1	GLICK LAW GROUP, PC	FILED	
2	Noam Glick (SBN 251582) 225 Broadway, Suite 2100	ALAMEDA COUNT	Y
3	San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 382-3400	JAN 1 4 2020	
4	Fax: (619) 615-2193	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR	COURT
5	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP	By. Mawalker.	Deputy
6	Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Shaun Markley (SBN 291785)		
7	Jake Schultc (SBN 293777) 225 Broadway, 19 th Floor San Diego, California 92101	ı	
8	Tel: (619) 325-0492 Fax: (619) 325-0496		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	Kim Embry		
11			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
14	KIM EMBRY, an individual	Case No.: 11G 19024517	
15	Plaintiff,	CORRECTED [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS	-
16	v.	TO MONDELÊZ GLOBAL, LLC	
17	THE KROGER COMPANY, an Ohio corporation, BAY VALLEY FOODS, LLC, a		
18	Delaware corporation; MONDELEZ GLOBAL, LLC, A Delaware corporation; JET.COM, a		
19	Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22		}	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	10 466060047v4		j
	US 165968247v1	1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m	

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Kim Embry ("Embry") on one hand, and Mondelez Global, LLC ("Defendant" or "MDLZ") on the other hand, with Embry and Defendant individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Embry is an individual residing in California and acting in the interest of the general public. She seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Defendant employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Embry alleges that Defendant manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale in California, graham crackers and biscuits that contain Acrylamide. Embry further alleges that Defendant does so without providing a sufficient health hazard warning as required by Proposition 65 and related regulations. Pursuant to Proposition 65, Acrylamide is listed as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive harm. MDLZ denies that warnings are required under Proposition 65 for any exposures to acrylamide in the Products, and MDLZ maintains that it has complied with all applicable federal and state laws, including but not limited to Proposition 65.

1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Product" or "Products" are defined as Honey Maid Graham Crackers and all Belvita biscuit products (including but not limited to Belvita Cinnamon Brown Sugar Breakfast Biscuits) manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Defendant.

g

1.6 Notices of Violation

On May 17, 2018 Embry served Defendant MDLZ, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("First Notice"). The First Notice alleged that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to provide warnings for alleged exposures to acrylamide in Honey Maid Graham Crackers.

On August 4, 2017 Embry served Defendant MDLZ, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Second Notice"). The Second Notice alleged that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to provide warnings for alleged exposures to Acrylamide in Belvita Cinnamon Brown Sugar Breakfast Biscuits.

On September 10, 2019, Embry served Defendant MDLZ, the California Attorney General, and all other required public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Third Notice"). The Third Notice alleged that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to provide warnings for alleged exposures to acrylamide in Belvita Breakfast Biscuits. The First, Second and Third Notices are referred to collectively as the "Notices." No public enforcer has commenced or is otherwise prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notices.

1.7 Complaint

On or about June 25, 2019, Embry filed a Complaint against Defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the First and Second Notices ("Complaint"). Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, the Complaint shall be deemed amended *nunc pro tune* additionally to include allegations asserted in the Third Notice.

1.8 No Admission

By stipulating to the entry of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, MDLZ does not admit that it has violated, or threatened to violate, Proposition 65 or any other law or legal duty, and MDLZ does not admit that the chemical acrylamide in food poses any risk to human health.

1 l

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment, subject to subsequent modifications thereof or Court orders regarding any such obligation, responsibility, and/or duty.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and the Complaint only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1,10 Effective Date and Compliance Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of the Consent Judgment is served on Defendant. The Compliance Date is (1) January 1, 2020 for Belvita Cinnamon Brown Sugar Breakfast Biscuits and (2) June 1, 2020 for all other Products.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Reformulation of the Product

Any Products that are manufactured by MDLZ on and after the Compliance Date that are thereafter sold in California or distributed for sale in California shall not exceed 280 ppb on average for Acrylamide, as set forth in this Section 2. As used in this Section 2.1, "distributed for sale in California" means to directly ship a Product into California or to sell a Product to a distributor that MDLZ knows will sell the Product in California.

