ENDORSED
FILED

ALAMEDA COUNTY

FEB - 5 2018

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

By DIANNE HYATT

Deputy

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

Case No.: RG17880265

11 12	Plaintiff, v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT
13	AAW PRODUCTS INC.,	Judge: Dennis Hayashi
14	Defendant.	Dept.: 518
15	37 1 1 × x	Hearing Date: February 1, 2018
lé		Hearing Time: 2:30 PM
17	and the state of t	Reservation #: R-1906003

Plaintiff Gabriel Espinosa and Defendant AAW Products Inc. have agreed to the terms of the settlement memorialized in the [Proposed] Consent Judgment attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Evan J. Smith in Support of Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement and Consent Judgment lodged herewith, and Plaintiff has moved this Court for an Order approving the settlement.

After consideration of the papers submitted and the arguments presented, the Court finds that the settlement agreement set forth in the Consent Judgment meets the criteria established by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4), in that:

1. The injunctive relief required by the Consent Judgment complies with Proposition 65;

28

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352) BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC.	ENDORSED FILED
2	9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212	ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY
3	Tel: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160	FEB - 5 2018
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  By DIANNE HYATT  Deputy
5		Deputy Deputy
6		
7		
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT C	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
11	GABRIEL ESPINOSA,	Case No.: RG17880265
12	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT
13	v.	Judge: Dennis Hayashi
14	AAW PRODUCTS INC.,	Dept.: 518
15	Defendant.	Hearing Date: February 1, 2018
16		Hearing Time: 2:30 PM
17		Reservation #: R-1906003
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	- 5	
26		
27		TAV

BY FAX

28

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Gabriel Espinosa acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Espinosa") and Defendant AAW Products Inc. doing business as MagnoGrip Inc. and MDG Tools Inc. ("AAW" or "Defendant") with Espinosa and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Espinosa is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.
- 1.2 Allegations and Representations. Espinosa alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) from MagnoGrip Magnetic Tool Pouches without providing clear and reasonable exposure warnings under Proposition 65. DEHP is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.
- Notice of Violation/Complaint. On or about May 2, 2017, Espinosa served AAW, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Defendant and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. were violating Proposition 65 (Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 et. seq.) by failing to warn California consumers and customers that MagnoGrip Magnetic Tool Pouches contained DEHP. No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Notice. On October 26, 2017, Espinosa filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the matter.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Notice.

i3 

18-

1.5 Defendant denies the material allegations contained in Espinosa's Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

#### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 **Covered Products.** The term "Covered Products" means MagnoGrip Magnetic Tool Pouches that are manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California by AAW, and that centain DEHP.
- 2.2 **Effective Date.** The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

# 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS

- Commencing within ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, AAW shall not manufacture, import, or distribute for sale in California any Covered Products with any accessible component that contains DEHP in excess of 0.1% (1,000 ppm) (hereinafter "Reformulated Products") unless the Covered Product is accompanied by a warning that complies with the warning regulations set forth in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600 *et seq.* (either the regulations that are currently in effect or as amended August 30, 2016 and effective August 2018), including, but not limited to, any of the following:
- a. "WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm."
- b. "WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm."
- c. "WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals, including Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov."

d. "WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm – www.P65Warnings.ca.gov."

If Defendant elects to use the warning statements identified in either Section 3.1(c) or Section 3.1(d), it may also include a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with bold black outline. Where the label on the product or packaging is not printed using the color yellow, the symbol may be printed in black and white. The symbol shall be placed to the left of the text of the warning in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING".

AAW may rely upon its suppliers' test results in order to determine whether the Covered Products qualify as Reformulated Products.

- 3.2 Any warning provided pursuant to Section 3.1 shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging or labeling and displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings.
- 3.3 Any Covered Products that have been distributed, shipped or sold by AAW prior to the Effective Date, shall not be subject to the requirements of Section 3.1.

#### 4. MONETARY TERMS

- 4.1 **Civil Penalty.** AAW shall pay a Civil Penalty of \$2,000.00 pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Espinosa, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d).
- 4.1.1 Within fourteen (14) business days of the Effective Date, AAW shall issue two separate checks for the Civil Penalty payment to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500.00; and (b) "Brodsky & Smith, LLC in Trust for Espinosa" in the amount of \$500.00. Payment owed to Espinosa pursuant to this Section shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Evan J. Smith, Esquire Brodsky & Smith, LLC Two Bala Plaza, Suite 510 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Payment owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) pursuant to this Section shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following address(es):

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

A copy of the check payable to OEHHA shall be mailed to Brodsky & Smith, LLC at the address set forth above as proof of payment to OEHHA.

