. CAPA, on its own behalf, also provides a 4 release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, 5 causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of CAPA of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or 6 unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to marijuana smoke, and claims that were or 7 could have been asserted based on the NOV and/or complaint relating to the Subject Products grown, 8 9 cultivated, processed, sold or distributed for sale by Defendant in California before the Effective Date.

10 5.3 Defendant's Release of CAPA. Defendant, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and 11 12 all claims that it may have against CAPA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by CAPA and its 13 14 attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking 15 to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter.

16 5.4 California Civil Code, Section 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to 17 the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and relating to the Subject Products will 18 develop or be discovered. CAPA on its behalf only, on one hand, and Defendant, on the other hand, 19 acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims 20 up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. CAPA and Defendant 21 acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code, section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. 22 23 California Civil Code, section 1542 reads as follows:

24

25

26

27

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE. WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

28

CASE NO. 37-2017-00032929-CU-MC-CTL - 9 -

CAPA V. POINT LOMA PATIENT CONSUMER COOPERATIVE CORPORATION CONSENT JUDGMENT

CAPA and Defendant each acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences
 of this specific waiver of California Civil Code, section 1542.

3 6.

## COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment has no force or effect until it is approved and entered by the Court
and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one
year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

7 7. SEVERABILITY

8 If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent
9 Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be
10 adversely affected.

11

# 8. GOVERNING LAW AND CONTINUING JURISDICTION

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California 12 and apply within the state of California. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, the Court 13 retains jurisdiction over this matter and terms of the Judgment contained herein. In the event 14 15 Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Subject Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to CAPA of any asserted change in 16 17 the law, and have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Subject Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be 18 19 interpreted to relieve Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state laws concerning labeling, warning or toxics in consumer products. If OEHHA or other governmental body 20 21 with authority promulgates regulations setting forth warning text and/or methods of transmission required or permitted to be used under Proposition 65 for exposures to marijuana smoke in the Subject 22 23 Products as defined herein, then at its sole discretion Defendant may use such other warning text and/or method of transmission, without being in breach of this Consent Judgment, provided that 24 Defendant sends written notice of this decision and includes the content and means of transmission 25 26 of the warning to CAPA sixty (60) days in advance of implementing any such changes. CAPA shall

27 28

CONSENT JUDGMENT

have an opportunity to provide comments and to meet and confer regarding the proposed changes
 before they are fully implemented.

.

÷

### 3 9. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by the following methods, with additional copy to counsel via electronic mail: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class mail, registered or certified, with return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier on any party by the other party at the

8 following addresses:

# 9 For Defendant:

10	Point Loma Patient Consumer Co-Operative
11	ATTN: Justus H. Henkes, IV 3452 Hancock Street
12	San Diego, CA 92110
13	With a copy to:
14	Ann G. Grimaldi Grimaldi Law Offices
15	50 California Street Suite 1500
16	San Francisco, CA 94111 ann.grimaldi@grimaldilawoffices.com
17	For CAPA:
18	
19	Executive Director Center for Advanced Public Awareness, Inc.
20	180 Promenade Cir. Sacramento, CA 95834
21	
	With a copy to: Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group
22	c/o Matthew Maclear
23	828 San Pablo Avenue, Suite 115B Albany, CA 94706
24	mcm@atalawgroup.com
25	
26	Any Party may change its notice name and address by informing the other party in writing,
27	but no change is effective until proof of receipt is confirmed. All notices and other communications
28	
	- 11 - CASE NO. 37-2017-00032929-CU-MC-CTL
	CAPA V. POINT LOMA PATIENT CONSUMER COOPERATIVE CORPORATION
	CONSENT JUDGMENT

required or permitted under this Final Judgment that are properly addressed as provided in this 1 paragraph are effective upon delivery if delivered personally or by overnight mail, or are effective 2 five (5) days following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by First Class 3 4 mail.

## 5

#### 10. **COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES**

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and by facsimile or portable 6 7 document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when 8 taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

9 11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

CAPA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety 10 11 Code, section 25249.7, subdivision (f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code, section 25249.7, subdivision (f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval 12 of the settlement. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, CAPA and Defendant agree to mutually 13 employ their best efforts, and that of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent 14 Judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of the settlement in a timely manner. If the Attorney 15 General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best individual and 16 17 collective efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible in advance of the hearing 18 on the motion to approve settlement. If the Court does not approve of the Stipulated Consent 19 Judgment, it shall be void or voided and have no force or effect.

