

Deputy

1 RICHARD M. FRANCO (CBN 170970) LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO 2 6500 Estates Drive ALAMEDA COUNTY Oakland, CA 94611 3 Ph: 510-684-1022 JUN 0 1 20**1**8 Email: rick@rfrancolaw.com 4 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Attorney for Plaintiff 5 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC. 6 **STACY E. DON (CBN 226737)** LAW OFFICE OF STACY E. DON 7 3007 Douglas Boulevard, Suite 100 Roseville, CA 95661 8 Ph: 916-749-4851 Email: sdon@sdonlaw.com 9 10 Attorney for Defendant GAMMA ENTERPRISES, LLC, individually 11 and doing business as GAMMA LABS 12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA** 14 15 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER. CASE NO. RG17881989 INC., a non-profit California corporation, 16 STIPULATED CONSENT Plaintiff. **JUDGMENT** 17 VS. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. 18 GAMMA ENTERPRISES, LLC, individually 19 Action Filed: November 13, 2017 and doing business as GAMMA LABS, a New Trial Date: None set 20 York limited liability company, 21 Defendant. 22 23 24 INTRODUCTION 1. 25 On November 13, 2017, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a 1.1 26 non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by 27 filing a Complaint for Injunctive Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the 28 provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"),

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Page 1 of 16

Case No. RG 17881989

hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that GAMMA LABS is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. GAMMA LABS manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated August 25, 2017 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and GAMMA LABS ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated August 25, 2017 is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and GAMMA LABS and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against GAMMA LABS with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. GAMMA LABS denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation.

  Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.

1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

## 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over GAMMA LABS as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

# 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

- 3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, GAMMA LABS shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California", or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Products which expose a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.
- 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that GAMMA LABS knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.
- 3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day. If no recommended daily serving size is provided on the label, then the daily serving size shall equal one.

# 3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If GAMMA LABS is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized ("Warning"):

**WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including lead which is [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

GAMMA LABS shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if GAMMA LABS has reason to believe that the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if GAMMA LABS has reason to believe that another Proposition 65 chemical is present which may require a cancer warning.

The Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of each Covered Product. In addition, for any Covered Product sold over the internet by GAMMA LABS or its authorized resellers, the Warning shall appear on the checkout page when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product. An asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning.

The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on its website or on the label or container of GAMMA LABS' product packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of, or reducing the clarity of, the Warning on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning. Further, no statements may accompany the Warning that state or imply that the source of the listed chemical has an impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the listed chemical.

GAMMA LABS must display the above Warning with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, design of the label, container, or on its website, as applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

## 3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.

#### 3.4 **Testing and Quality Control Methodology**

- **3.4.1** Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, GAMMA LABS shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of five consecutive years by arranging for testing of five randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which GAMMA LABS intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of five consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the five-year testing period, GAMMA LABS changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, GAMMA LABS shall test that Covered Product annually for at least four (4) consecutive years after such change is made.
- 3.4.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level," the highest lead detection result of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties and approved by the Court through entry of a modified consent judgment.
- **3.4.4** All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory

22

21

24

25

23

26

27

28

Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.

- **3.4.5** Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit GAMMA LABS' ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- **3.4.6** Within sixty (60) days of ERC's written request, GAMMA LABS shall deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. GAMMA LABS shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.

## SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, 4.1 attorney's fees, and costs, GAMMA LABS shall make a total payment of \$118,500 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC in six (6) equal monthly payments of \$19,750, with the first payment due within 5 business days of the Effective Date ("Due Date"). GAMMA LABS shall make these payments by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account, for which ERC will give GAMMA LABS the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:
- \$21,226.18 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and 4.2 Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$15,919.63) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$5,306.55) of the civil penalty.
- \$5,991.31 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable 4.3 costs incurred in bringing this action.
- \$15,919.61shall be distributed to ERC as an Additional Settlement Payment 4.4 ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. ERC will utilize the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as allegedly caused by GAMMA LABS in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support ERC's overarching goal of reducing and/or eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary

13.

21

24

23

25

26

27

28

supplement products in California. ERC's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction and/or elimination of exposure to lead in dietary supplements and/or by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products.