2.2 Testing

(a) Compliance with the average level shall be determined using LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), GC/MS (Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties. Any testing for purposes of Section 2.1 shall be

performed by any laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a nationally recognized organization.

- (b) The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing, over no less than a ten-day period, one sample from at least five lots (or from as many lots as are available, if fewer than five) and a maximum of ten lots of Products produced at locations that supply such Products to California ("Sampling Data"). The mean and standard deviation shall be calculated using the Sampling Data. Any data points that are more than three standard deviations outside the mean shall be discarded once, and the mean and standard deviation recalculated using the remaining data points. The arithmetic mean determined in accordance with this procedure shall be deemed the "Average Level."
- (c) For at least three consecutive years after the Compliance Date, MDLZ shall arrange for testing under Section 2.2. The testing shall be at least once per year, with the first testing occurring prior to the Compliance Date. No further testing shall be required unless MDLZ materially modifies the ingredients or cooking process of a Product, at which point testing shall recommence on an annual basis for at least three years.

2.3 Sell-Through Period

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgement, the Products that are manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date shall be subject to release of liability pursuant to this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligations in Section 2 do not apply to Products manufactured on or prior to the Compliance Date.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Settlement Amount

Defendant shall pay seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00) in settlement and total satisfaction of all the claims referred to in the Notices, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment. This includes civil penalties in the amount of eight thousand (\$8,000.00) pursuant to Health and Safety

27

28

Code section 25249.7(b) and attorney's fees and costs in the amount of sixty-seven thousand (\$67,000) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 and Health and Safety Code section 25249 et seq.

3.2 Civil Penalty

The portion of the settlement attributable to civil penalties shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty paid to Embry.

All payments owed to Embry, shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Noam Glick Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:

For United States Postal Delivery:

Mike Gyurics

Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics

Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

MDLZ agrees to provide Embry's counsel with a copy of the check payable to OEHHA simultaneous with its penalty payment to Embry.

26

27

28

The Parties, including Embry, will exchange completed IRS 1099, W-9, or other forms as required. Relevant information for Glick Law Group, Nicholas & Tomasevic, and Embry are set out below:

- "Kim Embry" whose address and tax identification number shall be provided within five (5) days after this Consent Judgement is fully executed by the Parties
- "Glick Law Group" (EIN: 47-1838518) at address provided in Section 3.2;
- "Nicholas & Tomasevie" (EIN: 46-3474065) at address provided in Section 3.3; and
- "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" at 1001 I Street, Sacramento,
 CA 95814.

3.3 Attorney's Fees and Costs

The portion of the settlement attributable to attorneys' fees and costs shall be paid to Embry's counsel, who are entitled to attorney's fees and costs incurred by her in this action, including but not limited to investigating potential violations, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, as well as litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

Defendant shall provide its payment to Embry's counsel in two checks, divided equally, payable to Glick Law Group, PC (\$33,500) and Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP (\$33,500) respectively. The addresses for these two entities are:

Noam Glick Glick Law Group 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101

Craig Nicholas Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101

3.4 Timing

The above mentioned checks will be issued within fourteen (14) days of the Effective Date.

1

3 4 5

6 7 8

9 10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Embry's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between, on the one hand, Embry, on behalf of herself and her attorneys, investigators, agents, heirs, and assigns (collectively referred to as "Embry Releasors") and on behalf of the public in the public interest, and, on the other hand, MDLZ and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, its directors, officers, principals, agents, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, predecessors, successors, and assigns ("Defendant Entities"), and each entity to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes, ships, or sells the Products including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees, and their owners, directors, officers, agents, principals, employees, attorneys, insurers, accountants, representatives, predecessors, successors, and assigns (collectively referred to as the "Releasees"), of all claims, actions, causes of action (in law or in equity), suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, expenses, and fees (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorney's fees), and expenses (collectively, "Claims") that have been or could have been asserted under Proposition 65 for any exposures to acrylamide from the Products manufactured, purchased, distributed, or sold by Defendant before the Compliance Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to any exposures to acrylamide from Products manufactured, purchased, sold, or distributed by Defendant on and after the Compliance Date.