4.2 Attorney Fees. AAW shall pay \$23,000.00 to Brodsky & Smith, LLC ("Brodsky Smith") as complete reimbursement for Plaintiff Espinosa's attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to AAW's attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to California Civil Procedure Code § 1021.5. Payment shall be made within fourteen (14) business days of the Effective Date and sent to the address for Brodsky & Smith set forth in section 4.1.1, above.

# 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Espinosa acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and AAW, and its parents, shareholders, directors, officers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Covered Products, including but not limited

to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, marketplace hosts, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees, retailers (including but not limited to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and its affiliates and subsidiaries), franchisees, and cooperative members ("Additional Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and Complaint, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by AAW prior to the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other person or entity, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against AAW, Defendant Releasees or Additional Releasees. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Covered Products.

In addition to the foregoing, Espinosa, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and <u>not</u> in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases AAW, Defendant Releasees, and Additional Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by AAW, Defendant Releasees or Additional Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this Section, Espinosa hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

- 5.3 AAW waives any and all claims against Espinosa, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Espinosa and his attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating the claims in the Notice and Complaint or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against AAW in this matter with respect to the Covered Products.
- 5.4 Espinosa represents and warrants that as of the date of his execution of this Consent Judgment he is not aware of any other Proposition 65 violations by Defendant.

#### 6. INTEGRATION

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

# 7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

#### 8. NOTICES

For Defendant:

8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

Andre Woolery President AAW Products Inc. 825 Brickell Bay Drive Suite 246 Miami, Florida 33131

28

With a copy to Defendant's attorney:

John E. Dittoe Law Office of John E. Dittoe 70 Hazel Lane Piedmont, CA 94611

For Espinosa:

Evan Smith Brodsky & Smith, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

# 9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

9.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

# 10. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT</u> APPROVAL

- 10.1 Espinosa agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Defendant agrees it shall support such motion.
- 10.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within thirty (30) days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.

# 11. MODIFICATION

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.

#### 12. <u>ATTORNEY'S FEES</u>

12.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs unless

I	the unsuccessful party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent		
2	Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil		
3	Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure Section 2016.010, et seq.		
4	13. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>		
5	13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the		
6	Consent Judgment.		
7	14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
8	14.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
9			
10			
11	the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as		
12			
13			
14	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:		
1			
15	Date: 10 /2/. / 12 - Date:		
1	Date: 10/26/19 Date:		
15	Date: 10/20/19 Date:  By:  GABRIEL ESPINOSA - AAW PRODUCTS INC.		
15 16 17	Date: 10/7(.//) Date:  By:		
15 16 17 18			
15 16	Date: Date: By: By: AAW PRODUCTS INC.  IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:		
15 16 17 18	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:		
15 16 17 18 19			
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:  Dated: 2/5-//9		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:  Dated: 2/5-//9		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:  Dated: 2/5-//9		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:  Dated: 2/5-//9		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:  Dated: 2/5-//9		

	it is a digreaty has acted with	substantial justification. For purposes of this Core-	
ĸ	' temp substantial justifica	ation shall carry the same meaning as used in the Co-	
	. A Drya, Code of Civit P	rocedure Section 2016 016, et seg	
9:	13 " " S LION OUTURISDICTION		
	it about shall retain	jurisdiction of this upater to implement or modify th	
	When Sign U		
	The Section State STION	2 " " DRIA <u>CERON</u>	
	· re undersigned are auth	· 'c undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of the	
jai	entries that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to exceut		
	, see a receip each Party is	concerning each Party is to bear its own fees and costs	
in the	ve attonio	AGREED TO:	
1 Re	Pings	Date. 14/21/2017	
	EIFT- USPINOSA	AAW PRODUCTS INC.	
	TELES SOLICE PRODUCTION ADJUDGED A	IND DECREED:	
	4235 de	Judge of Superior Court	
30			
4			
		<b>₽</b>	