Based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the following list of activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and testing dietary supplement products that may contain lead and are sold to California consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead. This work also includes investigation of new companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery through settlement or judgment; (2) VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (10-20%): maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from companies, developing and maintaining a case file, testing products from these companies, providing the test results and supporting documentation to the companies, and offering guidance in warning or implementing a selftesting program for lead in dietary supplement products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up to 5%): maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?" Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated products that reach California consumers by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary supplement products (Products submitted to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. ERC

shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

- 4.5 \$27,000 shall be distributed to the Law Office of Richard M. Franco as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$48,362.90 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.
  - 4.6 In the event that GAMMA LABS fails to remit any payment owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the relevant due dates, GAMMA LABS shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to GAMMA LABS via electronic mail. If GAMMA LABS fails to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within ten (10) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, GAMMA LABS agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

# 5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or (ii) by motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 or 5.4 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- then GAMMA LABS must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to GAMMA LABS within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies GAMMA LABS in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the

proposed modification, ERC shall provide to GAMMA LABS a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

- 5.3 In the event that GAMMA LABS initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application of the Consent Judgment, GAMMA LABS shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.
- 5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In any such contested court proceeding, ERC may seek costs and any attorney's fees incurred in opposing the motion pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

# 6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform GAMMA LABS in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit GAMMA LABS to identify the Covered Products at issue. GAMMA LABS shall, within sixty (60) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating GAMMA LABS' compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

## 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their

respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which is not used by California consumers.

## 8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and GAMMA LABS and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of GAMMA LABS), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them, including but not limited to G Fuel, LLC (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead up to and including the Effective Date.
- 8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, and GAMMA LABS on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other and/or their successors and assigns for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and GAMMA LABS on behalf of itself only,

FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.:

27

28

email may also be sent.

1	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
2	San Diego, CA 92108
3	Tel: (619) 500-3090 Email: chris_erc501c3@yahoo.com
4	With a copy to:
5	RICHARD M. FRANCO LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO
6	6500 Estates Drive
7	Oakland, CA 94611 Ph: 510-684-1022
8	Email: rick@rfrancolaw.com
9	GAMMA ENTERPRISES, LLC, individually and doing business as GAMMA LABS
10	Raquel Colby
11	Gamma Enterprises, LLC 113 Alder Street
12	West Babylon, NY 11704 Ph: 631-755-1080
13	Email: legal@gfuel.com
14	With a convetor
15	With a copy to: STACY E. DON
16	LAW OFFICE OF STACY E. DON 3007 Douglas Boulevard, Suite 100
17	Roseville, CA 95661 Ph: 916-749-4851
18	Email: sdon@sdonlaw.com
19	
20	12. COURT APPROVAL
21	12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a
22	Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this
23	Consent Judgment.
24	12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment,
25	the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible
26	prior to the hearing on the motion.
27	12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be
28	void and have no force or effect.

Page 13 of 16
STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG 17881989

## 13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

## 14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

### 15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

### 16. ENFORCEMENT

ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

# 17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

# 18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

- (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
- (2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

## IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: March 29, 2018 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.

1	Dated: March 29, 2018 GAMMA ENTERPRISES, LLC, individually and doing business as
2	GAMMA LABS
3	
4	By: Raquel Colby
5	Its: General Counsel
6	
7	
8	
9	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
10	Dated: March 29, 2018 LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO
11	1/1/1/11
12	By: / Y SCOTION Richard M. Franco
13	Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental
14	Research Center, Inc.
15	Dated: March 29, 2018 LAW OFFICE OF STACY E. DON
16	
17	By: Stary F. Don /
18	Stacy E. Don () Attorney for Defendant Gamma
19	Enterprises, LLC, individually and doing business as Gamma Labs
20	
21	ORDER AND JUDGMENT
22	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is
23	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.
25	
26	Dated:, 2017
27	
28	
	Page 16 of 16 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG 17881989

# **EXHIBIT A**

a

# LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD M. FRANCO

# 6500 ESTATES DRIVE OAKLAND, CA 94611 510.684.1022 RICK@RFRANCOLAW.COM

# VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current President or CEO Gamma Enterprises, LLC, individually and doing business as Gamma Labs 113 Alder Street West Babylon, NY 11704