20

12.

# **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and 21 22 upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon successful motion or application of any Party and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court. 23

24

13. **AUTHORIZATION** 

25 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent 26 27 Judgment.

#### 14. DRAFTING

1

It shall be conclusively presumed that the Parties participated equally in the drafting of this
Consent Judgment. The Parties discussed each and every term and provision, and the meaning thereof,
in advance of executing this stipulation. Each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms
and conditions with legal counsel.

. i 🗤

6 || 15. ENFORCEMENT

7 If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent
8 Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, in writing or via telephone
9 to attempt to resolve the dispute in an amicable and amenable fashion. No action or motion may be
10 filed unless such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute occurs before such a filing.

11 CAPA may, by motion or order to show cause before this Court, seek to enforce the terms and 12 conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. CAPA may seek whatever penalties/fines, costs, fees 13 or other remedies are provided for in the Consent Judgment or allowed by law for failure to comply 14 with the Consent Judgment, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure 664.6. To the extent that the alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of Proposition 65 or other laws, 15 16 CAPA shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment and may seek, in a separately 17 filed action, whatever penalties/fines, costs, fees or other remedies as provided for by law for failure 18 to comply with Proposition 65 or other law(s).

19 **16.** ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with regard to this matter, including any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understanding related thereto. No representations, oral, written or otherwise, express or implied, unless specifically referred to herein shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party as it relates to the allegations made in this action.

25 1 26

17. STIPULATED REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon request of the Parties for the Court
 to fully review its terms and to be fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this
 action, and to:

4	(1)	Find the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable
5		settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has
6		been diligently prosecuted and that the public interest is served by such settlement;
7		and

(2) Make the statutory findings required pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (f)(4), approve the settlement and approve this Consent Judgment.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED:

DATED: September 15, 2017

DATED: September 15, 2017

**APPROVED AS TO FORM:** 

CENTER FOR ADVANCED PUBLIC AWARENESS, INC.

BY:

Clifford Brechner Executive Director

 $12 \pm$ 

POINT LOMA PATIENT CONSUMER CO-OPERATIVE

BY: Name: Adam'Knop

Title: pres

1.2

# AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP, LLP

Aft Unalier

Matthew Maclear Attorney for Plaintiff, Center for Advanced Public Awareness, Inc.

BY:

CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon request of the Parties for the Court to fully review its terms and to be fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, and to:

(1) Find the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and

(2) Make the statutory findings required pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (f)(4), approve the settlement and approve this Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

.27

28

DATED:

DATED:

9/15/17 DATED:

CENTER FOR ADVANCED PUBLIC AWARENESS, INC.

BY: lifford Brechner

Clifford Brechner Executive Director

POINT LOMA PATIENT CONSUMER CO-OPERATIVE

BY: ( Name: Adam

Title: pres

APPRÖVED AS TO FORM:

AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP, LLP

BY:

Matthew Maclear Attorney for Plaintiff, Center for Advanced Public Awareness, Inc.

CASE NO. 37-2017-00032929-CU-MC-CTL

	· ·			· ·			
1					•	•	
2				GRIMA	ALDI LAW	V OFFICES	
3	DATED, Sont	ombor 15, 201	7	DV.	Ann	D. Din	nali
4	DATED: Sept	ember 15, 201	·		Ann G. Gr	rmaldi	
5						or Defendant, Poir nsumer Co-Operat	
. 6							
7	Based upon the Parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgmen						
8	-						
9	is approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms. IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECKEED.						
10			,	X	tha	M. Ju	120
11	Date: April 2	27, 2018		Indee o	of the Supe	rior Court	
12				Judge 0	a me supe		
12				•			
13							
15							
16							
17					1. 15		
18		:	• •		4. 		
19				•			
20							
21					·		
22	, ,						
23							
24	· .						
25							
26					•.	· .	
27							
28							
				- 15 - CA		2017-00032929-C	U-MC-CTI