San Francisco County Superior Court 1 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 JUL 16 2018 Christopher Tuttle, State Bar No. 264545 THE CHANLER GROUP CLERK OF THE COURT 2560 Ninth Street 3 Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 4 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 (510) 848-8118 Facsimile: 5 josh@chanler.com ctuttle@chanler.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 LAURÉNCE VINOCUR 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 LAURENCE VINOCUR, 13 Case No. CGC-17-562298 un 14 Plaintiff, [RROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 15 v. SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT **JUDGMENT** AIRGAS, INC., et al., 16 Date: July 16, 2018 17 Defendants. Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept.: 302 18 Judge: Hon. Harold E. Kahn 19 Reservation No. 06010716-12 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

Plaintiff Laurence Vinocur and defendant Airgas, Inc. having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a stipulated judgment ("Consent judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an order approving their Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment, and for good cause being shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 1 16 18

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

HAROLD KAHN

EXHIBIT 1

1 2 3 4 5	Clifford Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Christopher Tuttle, State Bar No. 2645 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff LAURENCE VINOCUR	4 45
6	LAURENCE VINOCUR	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
11		·
12	T AT IN ENTER VINIOUT ID	Case No. CGC-17-562298
13	LAURENCE VINOCUR,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
14	Plaintiff,	price of the property of the p
15	V.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and
16	AIRGAS, INC., et al.,	Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		•
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Laurence Vinocur ("Vinocur"), and Airgas USA, LLL ("Airgas"), with Vinocur and Airgas each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Vinocur is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Airgas employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Vinocur alleges that Airgas imports, sells, or distributes for sale in California, vinyl/PVC tool pouches and telescopic mirrors that contain Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP) and lead without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. Lead and DEHP are listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as chemicals known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are vinyl/PVC tool pouches and telescopic mirrors containing DEHP and lead, including but not limited to, *Radnor Telescopic Mirror*, *UPC #6* 39890 02361 7 that are imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale in California by Ajrgas ("Products").

1.6 Notice of Violation

On June 29, 2017, Vinocur served Airgas, and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") alleging that Airgas violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and other potential users of the Products in California of the health hazards

associated with exposures to DEHP and lead from the Products. No public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On November 3, 2017, Vinocur filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Airgas as a defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice. Trial is scheduled to begin on January 7, 2019.

1.8 No Admission

Airgas denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products it has sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Airgas's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Airgas as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court approves this Consent Judgment, including any unopposed tentative ruling granting approval of this Consent Judgment.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PRODUCT REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS

2.1 Commitment to Reformulate or Provide Warnings

Commencing by November 15, 2018 and continuing thereafter, Airgas agrees to only manufacture, import, distribute, sell or offer for sale in California either (a) "Reformulated Products"

as defined by subsection 2.2 below; or (b) Products sold and offered for sale with a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to subsection 2.3, below.

2.2 Reformulated Products Defined

a. DEHP Limits Applicable to Reformulated Products

With respect to their DEHP content, Reformulated Products are defined as Products containing no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DEHP in any component sampled and analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C to determine DEHP content in a solid substance.

b. Lead Limits Applicable to Reformulated Products

With respect to their lead content, Reformulated Products are defined as Products that (a) contain no more than 100 parts per million ("ppm") (0.01%) lead in any accessible component analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3050B and 6010B; and (b) yield a result of no more than 1.0 micrograms of lead when sampled and analyzed pursuant to NIOSH 9100 testing protocol.

c. Additional Methods of Analysis

In addition to the above methodologies used to test for DEHP and/or lead in the Products, the Parties may use equivalent methodologies utilized by state of federal agencies to determine DEHP and/or lead content in a solid substance.

2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Commencing by November 15, 2018, Airgas shall provide clear and reasonable health hazard warnings for all Products, that are not Reformulated Products, it sells or distributes in California.

Airgas agrees that the warning will be prominently placed with such conspicuousness when compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a clear and reasonable warning shall consist of a warning affixed to or printed on the packaging, label, tag, or directly to a Product sold in California and containing the following statement:

△ WARNING:

This product can expose you to chemicals including DEHP and lead, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Ì

Alternatively, a clear and reasonable warning shall consist of an on-product, short form warning on the label – either on the product itself or its immediate container or packaging. The entire warning must be in a type size no smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the product. The warning cannot appear in a type size smaller than 6-point type and shall contain the following statement:

A WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm - www.p65warnings.ca.gov

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), and in settlement of all the claims referred to in the Notice, Complaint, and this Consent Judgment, Airgas shall pay \$4,000 in civil penalties. The civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty retained by Vinocur. Vinocur's counsel shall be responsible for delivering OEHHA's portion of any penalty payment(s) made under this Consent Judgment. Airgas shall provide its payment in a check made payable to "Laurence Vinocur, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$1,000 and a check made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$3,000 to be delivered in accordance with Section 3.3, below.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Vinocur and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the

other settlement terms had been finalized, the Parties negotiated the compensation due to Vinocur and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment, and court approval of the same, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, if any. Under these legal principles, Airgas shall pay \$43,750 for all fees and costs incurred by Vinocur investigating, bringing this matter to Airgas's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Airgas's payment shall be delivered to the address in Section 3.4 in a check payable to "The Chanler Group."

3.3 Payment Timing; Payments Held in Trust

The checks due under Section 3.1 and 3.2 of this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to Vinocur's counsel by overnight mail, with a tracking number, on or before July 10, 2018, which due date is anticipated to occur after the Effective Date. If, for some reason the settlement is not approved, Vinocur and his counsel shall return such funds to Airgas with interest at the prevailing federal funds rate within two business days.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Vinocur's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Vinocur, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Airgas and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees"), and each entity to whom Airgas directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, without limitation, its downstream customers, distributors, wholesalers, and retailers ("Downstream Releasees") for any violation arising under Proposition 65 pertaining to the failure to warn about exposures to DEHP and lead from Products sold or distributed for sale by Airgas prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent

Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP and lead from Products sold or distributed for sale by Airgas after the Effective Date.

4.2 Vinocur's Individual Release of Claims

Vinocur, in his individual capacity only and *not* in any representative capacity, also provides a release to Airgas, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees, which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Vinocur of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP and lead, as well as DINP, in Products sold or distributed for sale by Airgas before the Effective Date. Further, Vinocur will not pursue the newly added claims set forth in his April 27, 2018 supplemental notice and those relating to DEHP in vinyl/PVC cords referenced in the June 29, 2017 Notice.

4.3 Airgas's Release of Vinocur

Airgas, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Vinocur, and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Vinocur, and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree in writing.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally or as to the Products, then Airgas may provide written notice to Vinocur of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Airgas:

Airgas USA, LLC 259 North Radnor Chester Road, Ste. 100 Radnor, PA 19087

with a copy to:

Brian Ledger, Esq. Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 101 W. Broadway Suite 2000 San Diego, CA 92101

For Vinocur:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Vinocur agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Vinocur shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may file or lodge, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

AGREED TO:

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:

Date: 5/29/2018	Date: 5/29/18
By: Auxese Laurence VINOCUR	By: David E. Levin Vice President - Hardgoods AIRGAS USA, LLC