Current President or CEO Gamma Enterprises, LLC, individually and doing business as Gamma Labs 115 Alder Street West Babylon, NY 11704

## VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Staccy Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

# VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney Sacramento County 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney
San Francisco County
732 Brannan Street
San Francisco, CA 94103
gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney San Luis Obispo County County Government Center Annex, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

# <u>VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL</u>

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Clinc, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorncy Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

# VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

## **VIA ONLINE SUBMISSION**

Office of the California Attorncy General

# VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

District Attorneys of Select California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

#### Dear Addressees:

I represent the Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The name of the Company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violator") is:

# Gamma Enterprises, LLC, individually and doing business as Gamma Labs

The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

- 1. Gamma Labs 24K Strength Formula Mixed Berry Lead
- 2. Gamma Labs G Fuel Encrgy Formula Peach Mango-Lead
- 3. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Blue Ice Lead
- 4. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Watermelon Lead

- 5. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Fazeberry Lead
- 6. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Blood Orange Lead
- 7. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Kiwi Strawberry -Lead
- 8. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Caffeine Free Orange -Lead
- 9. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Coconut Lead
- 10. Gamma Labs G Fuci Energy Formula Green Apple Lead
- 11. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Pink Lemonade Lead
- 12. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Lemon Lime Lead
- 13. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Pineapple Lead
- 14. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Tropical Rain Lead
- 15. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Mystery Flavor Lead
- 16. Gamma Labs G Fuel Encrgy Formula Fruit Punch Lead
- 17. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Grape Lead
- 18. Gamma Labs G Fuel Energy Formula Lemonade Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

This letter is a notice to the Violator and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violator currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter to the Violator.

The Violator has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which has exposed and continues to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemical, lead. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the recommended use of these products by consumers. The route of exposure to lead has been through ingestion. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to lead. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using and/or handling these products that they are being exposed to lead. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since August 25, 2014, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless the Violator agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemical; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive

resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical and expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall, and is located at 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. 619-500-3090. ERC has retained me in connection with this matter. We suggest that communications regarding this Notice of Violations should be directed to my attention at the above listed law office address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

Rick Franco

### Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Gamma Enterprises, LLC, individually and doing business as Gamma Labs)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

# CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center, Inc.'s Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Gamma Enterprises, LLC, individually and doing business as Gamma Labs

L, Rick Franco, declare:

- This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative desenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: August 25, 2017

Rick Franco

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On August 25, 2017 between 10:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a scaled envelope, addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Gamma Enterprises, LLC, individually and doing business as Gamma Labs 113 Alder Street West Babylon, NY 11704

Current President or CEO Garuma Enterprises, LLC, individually and doing business as Garuma Labs 115 Alder Street West Babylon, NY 11704

On August 25, 2017, between 10:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) were served on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On August 25, 2017 between 10:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to each of the parties listed below:

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us Dijc Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney Sacramento County 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney San Luis Obispo County County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

On August 25, 2017 between 10:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on August 25, 2017, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Phyllis Dunwoody

Page 8

## Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900 Onkland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amodor County 708 Court Street, Suite 202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Bulle County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Automey, Calaveras Courty 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County S15 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Freson County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Freson, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern

County 1215 Truxtum Avenue

Rakersfield, CA 91301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard

Hanford, CA 93236

District Attorney, Take County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Los Angeles, County Hall of Justice 211 West Temple SL, Ste 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yoscimile Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Astorocy, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukinh, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced Cranty 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Antomey, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Pass Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Atterney, Nevada County 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 93959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404

Quincy, CA 95971

Hoffister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Benito

419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor

District Attorney, San Bernarding County 316 N. Mountzin View Avenue San Bernarding, CA 92401

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1360 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Mateo-County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Sonia Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 2/90 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shosta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sicera County PO Box 457 Downleville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yrekn, CA 96097

District Anorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehania County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity
County
Post Office Box 310

Weaverville, CA 96193

County
423 N. Washington Street
Sonora, CA 95370
District Attorney, Yuhn

District Attorney, Tuolumne

215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901 Lus Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hull Enst 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Anomey's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attentiony City Hall, Room 214 1 Dr Carlion B Goodlett Pl. San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113