FILE Brian Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 1 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP 2 2560 Ninth Street APR 3 2018 3 Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 CLERK OF THE COURT Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 brian@chanler.com 5 josh@chanler.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 PETER ENGLANDER 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 PETER ENGLANDER Case No. CGC-17-562731 13 Plaintiff, PROPOSED JUDGMENT PURSUANT 14 **TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65** v. SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT 15 JUDGMENT BIG TEX TRAILER MANUFACTURING, 16 INC.; et al., Date: April 3, 2018 17 Time: 9:30 a.m. Defendants. Dept.: 302 18 Judge: Hon. Harold E. Kahn 19 Reservation No. 02080403-12 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Plaintiff Peter Englander and defendants Big Tex Trailer Manufacturing, Inc. and Carry-On Trailer, Inc. have agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a stipulated judgment ("Consent judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an order approving their Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment, and for good cause being shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 4/3/18

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

RICHARD ULMER

Ex. 1

EXHIBIT 1

		•
1 2 3 4 5	Brian Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 brian@chanler.com josh@chanler.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff PETER ENGLANDER	
8.	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	DIVE OF A THE OF CALLEODNIA
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
12		la a sa coma de ecomo d
13	PETER ENGLANDER,	Case No. CGC-17-562731
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq., and Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
16	BIG TEX TRAILER MANUFACTURING, INC.; et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		1
20		
21		
22	17 	
23	;	
24		
25		
26		,
27		
28		

CONSENT JUDGMENT

Parties

1.1

This Consent Judgment is entered by plaintiff Peter Englander ("Englander"), and defendants Big Tex Trailer Manufacturing, Inc. ("BTTM") and Carry-On Trailer, Inc. ("COTI" and, collectively with BTTM, the "Settling Defendants") with Englander, BTTM, and COTI each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Englander is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendants

Englander alleges that each of the Settling Defendants employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Englander alleges that the Settling Defendants manufacture, sell, and distribute for sale in California, vinyl coated cables that contain di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP"), and that they have done so without first providing the health hazard warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Products" are defined as cables with a vinyl or plastic coating containing DEHP that are sold or distributed for sale in California by Settling Defendants including, but not limited to, the cable component of the Carry-On Trailer Corporation Breakaway Switch, Item No. 139T, UPC No. 6 54102 00139 9, and those additional products listed as follows: (1) Item No. 6550021; (2) Item No. 6550020; (3) Item No. 6550016; (4) Item No. 6550019; (5) Item No. 6550003; and (6) Breakaway Kit #701, UPC# 6 54102 00701 8.

i

5

Notice.

Notice alleges that the Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health risks associated with exposures to DEHP from the Products. No public enforcer has commenced or is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On November 28, 2017, Englander filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming BTTM and COTI as defendants, and alleging a single cause of action for the violations that are the subject of the

General, and all other requisite public enforcers with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"). The

On August 1, 2017, Englander served each of the Settling Defendants, the California Attorney

1.8 No Admission

Settling Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintain that all the products that they have sold or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, comply with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by a Settling Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Settling Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Settling Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the Court has jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Motion for Approval of the Consent Judgment is granted by the Court, including any unopposed

tentative ruling granting approval.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS</u>

2.1 Commitment to Reformulate Products or Provide Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Settling Defendants agree to only sell or distribute for sale in California either (a) "Reformulated Products," as defined by Section 2.2, or (b) Products sold with a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Section 2.3.

2.2 Reformulated Products Defined

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Reformulated Products are defined as Products containing a maximum DEHP concentration of 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or equivalent methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies to determine DEHP content in a solid substance.

2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date, for all Products that do not meet the definition of Reformulated Products established by Section 2.1, Settling Defendants shall provide clear and reasonable warnings in accordance with this Section 2.3 and/or title 27 California Code of Regulations section 25600 et seq., as amended from time to time. Settling Defendants further agree that any warning used will be prominently placed in relation to the Product with such conspicuousness when compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, warning for the Products satisfying these criteria that is affixed directly to a Product or its accompanying labeling or packaging sold in California containing the following statement shall be deemed clear and reasonable:



WARNING: This product can expose you to DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause

cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

If either of the Settling Defendants sells Products via an internet website to customers located in California, the warning requirements of this section shall be satisfied if the foregoing warning appears

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS 3.1 Civil Penalty Payment

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants shall pay \$3,500 in civil penalties. Settling Defendants' civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the funds paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds remitted to Englander. Settling Defendants shall provide its payment in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$2,625; and (b) "Peter Englander, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$875. Englander's counsel shall be responsible for delivering the penalty payment to OEHHA.

either: (a) on the same web page on which a Product is displayed and/or described; (b) on the same

page as the price for the Product; or (c) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser prior to

purchase during the checkout process. Alternatively, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point

in a yellow or white equilateral triangle may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display,

description, price, or checkout listing of the Product, if the warning statement appears elsewhere on the

same web page in a manner that clearly associates it with the product(s) to which the warning applies.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Englander and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, the Parties negotiated a reimbursement of the compensation due to Englander and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Settling Defendants agrees to pay \$22,500 in a check made payable to "The Chanler Group" for all fees and costs incurred by Englander investigating, bringing this matter to Settling Defendants' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and obtaining court approval of the same.

