ALAMEDA COUNTY

OCT 30 2018

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI

An Association of Independent Law Corporations

9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W

Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone:

310.623.1926

Facsimile:

1310.623.1930

6

5

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27 28 aintiffs,

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,

Plaintiff,

THE SPICE LAB, INC., a Florida Profit Corporation; DVC INDUSTRIES, INC., a Florida Profit Corporation; MARSHALLS OF CA, INC., a business entity form unknown; THE TJX COMPANIES, INC., a Delaware Corporation; MARSHALLS OF MA, INC., a Massachusetts Domestic Profit Corporation; MARMAXX OPERATING CORP., a Delaware Corporation and DOES 1-30;

Defendants.

CASE NO. RG17868410

CONSENT JUDGMENT

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seg.

Dept. 520

Judge: Hon. Julia Spain

Complaint filed: July 20, 2017 FAC filed: January 30, 2018

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Consumed Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and defendants THE SPICE LAB, NO. 1 INC. (erroneously sued as THE SPICE LAB) INC.) and DVC INDUSTRIES, INC. (referred to as "Defendants" collectively) with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

1.2 Defendants and Products

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.2.1 Defendants include Florida corporations which employ ten or mord persons. Defendant DVC Industries, Inc. (d/b/a "The Spice Lab") packages ground cinnamon ground ginger, and ground turmeric in different-sized offerings for sale through retailers. Without limitation, the ground cinnamon, ground ginger and ground turmeric offerings include: (1) "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Cinnamon"; "Premium No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz"; 1285-054460892-00399-24-9; 85404040078197 FLA1; (2) "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Cinnamon"; "Premium No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz"; 1285-059725327-00399-06-9; 85404040143222 FLA7; (3) "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Cinnamon"; "Processed and Packaged in the USA"; "No. 1"; "Net Wt. 4.5 oz."; "Please visit The Spice Lab. com"; "3181 W. McNab Rd, Pompano Beach FL, 33069"; "Best By 6/2019 Batch 4459"; 16110156104017; (4) "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Cinnamon"; "Premium"; "No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz."; "TheSpiceLab.com"; "3181 W. McNab Rd, Pompuno each Fl 33069"; Batch 5122; 1285-061582105; 8540-4040-165506-FLA14; (5) "Healing Spices"; "Nature's Way to Ensure Overall Body Wellness"; "Cinnamon"; "Net Wt. 4 oz"; "The Spice Lab- 4000 N. Dixie Hwy. Pompano Beach, FL 33064"; "Item# 5001121 - Batch 5453". 110162766405; (6) The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Cinnamon"; "Premium No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz"; "Batch 7282"; "Kosher/Processed in USA"; 8540-4040-234658- FLA3" (hereinafter "GROUND CINNAMON"), Ground Ginger, including "The Spice Lab"; "Gournet Spices"; "Ground Ginger"; "Premium No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz"; 1285-012836000-00399-24-9 85404040078203 FLA2" (hereinafter "GROUND GINGER"), and Ground Turmeric, including "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Turmeric"; "Premium No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz"; 1285-060498535-0039-07-9; 85404060153158 FLA11 (hereinafter 'GROUND TURMERIC") (collectively referred to hereinafter "Covered Products"). For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Defendants are deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and are subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.3 Chemicals Of Concern

1.3.1 Lead and Lead Compounds (hereinafter "Lead") are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notices of Violation.

1.4.1 On April 12, 2017, CAG served certain defendants in this action, including Marshalls of MA, Inc., Marshalls of CA, Inc., The Spice Lab, Inc., DVC Industries. Inc., and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "AG # 2017-00537 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Ground Cinnamon, including but not limited to "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Cinnamon"; "Premium No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz": 1285-054460892-00399-24-9; 85404040078197 FLA1 sold by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the AG # 2017-00537 Notice.

1.4.2 On April 12, 2017, CAG served certain defendants in this action, including Marshalls of MA, Inc., Marshalls of CA, Inc., The Spice Lab, Inc., DVC Industries, Inc., and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "AG # 2017-00539 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Ground Ginger, including but not limited to "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Ginger"; "Premium No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz"; 1285-012836000-00399-24-9; 85404040078203 FLA2 sold by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the AG # 2017-00539 Notice.

