1 Laralei S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319 THE CHANLER GROUP 2 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 3 DEC 14 2018 4 JAMES M. KIM, Court Executive Officer laralei@chanler.com MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 5 By: J. Chen, Deputy Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN MOORE 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF MARIN 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 JOHN MOORE, Case No. CIV-1704439 13 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT Plaintiff, 14 TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT 15 v. JUDGMENT TMI ACQUISITION, LLC; et al., 16 Date: December 14, 2018 Defendants. 17 Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: 18 Judge: Hon. Paul M. Haakenson 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

In the above entitled action, plaintiff John Moore and Defendants TMI Acquisition, LLC having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a [Proposed] consent judgment ("Consent Judgment"), 4. following this Court's issuance of an order approving their Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, Judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit A. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6. IT IS SO ORDERED. DEC 1 4 2018 PAUL M. HAAKENSON Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 

# **EXHIBIT** A

1 2 3 4	THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN MOORE		
6	Michael R. O'Neil, Esq., State Bar No. 15513	34	
7	Murphy Austin Adams Schoenfeld LLP 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 850		
8	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 446-2300 Facsimile: (916) 503-4000		
10	Attorneys for Defendant		
11	TMI AČQUISITION, LLC		
12			
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  MARIN COUNTY		
14			
15	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
16	IOUNI MOODE	LG N. OTHERWAY	
17	JOHN MOORE,	Case No. CIV1704439	
18	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
19	V.	AS TO DEFENDANT TMI ACQUISITION, LLC	
20	TMI ACQUISITION, LLC; et al.,		
21	Defendants.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq., and Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)	
22			
23	<del></del>		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

#### 

# 

## 

### 

# 

# 

#### 

# 

# 

# 

### 

### 

## 

### 

### 

#### 

### 

## 

#### 

### 

### 

# 

# 

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered by and between plaintiff John Moore ("Moore"), and defendant TMI Acquisition, LLC, which does business as TM International, ("TMI") with Moore and TMI each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

Moore is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

#### 1.3 Defendant

TMI employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

#### 1.4 General Allegations

Moore alleges that TMI sells and distributes for sale in California, vinyl/PVC stickers that contain and expose individuals to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP"), and that it does so without first providing a warning in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

#### 1.5 Covered Products Defined

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Covered Products" are defined as vinyl/PVC stickers containing DEHP that are sold and/or distributed for sale in California by TMI including, but not limited to, the *Marvel Avengers Assemble Sticker Stacks*, #10 20 14, UPC #6 84364 36533 5 identified in Moore's Notices.

#### 1.6 Notices of Violation

On September 12, 2017, Moore served TMI, the California Attorney General, and all other requisite public enforcers with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("September Notice"). The Notice alleges that TMI violated Proposition 65 failing to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health risks associated with exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products.

28 .

On February 14, 2018, Moore served TMI, and its retail customer in California, Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. with a supplemental 60-day notice of violation ("Supplemental Notice"). The Supplemental Notice alleges that, in addition to the claims alleged in the September Notice as to TMI that Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. also violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn their customers and consumers in California of the risks associated with exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products.

The September Notice and Supplemental Notice are referred to collectively herein as the "Notices." No public enforcer has commenced or is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in either of the Notices.

#### 1.7 Complaint

On December 4, 2017, Moore filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming TMI as a defendant for the alleged violations that are the subject of the Notice.

#### 1.8 No Admission

TMI denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notices and Complaint, and maintains that all the products that it has sold or distributed for sale in California, including the Covered Products, comply with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by TMI of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by TMI of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect TMI's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the Court has jurisdiction over TMI as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Marin County, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

/// ///

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment is granted by the Court, including the date of any unopposed tentative ruling approving the settlement.

#### 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PRODUCT REFORMULATION

Commencing on the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, TMI agrees to only sell or distribute for sale in California "Reformulated Products." For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Reformulated Products are defined as Covered Products containing no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DEHP in any component. For purposes of compliance with this reformulation standard, testing samples shall be prepared and extracted using Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) methodology CPSC-CH-C1001.09.3 or U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) methodology 3580A and analyzed using EPA methodology 8270D or 8270C, or other methodologies utilized by federal or state government agencies to determine phthalate content in a solid substance.

#### 3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

#### 3.1 Civil Penalty Payment

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, TMI shall pay \$3,100 in civil penalties. TMI's civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the funds paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds remitted to Moore. TMI shall provide its payment in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$2,325; and (b) "John Moore, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$775. Moore's counsel shall be responsible for delivering the penalty payment to OEHHA.

#### 3.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Moore and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms

had been finalized, the Parties negotiated a reimbursement of the compensation due to Moore and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, TMI agrees to pay \$30,000 for all fees and costs incurred by Moore investigating, bringing this matter to TMI's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and obtaining court approval of the same.

#### 3.3 Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered within fifteen (15) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, and held in trust by TMI's counsel until the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment contemplated by Section 5. TMI's counsel shall provide Moore's counsel with written notice following its receipt of the settlement funds from TMI. Thereafter, TMI's counsel shall hold the funds in trust until, and disburse the payments to Moore's counsel within five (5) days after the Effective Date.

#### 3.4 Payment Address

All payments under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

#### 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

#### 4.1 Moore's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Moore, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases TMI and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, shareholders and attorneys ("Releasees"), and each entity to whom TMI directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including, without limitation, Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.), franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for any violation arising under Proposition 65 based on a failure to warn about exposures to DEHP in Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale by TMI prior to the Effective

-16

 Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DEHP in Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale by TMI after the Effective Date.

#### 4.2 Moore's Individual Release of Claims

Moore, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to TMI, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Covered Products manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale by TMI before the Effective Date.

#### 4.3 TMI's Release of Moore

TMI, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waive all claims against Moore and his attorneys and other representatives, for any action taken or statement made by Moore and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products.

#### 4.4 Mutual Waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542

The Parties each acknowledge that they are familiar with Section 1542 of the Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties, each on their own behalf, and on behalf of their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, (but not in Moore's representative capacity) expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits which they may have under, or which may be conferred upon them by the provisions of Civil Code section 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent they may

Sections 4.1 through 4.3, above.

#### 5. COURT APPROVAL

 This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time to which the Parties may agree in writing.

lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters, as specifically defined by

#### 6. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

Only the Parties may enforce this Consent Judgment. In any action to enforce the terms or conditions of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

#### 7. SEVERABILITY

If, after the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California

and apply within the state of California. If Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or otherwise

rendered inapplicable by law, generally, or as to the Covered Products, then TMI may provide written

notice to Moore of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations

pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are

affected.

so affected.

111:

#### 8. GOVERNING LAW

28 ///

#### 9. NOTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

#### For TMI:

Anthony Bazan, CEO
TMI Acquisition, LLC
3761 East Technical Drive
Tucson, AZ 85713

Michael O'Neil, Esq. Murphy Austin Adams Schoenfeld LLP 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 850 Sacramento, CA 95814

#### For Moore:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

#### 10. COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

#### 11. POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Moore agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Moore shall draft and file, and TMI shall support, including appearing at the settlement approval appearing if requested.

27 | ///

28 | ///

#### 12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

#### 13. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understand, and agree to all the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: _10/4/2018	Date: 12 Oct. 2019
By:	By: Anthoriy Bazan, CEO TMI ACOUISITION, LLC