3.3 Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered within fifteen (15) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, and held in trust by Settling Defendants' counsel until the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment contemplated by Section 5. Settling Defendants' counsel shall provide Englander's counsel with written notice following its receipt of the settlement funds from Settling Defendants. Thereafter, Settling Defendants' counsel shall hold the funds in trust until, and disburse the payments to Englander's counsel within five (5) days after the Effective Date.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Englander's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Englander, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership (including, without limitation, American Trailer Works, Inc.), directors, officers, employees, shareholders and attorneys ("Releasees"), and each entity to whom either of the Settling Defendants directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, their downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for any violation arising under Proposition 65 based on a failure to warn about exposures to DEHP in Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale by either of the Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DEHP in Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.

4.2 Englander's Individual Release of Claims

Englander, in his individual capacity only and not in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Settling Defendants, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of Englander of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in the Products manufactured, imported, sold, distributed and/or offered for sale or use by Settling Defendants before the Effective Date.

4.3 Settling Defendants' Release of Englander

Settling Defendants, each on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waive all claims against Englander, his attorneys, and other representatives, for any action they have taken or statement they have made, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time to which the Parties may agree in writing.

6. <u>ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT</u>

6.1 Only a Party May Enforce the Consent Judgment

Only the Parties may enforce this Consent Judgment. In any action to enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. Any Party seeking to enforce this Consent Judgment, however, must do so in compliance with this Section 6.

6.2 Notice of Breach and Meet and Confer Requirement

No action to enforce this Consent Judgment may be commenced or maintained, and no Notice of Violation related to the Products may be served or filed against either of the Settling Defendants by Englander, unless Englander first provides the Settling Defendant(s) with written notice, indicating the

specific acts alleged to breach this Consent Judgment ("Notice of Breach"). Any Notice of Breach must contain (a) the name of the product, (b) the date(s) on which the Product was sold, purchased, or witnessed as being available for sale or purchase, (c) the name and address for the seller of the Product Englander believes violates the Consent Judgment, (d) images of the Products with all packaging and labeling, including any model, SKU (stock keeping unit), item, or batch number visible on the Product labeling; (e) copies of any test results tending to show that a Product sold without a warning is not a "Reformulated Product" as defined by Section 2.2, and (f) any other evidence supporting or tending to support the allegations in the Notice of Breach.

6.3 Right to Cure Violations Alleged in Notice of Breach

Within thirty (30) days of receiving a Notice of Breach from Englander, a Settling Defendant shall either (1) send the store, or other seller location where the accused Product is offered for sale, a letter directing that the Product be immediately removed from inventory and returned to Settling Defendants at Settling Defendants' expense; (2) provide the seller with warning materials in compliance with Section 2.3 to be applied to any Products remaining in the seller's inventory, or (3) refute the information provided in Englander's Notice of Breach by meeting and conferring with Englander for not less than forty-five (45) days in an effort to resolve the alleged breach informally to Englander's reasonable satisfaction.

Englander shall agree a violation alleged in a Notice of Breach has been resolved to his reasonable satisfaction, and take no subsequent action to enforce against the Settling Defendant(s), if the Settling Defendant(s) (a) directs the store to remove the offending product from its inventory as described above within forty-five (45) days of receiving a Notice of Breach from Englander; or (b) provides the store or other seller with warnings for the Products in compliance with Section 2.3, above, and, thereafter, verifies with the store that such warnings have been, or are being, provided to consumers in California. If the Settling Defendant(s) cures the alleged breach by complying with either (a) or (b) above, then Englander shall not pursue further enforcement of the alleged breach of the Consent Judgment.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, after the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. If Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then the Settling Defendants may provide written notice to Englander of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

9. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Settling Defendants:

Stanley W. Landfair, Esq.
Rebecca L. Woodson, Esq.
Dentons, LLP
One Market Plaza, Spear Tower, 24th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105

For Englander:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Englander agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Englander shall draft and file and Settling Defendants shall support, including appearing at the hearing if so required.

11. MODIFICATION; DUTY TO MEET AND CONFER

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understand, and agree to all the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: 12/19/17
By:	By:
PETER ENGLANDER	Sieve-Courreges, President BIG TEX TRAILER MANUFACTURING, INC.
AGREED TO:	
Date:	
By:	<u></u>
Dana M. Goff, President	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CARRY-ON TRAILER, INC.	

COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES 9. This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES 10. Englander agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Englander shall draft and file and Settling Defendants shall support, including appearing at the hearing if so required. MODIFICATION; DUTY TO MEET AND CONFER 11. This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court. **AUTHORIZATION** 12. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understand, and agree to all the terms and conditions contained herein. AGREED TO: AGREED TO: Date: 1/17/18 Date: By: Steve Courreges, President PETER ENGLANDER BIG TEX TRAILER MANUFACTURING, INC. AGREED TO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Goff President

CARRY-ON TRAILER, INC.

Dana M.