1.4.3 On April 12, 2017 CAG served certain defendants in this action, including Marshalls of MA, Inc., Marshalls of CA, Inc., The Spice Lab, Inc., DVC Industries, Inc., and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "AG # 2017-00541 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged

violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Ground Cinnamon, including but not limited to, "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Cinnamon"; "Premium No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz"; 1285-059725327-00399-06-9; 85404040143222 FLA7 sold by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the AG # 2017-00541 Notice.

1.4.4 On April 12, 2017, CAG served certain defendants in this action. including Marshalls of MA, Inc., Marshalls of CA, Inc., The Spice Lab, Inc., DVC Industries. Inc., and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "AG # 2017-00542 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Ground Turmeric, including but not limited to "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Turmeric"; "Premium No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz"; 1285-060498535-0039-07-9; 85404060153158 FLA11 sold by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the AG # 2017-00542 Notice.

1.4.5 On June 6, 2017, CAG served certain defendants in this action, including Marshalls of MA, Inc., Marshalls of CA, Inc., The TJX Companies, Inc., DVC Industries, Inc., The Spice Lab, Inc., and various public entities with a document entitled "60 Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "AG # 2017-01530 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Ground Cinnamon, including but not limited to, "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Cinnamon"; "Premium"; "No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz"; "TheSpiceLab.com"; "3181 W. McNab Rd, Pompano Beach FL 33069"; Batch 5122; 1285-061582105; 8540-4040-165506-FLA14 sold by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the AG # 2017-01530 Notice.

1.4.6 On June 6, 2017, CAG served certain defendants in this action, including DVC Industries, Inc., The Spice Lab, Inc., Burlington Coat Factory, Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse, Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corp., and various public entities with a

document entitled "60 Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "AG # 2017-01537 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Ground Cinnamon, including but not limited to, "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Cinnamon": "Processed and Packaged in the USA"; "No. 1"; "Net Wt. 4.5 oz"; "Please visit TheSpiceLab.com"; "3181 W. McNab Rd, Pompano Beach FL 33069"; "Best by 6/2019 Batch 4459"; 16110156104017 sold by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the AG # 2017-01537 Notice.

1.4.7 On June 23, 2017, CAG served certain defendants in this action, including DVC Industries, Inc., The Spice Lab, Inc., Burlington Coat Factory, Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse, Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corp., and various public entities with a document entitled "60 Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "AG # 2017-01607 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Ground Cinnamon, including but not timited to, "Healing Spices"; "Nature's Way to Ensure Overall Body Wellness"; "Cinnamon"; "Net Wt. 4 oz"; "The Spice Lab – 4000 N. Dixic Hwy. Pompano Beach, FL 33064"; "Item # 5001121 – Batch 5453"; 110162766405 sold by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the AG # 2017-01607 Notice.

1.4.8 On September 6, 2017, CAG served certain defendants in this action, including DVC Industries, Inc., The Spice Lab, Inc., Marshalls of MA, Inc., Marshalls of CA, Inc., and various public entities with a document entitled "60 Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "AG # 2017-02081 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Ground Cinnamon, including but not limited to, "The Spice Lab"; "Gourmet Spices"; "Ground Cinnamon"; "Premium No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz"; Batch 7282"; "Kosher/Processed in USA"; 8540-4040-234658-FLA3 sold by Defendants. No other

I

 public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the AG # 2017-02081 Notice.

1.4.9 On or about October 23, 2017, CAG served DVC Industries, Inc., The Spice Lab. Inc., Marshalls of MA, Inc., Marshalls of CA, Inc., The TJX Companies, Inc., Marmaxx Operating Corp. and various public entities with a document entitled "60 Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "AG # 2017-02383 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Ground Cinnamon, including but not limited to. "The Spice Lab"; "Gournet Spices"; "Ground Cinnamon"; "Premium No. 1"; "Net Wt. 1.8 oz"; "8540-4040-208125-FLA10" sold by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the AG # 2017-02383 Notice.

1.4.10 On or about October 31, 2017, CAG served DVC Industrics, Inc., The Spice Lab, Inc., Marshalls of MA, Inc., Marshalls of CA, Inc., The TJX Companies, Inc., Marmaxx Operating Corp., T.J. Maxx of CA, LLC, "The Secret Pantry" and various public entities with a document entitled "60 Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "AG # 2017-02416 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to cadmium and Lead contained in Ground Cinnamon, including but not limited to, "The Secret Pantry"; "Healing Spices"; "Nature's Way to Ensure Overall Body Wellness"; "Net Wt. 8.3 oz."; Item #5001 106 – Batch # 7933;" "TJ Maxx 85-4040-698853-000599-17-9" sold by Defendants. No other public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the AG # 2017-02416 Notice.

1.4.11 CAG represents that the Notices of Violation referenced in sections 1.4.1 through 1.4.10 above are all of the Notices of Violation that it served relating to the Covered Products prior to the Effective Date. If additional Notices of Violation served prior to the Effective Date relating to the Covered Products exist but are not identified above, they will be deemed to be covered by this Consent Judgment and of no further force and effect.

1.5 Complaints.

On July 20, 2017, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in Alameda Superior Court, Case No. RG17868410. CAG filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") against Defendants and others on January 30, 2018. The complaint and FAC allege, among other things, that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead from the Covered Products.

On April 30, 2018, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in Alameda Superior Court, Case No. RG18902811. The complaint alleges, among other things, that certain of the Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead from the Covered Products.

On June 21, 2018, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 710959. The complaint alleges, among other things, that certain of the Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead and cadmium from the Covered Products.

Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG17868410, Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG 18902811, and Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 710959 are collectively referred to herein as the "Complaints."

The Parties desire to save the time, expense and resources that would otherwise be unnecessarily spent litigating claims relating to Covered Products that are part of Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG18902811 and Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 710959. Accordingly, immediately after the Parties stipulate to this proposed Consent Judgment (and before it has been approved and entered), CAG will file dismissals without prejudice of the claims relating to the Covered Products that are part of Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG18902811 and Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 710959.

ı

9

13

18

26

23

2728

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaints and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaints, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaints and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation of the Complaints (each and every allegation of which Defendants deny), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations. or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means Covered Products sold by DVC Industries, Inc. "Covered Products" are limited to those products described in Section 1.2.1, which were subject to the "Notices of Violation" served by CAG.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
 - 2.3 "Lead" means lead and lead compounds.
- 2.4 "Notices" means all Notices of Violation relating to the Covered Products served by CAG through the Effective Date, including without limitation Notice AG # 2017-02081. Notice AG # 2017-01607, Notice AG # 2017-01537, Notice AG # 2017-01530, Notice AG # 2017-00542, Notice AG # 2017-00541, Notice AG # 2017-00539, Notice AG # 2017-00537, AG # 2017-02383, and Notice AG # 2017-02416..

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / REFORMULATION / CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.

- 3.1 As of the Effective Date, Defendants shall not sell DVC Industries GROUND CINNAMON that is offered for sale in California unless the products are reformulated to contain less than 550 ppb of lead, shall not sell DVC Industries GROUND TURMERIC unless the products are reformulated to contain less than 375 ppb of lead, and shall not sell DVC Industries GROUND GINGER unless the products are reformulated to contain less than 720 ppb of lead.
- 3.2 For any Covered Products offered for sale in California still existing in Defendants' inventory as of the Effective Date that do not comply with the lead levels set forth in paragraph 3.1, Defendant shall place a Proposition 65 compliant warning. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The warning shall state:

MARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including lead, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information, go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

3.3 If modifications or amendments to Proposition 65 or its regulations after the Effective Date are inconsistent with, or provide warning specifications or options different from, the specifications in this Consent Judgment, Defendants may modify the content and delivery methods of its warnings to conform to the modified or amended provisions of Proposition 65 or its regulations.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within 10 business days after: (a) the approval of the Consent Judgment; and (b) Defendants' receipt of accurate 2018 W-9 forms for each of the payment recipients set forth in Section 4 of this agreement, Defendants shall pay a total of one hundred fifty thousand dollars and zero cents (\$150,000) in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notices, as follows:
- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendants shall issue separate checks totaling Eleven thousand four hundred and thirty dollars (\$11,430) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendants will issue a check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of Eight thousand five hundred and seventy-two dollars and fifty cents (\$8,572.50) representing 75% of the total penalty and Defendants will issue a check to CAG in the amount of Two thousand eight hundred and fifty-seven dollars and fifty cents (\$2,857.50) representing 25% of the total penalty; and
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments:

 Defendants will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 680284486) in the amounts of \$8,572.50. Defendants will also issue a 1099 to CAG c/o

4

1

8

11

14

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

2627

28

Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Defendants shall make a separate payment, in the amount of Eight thousand five hundred and seventy dollars (\$8,570) as an additional settlement payment to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). Defendants will issue a separate check to CAG for the Additional Settlement Payment. CAG will use this payment as follows, eighty five percent (85%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product. occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; fifteen percent (15%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment.

