	11	
1	Parker A. Smith, Esq. (290311)	
2	SY AND SMITH, PC 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100	
3	San Diego, CA 92130 Phone: 858 746 9554	MAR 1 8 2019
4	Facsimile: 858 746 5199	
5		By: L. Urie, Cleik
6	Attorney for Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng	
7		
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
12		
13	KINGPUN CHENG,	) CASE NO. 27 2010 0004/020 CTI PO CTT
14	Randi on Cherry,	) CASE NO.: 37-2018-00046020-CU-PO-CTL )
15	Plaintiff,	) ) [PR <del>OPOS</del> ED]
16		) JUDGMENT APPROVING ) PROP 65 STIPULATION AND
17	γ,	) CONSENT JUDGMENT
18	PACIFIC CYCLE, INC.	) (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
19		) "IMAGED FILE" ) Date: March 8, 2019
20		) Time: 08:30 AM
21	Defendant	) Dept. C-65 ) Judge: Hon. Ronald F. Frazier
22		Complaint Filed: September 13, 2018
23		,
24	In the above entitled action, Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng and Pacific Cycle, Inc.	
25 26	having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of	
27	the Proposition 65 settlement agreement in t	he form of a [Proposed] Consent Judgment entered
28	into by the parties, and following the issuance of an order approving this Proposition 65	
~ \	settlement agreement and entering this Cons	ent Judgment on $3//8//9$

I IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §664.6, judgment is entered in accordance with the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: MAR 1 8 2018 

RONALD F. FRAZILER

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

PARKER SMITH, ESQ. (SBN 290311) 1 SY AND SMITH, PC. 2 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 Del Mar, CA 92130 3 Telephone: (858) 746-9554 Facsimile: (858)746-5199 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng 5 6. 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 10 KINGPUN CHENG, Case No. 37-2018-00046020-CU-PO-CTL 11 Plaintiff, 12 VS. UNLIMITED JURISDICTION 13 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] PACIFIC CYCLE, INC. ORDER RE ENTRY OF CONSENT 14 JUDGMENT AS TO PACIFIC Defendants. CYCLE, INC. 15 Complaint Filed: September 13, 2018 16 17 Introduction 1. 18 1.1 **Parties** 19 20 This Consent Judgment is hereby entered into by and between Kingpun Cheng, as an 21 individual and acting in the interest of the public, (hereinafter "Cheng") and Pacific Cycle Inc. 22 (hereinafter "Pacific Cycle"). Pacific Cycle and Cheng shall be collectively referred to as the 23 "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Cheng is an individual residing in California who seeks 24 to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or 25 eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Pacific Cycle employs ten or 26 more persons as required for purposes of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. 27

28

("Proposition 65").

#### 1.2 General Allegations

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Cheng alleges that Pacific Cycle offered for sale and sold in the State of California Removable Bike Wallets, including but not limited to "Schwinn Removable Bike Wallet #SW79217" UPC038675146464, containing DEHP, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and/or other reproductive harm, and that they did so without providing the warning required by Proposition 65. Removable Bike Wallets, including Schwinn Removable Bike Wallet #SW79217, are referred to herein as "Covered Products".

#### Notice of Violation 1.3

On or about June 11, 2018, Cheng issued a 60 Day Notice of Violation to Pacific Cycle, Target Corporation and various public enforcement agencies pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) alleging that Pacific Cycle, Inc. was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn California consumers that the Covered Products exposed them to DEHP (hereinafter "Notice"). No public enforcer diligently prosecuted the claims threatened in the Notice within sixty days plus service time after service of the Notice to them by Cheng.

#### 1.4 Complaint

On or about September 13, 2018 Cheng filed a Complaint against Pacific Cycle for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in San Diego Superior Court, Case No. 37-2018-00046020-CU-PO-CTL. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Pacific Cycle violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products.

#### Consent to Jurisdiction 1.5

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties consent that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over the

ļ

named Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Diego and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Notices, Complaint, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole of in part, directly or indirectly, on the prior conduct of the Parties or on the facts alleged in the Complaint or arising therefrom or related thereto.

#### 1.6 No Admission

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Pacific Cycle of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Pacific Cycle of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that the Motion to Approve the Consent Judgment has been granted by the Court.

#### 2. Injunctive Relief

Pacific Cycle shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale in California any Covered Products with a production date after the Effective Date except for Reformulated Products, as that term is defined in § 2.1 below.

#### 2.1 Reformulation

The Covered Products shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 with regard to DEHP, and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements for DEHP, if the Covered Products have a DEHP content by weight of not more than 0.10% (1,000 ppm). Pacific Cycle may comply with the above requirements by relying on information obtained from its suppliers

regarding the content of the materials from which the Covered Products are made, provided such reliance is in good faith. Obtaining test results showing that the DEHP content is no more than 0.10% (1,000 ppm), using a method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished from detection) of less than 1,000 ppm, shall be deemed to establish good faith reliance. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Covered Products in compliance with this standard are "Reformulated Products".

## 2.2 Warning Alternative.

As an alternative to reformulating the Covered Products, commencing on the Effective Date, Covered Products, with a production date after the Effective Date, that Pacific Cycle ships for sale, sells or offers for sale in California that are not Reformulated Products as set forth in Section 2.1 above shall be accompanied by a clear and reasonable warning as described in Section 2.3 below.