4.2 Embry's Individual Release of Claims

Embry, in her individual capacity, on behalf of herself and the Embry Releasors, also waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and discharges and releases all Claims as to all Releasees under Proposition 65 or any statutory or common law from the alleged failure to provide warnings for any exposures to acrylamide, or for causing any exposures to acrylamide, in the Products, and in graham cracker products, manufactured, purchased, distributed, or sold by Defendant. The release in this Section 4.2 is effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all Claims by Embry of any nature, character or kind, whether known or

3

5

7

9

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25 26

27

28

unknown, or suspected or unsuspected. Embry acknowledges that she is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

Embry understands and acknowledges the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

4.3 Defendant's Release of Embry

Defendant, on its own behalf, and on behalf of Releasees as well as its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignces, hereby waives any and all claims against Embry and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Embry and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it, in this matter or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT_APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within six months after it has been fully submitted to the Court by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

Subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, if any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable for reasons, including but not limited to changes in the law, then Defendant may provide written notice to Embry of any asserted change, and shall have no further injunctive

3

4

obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall

8. NOTICE

For Defendant:

Sarah Esmaili

Arnold & Porter

Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Fl

San Francisco, CA 94111

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

For Embry:

receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return

Noam Glick

Glick Law Group, PC 225 Broadway, 21st Floor

San Dicgo, CA 92101

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES 10.

Embry agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Embry shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, including those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may make, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

11. ENFORCEMENT

Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce the Consent Judgment shall provide the other Party written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. Embry shall not bring an enforcement action or institute a judicial proceeding if MDLZ demonstrates it has complied with the requirements of Section 2. MDLZ is entitled to designate such information as confidential.

In the event that meet and confer efforts are unsuccessful, the Party alleging a violation may initiate a judicial proceeding to enforce this Consent Judgment no earlier than 60 days after issuing the written notice specified in Section 11. In the event that a Party initiates such a judicial proceeding, the prevailing Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

12. MODIFICATION

- 12.1 Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.
- 12.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.
- 12.3 Change in Proposition 65. If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations (including but not limited to the published "no significant risk level" for acrylamide set forth at Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, section 25705, subdivision (c)(2) or any "alternative risk level" adopted by regulation or court decision) are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, or if OEHHA takes some other final regulatory action that determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required or modifies the standard for warnings for acrylamide, then MDLZ may seek to modify this Consent Judgment.
- 12.4 Other Court Decisions. If a final decision of a court determines that warnings for acrylamide exposures or that enforcement of Proposition 65 claims for acrylamide exposures are

 preempted or otherwise unlawful or unconstitutional, then MDLZ may move to modify this Consent Judgment to conform to such ruling in order to avoid unfair, inconsistent, or anti-competitive results.

- 12.5. Federal Agency Action and Preemption. If a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation or legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then this Consent Judgment may be modified in accordance with the procedure for noticed motions set forth in Section 12.1 to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law.
- 12.6 Scientific Studies. If an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation, or other legally binding act, following a review of scientific studies and following public notice and comment, a cancer potency estimate for acrylamide that equates to a no significant risk level higher than 0.2 micrograms per day, then MDLZ shall be entitled to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment.
- 12.7 Before filing any motion to modify the Consent Judgment, MDLZ shall provide written notice to Embry to initiate the meet and confer procedure in Section 12.2. If the Parties do not agree on the proposed modification during informal meet and confer efforts, MDLZ may file a motion to modify the Consent Judgment within sixty (60) days of the date of the written notice that MDLZ provides to Embry under this Section 12.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 12, nothing in this Consent Judgment limits or affects the Court's authority to modify this Consent Judgment as provided by law.

14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in

writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

Dated: 1/14/20

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO BY (DEFENDANT)
Date: September 26, 2019 By: KIM EMBRY	Date: Sep 1 23, 201 of By: MELISSA HARRUP VP & Chief Counsel – North America
IT IS SO ORDERED.	

SUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT