

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

## **Document Scanning Lead Sheet**

Mar-01-2019 10:50 am

Case Number: CGC-18-565217

Filing Date: Mar-01-2019 10:50

Filed by: ERICKA LARNAUTI

Image: 06708895

**TEXT JUDGMENT** 

AMY CHAMBERLIN VS. BIOLITE INC. A DELAWARE CORPORATION ET AL

001C06708895

### Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

San Francisco County Superior Court

MAR 0.1 2019 CLERK OF THE COURT

Deputy Clerk

Melvin B. Pearlston (SBN 54291)
Robert B. Hancock (SBN 179439)
Elizabeth D. Sonnichsen (SBN 321131)
PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER

50 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, California 94111

Telephone: (415) 310-1940 Email: robh@rbhancocklaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18 19 AMY CHAMBERLIN

SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA

**COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 

AMY CHAMBERLIN, in the public interest,

Plaintiff,

BIOLITE, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 400 inclusive,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. CGC-18-565217

[<del>PROPOSED</del>] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

[Cal. Health and Safety Code Sec. 25249.6, et seq.]

W

20 21

> 22 23

24

25 26

27

28

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Chamberlin v. Biolíte, Inc. Civil-Action No. CGC-18-565217

11

14

15 16

17

18

20 21

23 24

26 27

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- This Action arises out of the alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking Water 1.1 and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq. (also known as and referred to as "Proposition 65") regarding Defendant BioLite, Inc.'s "BioLite Biofuel Pellets" (hereinafter the "Covered Product"). Plaintiff alleges that the Covered Product exposes consumers in California to Wood Dust. Wood Dust is hereinafter referred to as the "Listed Chemical."
- 1.2 Plaintiff AMY CHAMBERLIN ("CHAMBERLIN") is a California resident acting as private enforcer of Proposition 65. CHAMBERLIN alleges that she brings this Action in the public interest pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq., asserts that she is dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
  - 1.3 Defendant BioLite, Inc. is a Delaware corporation ("BIOLITE" or "Defendant").
- CHAMBERLIN and BIOLITE are referred to individually as a "Party" or 1.4 collectively as the "Parties."
  - 1.5 BIOLITE manufactures, acquires, distributes and/or sells the Covered Product.
- 1.6 On or about October 3, 2017 and December 1, 2017, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d)(1), CHAMBERLIN served 60-Day Notices of Violation of Proposition 65 on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and BIOLITE alleging that BIOLITE violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons in California to Wood Dust in connection with their use of the Covered Product without first providing a Proposition 65 warning (the "Notice of Violation").
- After more than sixty (60) days passed since service of the Notice of Violation, and no designated governmental agency having filed a complaint against BIOLITE with regard to the Covered Product or the alleged violations, CHAMBERLIN filed a complaint (the "Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil penalties. The Complaint, dated March 23, 2018, is based on the allegations in the Notices of Violation in connection with the Covered Product.

 1.8 BIOLITE generally denies all material and factual allegations of the Notice of Violation and the Complaint, and specifically denies that any Proposition 65 Notice is required on the Covered Product beyond the Proposition 65 Notice that has already been provided by BIOLITE, and that Plaintiff or any California consumer have been harmed or damaged by its conduct. BIOLITE and CHAMBERLIN each reserve all rights to allege additional facts, claims, and affirmative defenses if the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment.

- 1.9 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation. For purposes of the approval of entry of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.
- be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding.
- 1.11 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment.

#### 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2.1 As of the Compliance date (defined below) and except as otherwise provided herein, BIOLITE shall be permanently enjoined from Distributing into California any Covered Products without a warning as set forth in this section. "Distributing into California" or "Distribute into California" means to ship the Covered Product to California for sale or to sell the Covered Product to a distributor that BIOLITE knows will redistribute the Covered Product in or into California.

 The Parties agree that should OEHHA warning regulations change, BIOLITE may either conform with the OEHHA regulations or conform with the terms provided in this Consent Judgment, and in so doing, will be in compliance with this Consent Judgment.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, and except as provided in Section 3.2 below, BIOLITE shall be permanently enjoined from offering for sale to a consumer in California, directly selling to a consumer in California, or Distributing into California the Covered Product, unless the label of the Covered Product contains a Proposition 65 compliant warning, consistent with Section 3.2, below.

#### 3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

(A) For the Covered Product that is subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1, BIOLITE shall provide a Compliant Warning. The Parties agree the following constitutes a clear and reasonable warning:

**WARNING:** This product can expose you to chemicals including wood dust, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to <a href="https://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/wood">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/wood</a>.

- (B) The Warning shall be permanently affixed to or printed on (at the point of manufacture, or distribution, but prior to shipment into California, or prior to distribution within California) the outside packaging or container of each bag of the Covered Product. The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs or devices on the outside packaging or labeling, as to render it likely be to read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to use. If the Warning is displayed on the product packaging or labeling, the Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product packaging or labeling, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters. If printed on the label itself, the Warning shall be contained in the same section of the labeling that states other safety warnings concerning the use of Covered Product, if any.
- (C) In the event that BIOLITE sells Covered Product via the internet directly to consumers located in California after the Effective Date, BIOLITE shall provide a warning for such

 Covered Products sold via the internet to such California residents prior to completion of the purchase. A warning that is given on the internet shall be in the same type size or larger than the Covered Product description text and shall appear either: (a) on the same web page on which the Covered Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the Covered Product; (c) on the same page as the price for the Covered Product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning shall be provided:

 $\triangle$ 

WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including wood dust, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to <a href="https://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/wood">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/wood</a>.

(D) Notwithstanding paragraphs (A), (B), and (C) above, if modifications or amendments to Proposition 65 or its regulations adopted after the Effective Date are inconsistent with, or provide warnings specifications or options different from, the specifications in this Agreement, BIOLITE may modify the content and delivery methods of its warnings to conform to the clear and reasonable warning provisions of Proposition 65 or its regulations as modified or amended, and such warnings shall constitute Compliant Warnings under this Agreement.

#### 3.3 Sell-Through Period

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, the Covered Products that were manufactured prior to sixty (60) days after the Effective Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to Section 8 of this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligation of BIOLITE, or any of its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, or downstream retailers set forth in this Consent Judgment, including but not limited to Section 3, do not apply to these products manufactured prior to sixty (60) days after the Effective Date.

#### 4. REQUIRED MONETARY PAYMENTS

4.1 Defendant shall pay \$12,500.00 within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, which shall be a full and final satisfaction of all civil penalties pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, one check shall be payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), in the sum of \$9,375.00, a second check

13 14

15

16 17

19 20

21

22 23

26 27

25

shall be payable to CHAMBERLIN in the sum of \$2,343.00, and a third check shall be payable to Cancer Care, a qualified charitable organization in the sum of \$782.00. (Cal. Health & Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d)). CHAMBERLIN waives any statutory right to share in the penalties awarded to any further extent. The payment will be in the form of three separate checks sent to counsel for CHAMBERLIN, Robert B. Hancock, Pacific Justice Center, 50 California Street, San Francisco, California 94111.

- 4.2 Defendant shall pay \$45,000.00 as reimbursement of CHAMBERLIN's attorneys' fees, costs, investigation and litigation expenses ("Attorneys' Fees and Costs") to be paid within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date.
- 4.3 Any failure by BIOLITE to remit any of the foregoing payments results in a mutual recession of the agreement, as though no resolution had been had. In that event, the parties stipulate to vacating the Consent Judgment, and will cooperate in securing an order for the same.

#### 5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) Written agreement and stipulation of the Parties and upon having such stipulation entered as a modified Consent Judgment by the Court; or (ii) upon entry of a modified Judgment by the Court pursuant to a motion by one of the Parties after exhausting the meet and confer process set forth as follows. If either Party requests or initiates a modification, then it shall meet and confer with the other Party in good faith before filing a motion with the Court seeking to modify it. CHAMBERLIN is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs regarding the Parties' meet and confer efforts for any modification requested or initiated by BIOLITE. Similarly, BIOLITE is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs regarding the Parties' meet and confer efforts for any modification requested or initiated by CHAMBERLIN. If, despite their meet and confer efforts, the Parties are unable to reach agreement on any proposed modification the party seeking the modification may file the appropriate motion and the prevailing party on such motion shall be entitled to recover its reasonable fees and costs associated with such motion. One basis, but not the exclusive basis, for BIOLITE to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment is if Proposition 65 is changed, narrowed, limited, or otherwise rendered inapplicable in whole or in part to the Covered

Product or Wood Dust due to legislative change, a change in the implementing regulations, court decisions or other legal basis.

## 6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 Subject to Section 6.3, any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such motion or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.
- shall provide BIOLITE with thirty (30) days' written notice of any alleged violations of the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. As long as BIOLITE cures any such alleged violations within the 30-day period (or if any such violation cannot practicably be cured within 30 days, it expeditiously initiates a cure within 30 days and completes it as soon as practicable) and BIOLITE provides proof to CHAMBERLIN that the alleged violation(s) were the result of good faith mistake or accident, then BIOLITE shall not be in violation of the Consent Judgment. BIOLITE shall have the ability to avail itself of the benefits of this Section two (2) times following the Effective Date.

#### 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, successors, and assigns, including but not limited to their Party Affiliates, and it shall benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licenses, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns, including but not limited to the Downstream Releasees.

#### 8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CHAMBERLIN, on behalf of herself and in the public interest, and BIOLITE, of any and all direct

21 22 23

20

25 26 27

28

24

or derivative violations (or claimed violations) of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure from the handling or use of the Covered Product and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this Action by any person up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Product. CHAMBERLIN, on behalf of herself and in the public interest, hereby forever releases and discharges BIOLITE and its past and present officers, directors, owners, shareholders, employees, agents, attorneys, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities and persons in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims and causes of action and obligations to pay damages, restitution, fines, civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties and expenses (including but not limited to expert analysis fees, expert fees, attorneys' fees and costs) (collectively, "Claims") arising under, based on, or derivative of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations up through the Effective Date relating to actual or potential exposure to chemicals known by the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm, from the Covered Product and/or failure to warn about Wood Dust, as set forth in the Notices of Violation and the Complaint.

- 8.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures from the Covered Product as described above or set forth in the Notice of Violations and the Complaint.
- 8.3 It is possible that other Claims not known to CHAMBERLIN arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice of Violations or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Product that were manufactured, sold or distributed into California before the Effective Date will develop or be discovered. CHAMBERLIN, on behalf of herself only, acknowledges that the Claims released herein include all known and unknown Claims and waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

## OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CHAMBERLIN, on behalf of herself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

8.4 CHAMBERLIN, on one hand, and BIOLITE, on the other hand, each release and waive all Claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notice of Violations or the Complaint. However, this shall not affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

#### 9. CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY

- 9.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.
- 9.2 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 9.3 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

#### 10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, (b) certified mail, (c) overnight courier, or (d) personal delivery to the following:

#### For Amy Chamberlin:

Melvin B. Pearlston Robert B. Hancock PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER 50 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, California 94111

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

#### For BioLite, Inc.:

Nelson Lam George Gigounas DLA PIPER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 San Francisco, California 94105

#### 11. COURT APPROVAL

- 11.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, CHAMBERLIN shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 11.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 11.3 If, despite the Parties' best efforts, the Court does not approve this Stipulated Consent Judgment, it shall be null and void and have no force or effect.

#### 12. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

12.1 This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or electronic signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

#### 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 13.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

#### 1 14. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL 2 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed 3 regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to: 4 5 Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a good faith (a) settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and 8 Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section (b) 25249.7(f)(4), and approve the Settlement, and this Consent Judgment. 10 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 11 12 Dated: 12/12/2019 13 Amy Chamberlin 14 15 16 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_Nov 29, 2018 **BIOLITE INCORPORATED** 17 18 19 Its: \_\_\_CEO 20 21 APPROVED AS TO FORM: 23 Dated: 12/14/18 PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER 25 26 Robert B. Hancock 27 Attorneys for Plaintiff 28 Amy CHAMBERLIN

 Dated: Nov 29, 2018 DLA PIPER LLP

By: \_

Nelson P. Lam Attorneys for Defendant

BioLite, Inc.

#### **JUDGMENT**

Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

Dated:	5	lí	19	, 2019

udge of the Superior Court

RICHARD ULMER