### 2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings.

Where required under Section 2.2 above, Pacific Cycle shall provide Proposition 65-warnings substantially as follows:

WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

OR

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

2.3.1 Where utilized as an alternative to meeting the criteria set forth in Section 2.1,

Pacific Cycle shall provide the warning language set forth in Section 2.3 either on the package of
the Covered Products or affixed to the Covered Products. Such warning shall be prominently

affixed to or printed on each Product's label or package or the Product itself. If printed on the label, the warning shall be contained in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Product. Pacific Cycle may continue to utilize, on an ongoing basis, unit packaging containing substantively the same Proposition 65 warnings as those set forth in Section 2.3 above, but only to the extent such packaging materials were printed within ninety days after the Effective Date.

2.3.2 The requirements for warnings, set forth in Section 2.3 above are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment. The Parties recognize that these are not the exclusive methods of providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations and that they may or may not be appropriate in other circumstances.

#### 2.4 Products Manufactured Prior to the Effective Date

Notwithstanding anything else in this Consent Judgment, Covered Products that were manufactured prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to Section 5 of this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, distributed or sold to customers. As a result, the obligations of Pacific Cycle as set forth in this Consent Judgment, including but not limited to Section 2, do not apply to these products manufactured prior to the Effective Date.

### 3. Entry of Consent Judgment

3.1 With regard to all claims that have been raised or which could be raised with respect to failure to warn pursuant to Proposition 65 with regard to DEHP in the Covered Products, Pacific Cycle shall pay a civil penalty of \$1,500 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code \$ 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Cheng,

as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) and (d) and the instructions directly below.

## 3.2 Payment Procedures

Pacific Cycle shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment; (a) one check made payable to "OEHHA" (tax identification number: 68-0284486) in an amount representing 75% of the total penalty (i.e., \$1,125); and (b) one check in an amount representing 25% of the total penalty (i.e., \$375) made payable directly to Cheng. Pacific Cycle shall mail these payments within ten (10) days after the Effective Date at which time such payments shall be mailed to the following addresses respectively:

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

Fiscal Operations

1001 "I" Street

Mail Stop 12-B

Sacramento, CA 95814

and

Mr. Kingpun Cheng

C/O Sy and Smith, PC

11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100

San Diego, CA 92130

### 4. Reimbursement of Fees and Costs

The Parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Cheng and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine and principles of contract law. Under these legal principles, Pacific Cycle shall reimburse Cheng's counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Pacific Cycle's attention, and negotiating a settlement.

Pacific Cycle shall pay Cheng's counsel \$14,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and related costs associated with this matter, the Notices and associated fees and costs Pacific Cycle shall wire said monies or send a check payable to "Sy and Smith, PC" within ten (10) days of the Effective Date. Sy and Smith, PC will provide Pacific Cycle with wire instruction and tax identification information on or before the Effective Date if requested. Other than the payment required hereunder, each side is to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

## 5. Release of all Claims

Cheng, acting on behalf of himself and in the public interest, releases Pacific Cycle and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, sister and parent entities, successors, and assigns, and each entity to whom it directly or indirectly distributed or distributes or sold or sells the Covered Products including, but not limited to, their downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers (including, but not limited to Target Corporation, their parent and all affiliates and subsidiaries thereof), their respective employees, agents and assigns, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, (collectively "Releasees") from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date, and consistent with the provisions of Section 2.43 above, based on exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products.

In addition to the foregoing, Cheng, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and not in his representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases any other claims, cause of action, obligation, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees,

damages, losses, liabilities, and demands that he could make against Pacific Cycle or the other Releases with respect to violations of Proposition 65 based upon the Covered Products. The Parties acknowledge that the claims released above may include unknown claims, and with respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Cheng hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Cheng acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

# 5.2 Pacific Cycle Release of Cheng

Pacific Cycle waives any and all claims against Cheng, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Cheng and his attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter.

## 6. Non-Disparagement

The Parties agree to refrain from taking action or making statements, written, oral or through any form of social media, which disparage or defame the goodwill or reputation of the other Party.

# 7. Severability and Merger

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this document are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

## 8. Governing Law

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then Pacific Cycle shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to the Covered Products to the extent the Covered Products are so affected.

## 9. Notices

9.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: electronic mail and either (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

Pacific Cycle:

David P. Callet
Callet Law, LLC
5335 Wisconsin Avenue NW
Suite 440
Washington, DC 20015
Deallet@Calletlaw.com

And

27

28

Reade Bramer Schiff Hardin LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower Suite 3100 San Francisco, CA 94105 rbramer@schiffhardin.com

For Cheng:

Parker A. Smith Sy and Smith, PC 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130 parker@sysmithlaw.com

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing by the means set forth above to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

# 10. Counterparts; Facsimile Signatures

10.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or exchange by electronic means, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

## 11. Modification

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further written agreement of the Parties with court approval or by noticed motion.

# 12. Attorney Fees

A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

#### 13. Authorization

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party and legally bind that Party.

	IT IS SO STIPILATED:		
	de la companya de la		
	Dated	18 Dated: SEPTEMPET 24, 2018 By: Pead Acc	
	By:	By: Pasd, Mc	
۷	Parker A. Smith, Attorney for Plain	iff Reade Bramer, Attorney for Defendant	
5	Dated:		
6		By: Cuin Frun	
7 8		On Behalf of: Pacific Cycle, Inc.	
9	1111		
10	////		
П			
12	////		
13	////		
14	////		
15	////		
16	////	•	
17	////		
18	777		
19			
20	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:		
21			
22	Dated:		
23		Judge of the Superior Court	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			