	FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY
1	APR 2 5 2022
2	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
3	By Deputy
4	0
5	
7	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
10	
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 17- 872866
12	Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
13	v.) AS TO THE KROGER CO.
15	ENJOY LIFE NATURAL BRANDS, LLC, et
16	al.,) Defendants.)
17)
18	
19	
20	
21	1. DEFINITIONS
22	1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative Second Amended Complaint in the above-
23	captioned matter.
24	1.2 "Covered Products" means ginger snap cookies sold under the "Kroger" brand
25	name.
26	1.3 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent
27	Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.
28 Document Prepared	1
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – KROGER – CASE NO. RG 17-872866

2.

INTRODUCTION

2 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a
3 California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and The Kroger Co. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH
4 and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims
5 asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the Complaint.

6 2.2 On or about April 24, 2017, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of
7 Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in
8 California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000,
9 and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing
10 persons in California to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear
11 and reasonable Proposition 65 warning (the "Notice").

2.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures,
distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has
done so at times relevant to the Complaint.

2.4 On August 24, 2017, CEH filed the original complaint in the above-captioned
matter, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant. On October 18, 2017, CEH filed the First
Amended Complaint, followed by the operative Complaint on June 8, 2021.

18 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court
19 has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal
20 jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper
21 in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent
22 Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the
23 Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notice with respect to Covered Products
24 manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

25 2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission against
26 interest by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall
27 compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission against interest

by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this
Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the
Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the
product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of
settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

6

3.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. Commencing on the Effective Date,
Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products
that will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide
concentration limits (the "Reformulation Levels"), such concentration to be determined by use of
a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass
Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing
method agreed upon by the Parties:

3.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 281 parts per
billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Average Level"). The Average Level is determined by randomly
selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from 5 different lots of Covered Products (or the
maximum number of lots available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least 60
days.

3.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Covered Products
shall not exceed 300 ppb by weight (the "Unit Level"), based on a representative composite
sample taken from the individual unit being tested.

4.

ENFORCEMENT

4.1 General Enforcement Provisions. CEH may, by motion or application for an
order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent
Judgment. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties. Any action to enforce
alleged violations of Section 3.1 by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to
this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.4 if applicable.

4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product
in California that was sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant with a best-by or sell-by (or
equivalent) date or other code that reflects that the Covered Product was manufactured on or after
the Effective Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered
Product exceeds the Unit Level, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

7

4.2.2 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation</u>.

4.2.2.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in
Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within thirty (30) days
of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by
CEH, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional thirty (30) days to send the
Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section
4.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial thirty
(30) day period.

The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date 15 4.2.2.2 16 the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was 17 purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including 18 the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the 19 product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained 20 by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of 21 the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control 22 reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.

4.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than thirty (30) days after
effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to
CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of
Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of
service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation.

Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional thirty (30) days to elect if,
 notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the
 test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

4 4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall
5 include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation,
6 including all available test data and the name of the manufacturer and/or supplier of the Covered
7 Product. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the
8 alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.4, it shall notify the
9 other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party unless either the Notice
10 of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.

11 4.2.4 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling 12 Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within fifteen (15) days of 13 serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw 14 the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not 15 contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay 16 \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, 17 CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the 18 result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a 19 Notice of Violation results within fifteen (15) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may 20 file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. The parties may extend these 21 fifteen (15) day time periods by stipulation. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever 22 fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure 23 to comply with the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to an order by the Court 24 requiring Settling Defendant to implement corrective action to remedy any violations of this 25 Consent Judgment. Nothing in this Section 4.2.4 shall impact the Court's authority in an 26 enforcement proceeding to impose appropriate remedies for any contested Notices of Violation, 27 including the provision of a clear and reasonable warning. In any enforcement proceeding

regarding this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant may assert any and all defenses that are
 available.

4.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the
allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if
any, as set forth below.

6 4.2.5.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed 7 description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or 8 proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, 9 provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the 10 Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will 11 not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by Settling 12 Defendant. Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and make available to CEH 13 upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per year) for inspection 14 and copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing. Settling Defendant will be 15 excused from the corrective action described in the foregoing (but not the monetary payments, if 16 any, required by this Section 4) if Settling Defendant produces test results or other evidence that: 17 (1) demonstrates that the acrylamide level found by CEH in the unit alleged to be in violation is 18 an aberration; and (2) otherwise provides reasonable assurance that the remainder of the Noticed 19 Covered Products, aside from the unit alleged in violation, comply with the Reformulation 20 Levels. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet 21 and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice 22 of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than 23 two Notices of Violation in the first three hundred and sixty-five (365) days following the 24 Effective Date. Nothing in Section 4.2.5 shall impact the Court's authority in an enforcement 25 proceeding to impose appropriate remedies for any contested Notices of Violation.

4.2.5.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, or third Notice of
Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested

1 or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling 2 Defendant has received more than three (3) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 that were 3 not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each 4 Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the 5 Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was 6 conducted on the same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below 7 the Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for 8 the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, 9 and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling 10 Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully 11 contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of 12 Violation issued. If the Noticed Covered Product was manufactured distributed or sold by 13 another entity that has entered into a Consent Judgment with CEH for acrylamide in ginger snap 14 cookies, only one required contribution may be assessed against all settling defendants as to the 15 Noticed Covered Product.

4.2.6 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check
payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a
Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for
investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse
attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.

4.3 Repeat Violations. If Settling Defendant has received three (3) or more Notices
of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or
withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines,
costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply
with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling
Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on
measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

5. **PAYMENTS**

2 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendants. Within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective
3 Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$50,000 as a settlement payment as further set
4 forth in this Section.

5 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount shall be paid in five (5) 6 separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by 7 Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late 8 fee to be paid by Settling Defendant to CEH in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment 9 is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under 10 this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement 11 proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling 12 Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made 13 payable as follows:

14 5.2.1 \$6,570 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). 15 The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 16 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health 17 Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty 18 payment for \$4,927.50 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer 19 identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows: For United States Postal Service Delivery: 20 21 Attn: Mike Gyurics **Fiscal Operations Branch Chief** 22 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B 23 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 24 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: 25 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 26 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B 27 Sacramento, CA 95814 28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER 8

CONSENT JUDGMENT - KROGER - CASE NO. RG 17-872866

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,642.50 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$4,925 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to 6 Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH 7 intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following 8 purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH 9 programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic 10 chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to 11 acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and 12 risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall 13 obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and 14 CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any 15 request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable 16 to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-17 3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San 18 Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$38,505 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and investigative costs). The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$32,670 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$5,835 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

26

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

-

6.

27

MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by

express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this
 Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

3 6.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment
4 shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to
5 modify the Consent Judgment.

6 6.3 Change in Law or Regulation. In the event there is a change in law or regulation 7 regarding Proposition 65 which impacts the Reformulation Levels, including any change related 8 to the safe harbor no significant risk level for acrylamide, the Parties agree to meet and confer in 9 good faith on whether a modification of this Consent Judgment is warranted in order to make it 10 consistent with such change in law or regulation. The Parties recognize that the Reformulation 11 Levels are based on a compromise of a number of issues, and that a change to the "safe harbor no 12 significant risk level" for acrylamide would not necessarily entitle Settling Defendant to a 13 modification of the terms of this Consent Judgment corresponding in a linear relationship with 14 such a change.

15

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

16 7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under 17 Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on 18 behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, 19 affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, 20 shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to 21 which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including 22 but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and 23 licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on 24 failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were 25 sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

26 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under
27 Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever

1 discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream 2 Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or 3 common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the 4 public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection 5 with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the 6 Effective Date. 7 7.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under

8 Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant 9 shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and 10 Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in 11 Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective 12 Date.

13

18

19

20

A1

8.

PROVISION OF NOTICE

14 8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the 15 notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to: 16 Howard Hirsch Lexington Law Group 17 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117

hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com

8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent

Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

21	
	Gregory O'Hara
22	Lauren Michals
	Nixon Peabody LLP
23	One Embarcadero Center, 32 nd Floor
	San Francisco, CA 94111-3600
24	lmichals@nixonpeabody.com
25	A mar De sta marrier d'Er de service en 1/2 e a la ser te sal any de matienties is te la servi
23	Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent
26	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
20	by sending the other rarry house by mist class and electronic man.
27	

28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

9.

COURT APPROVAL

2 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and 3 Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a 4 Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this 5 Consent Judgment by the Court.

- 6 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or 7 effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any 8 purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.
- 9

10. **GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION**

10 10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of 11 California.

12 11.

ATTORNEYS' FEES

13 11.1 Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or 14 other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its 15 reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should 16 Settling Defendant prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other 17 proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a 18 result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the 19 motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the 20 term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 21 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

22

Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of 11.2 23 sanctions pursuant to law.

24

12. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

25 12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding 26 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, 27 negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein

1 and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties 2 except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, 3 other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party 4 hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, 5 shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically 6 contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the 7 Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, 8 modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in 9 writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent 10 Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof 11 whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13 13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the14 Consent Judgment.

15 14.

13.

14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

16 14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
17 by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and
18 execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

19

15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
against an entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in
this Consent Judgment.

23

16. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

24 16.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling
25 Defendant, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or
26 assigns of any of them.

27

1	17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
2	17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
3	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to
4	constitute one document.
5	
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,
8	AND DECREED
9	Dated: APR 2 5 2022
10	Judge of the Superior Court
11	
12	
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
13	
15	Dated:, 2021 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
15	M-AA
10	Michael 6
17	Signature
	Michael Green
19	Printed Name
20	
21	CEO Title
22	
23	
24	A CONTRACTOR OF
25	
26	
27	
28 Document Prepared	14
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – KROGER – CASE NO. RG 17-872866