4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorneys Fees and Costs: Defendants shall pay
One hundred and thirty thousand dollars (\$130,000) to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as

7

8

12 13

11

15

16

14

17 18

19 20

21 22

2324

2526

27 28 reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812. Concurrently with payment to OEHHA, Defendant shall provide CAG with written confirmation that the payment to OEHHA was delivered.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on 5.1 behalf of itself and in the public interest and Defendants, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by CAG against Defendants for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to Lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices for the Covered Products, and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including the date of entry of Judgment for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding Lead. CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges Defendants and their parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensors, licensecs, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, all upstream, and all downstream entities in the distribution chain of any of the Covered Products, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and all of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, managers, employees, agents (collectively, "Released Parties"), sold by Defendants only from all claims up through the Effective Date for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to based on exposure to Lead from the Covered Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by the Released Parties with Proposition 65 regarding alleged

exposures to Lead from the Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendants or Released Parties.

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Lead from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warm about exposure to Lead from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to or failure to warm with respect to exposure to Lead from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG

acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

- 6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, Alameda County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 60 days notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.
- 6.2 Notice of Violation. Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall serve a Notice of Violation ("NOV") on the Defendant(s) alleged to be in violation of this Consent Judgment. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products alleged to be in violation: the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Covered Product(s) were offered for sale and shall be accompanied by photographs of all product labeling and all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered Product(s), including an identification of the component(s) of the Covered Product(s) that were tested.
 - 6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Defendant(s) serve a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
 - (a) The Covered Product(s) identified in the NOV were shipped by Defendants for sale in California before the Effective Date, or

- (b) Since receiving the NOV Defendant(s) have taken corrective action by either (i) requesting that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Product(s) from the lot or lots tested (as identified on the labeling provided with the NOV) from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Product(s) to Defendants or vendor, as applicable, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Product(s) identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603.
- 6.2.2 Contested NOV. Defendant(s) may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.
- (a) In its election, Defendants may request that the sample(s) of Covered Product(s) tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory of Defendant's(s') choosing.
- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain Lead in excess of the levels allowed in Section 3.1, above, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, above, Defendants may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.
- (c) If Defendants do not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and

Defendants waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaints and FAC.

7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. Defendants may move to modify the Consent Judgment on the basis that a judgment entered after a trial on the merits in a matter where CAG is the plaintiff has resulted in the application of lead levels at parts-per-billion that are different from the levels contained in Section 3.1 above.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendants outside the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by the Parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.3, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with the Complaints, including without limitation this action.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

14. GOVERNING LAW

- 14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 14.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then any Detendant

2<u>2</u>

subject to this Consent Judgment may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve a Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

14.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

15. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

16. NOTICES

16.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First Class Mail.

If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926

If to DVC Industries, Inc. or The Spice Lab No. 1, Inc.: John E. Walker 2 SACRO & WALKER LLP 3 701 N. Brand Boulevard, Suite 800 Glendale, California 91203 4 AND 5 6 **Brett Cramer** DVC Industries, Inc. 7 4000 N. Dixie Highway, Ste 100 Pompano Beach, Florida 33064 8 9 **AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE** 17. 10 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully 11 authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to 12 execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party. 13 14 AGREED TO: **AGREED** 15 16 17 CRAMER Name: 18 Title: 19 CONSUMER A THE SPICE LAB NO. 1, INC. INC. 20 21 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 26 THE SUPERIOR COURTA A. SPAIN 27 28 CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

Case Title: Consumer Advocacy Gourp v. The Spice Lab Inc.

Case No. RG17-868410

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that the following is true and correct:

I am a Deputy Clerk employed by the Alameda County Superior Court. I am over the age of 18 years. My business address is 24405 Amador Street, Hayward, California. I served the CONSENT JUDGMENT by placing copies in envelopes addressed as shown below and sealing and placing them for collection, stamping or metering with prepaid postage, and mailing on the date stated below, in the United States mail at Alameda County, California, following standard court practices.

Yeroushalmi, Reuben Yeroushalmi & Associates 9100 Wilshire Blvd. Ste. 240w Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Walker, John SNR Denton US LLP 525 Market Street 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2708

Dated: 10/30/2018

Chad Finke

Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court

By: <u>Janelle Falingine</u>

Danielle Labrecque, Deputy Clerk