*:*					
1 2	Melvin B. Pearlston (SBN 54291) Robert B. Hancock (SBN 179438)  Superior Court of California County of San Francisco				
	Elizabeth D. Sonnichsen (SBN 321131) PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER  JAN 0 4 2019				
3	50 California Street, Suite 1500 CLERK OF THE COURTS				
4	San Francisco, California 94111				
5	Tel: (415)310-1940  e-mail: robh@rbhancocklaw.com				
6					
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff ERIKA MCCARTNEY				
. 8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
	EDWA MOOADTNEY in a salin internal COURT ACTIONING COC. 19 570597				
15	ERIKA MCCARTNEY, in the public interest, ) CIVIL ACTION NO. CGC-18-570587				
16	Plaintiff, (PROPOSED) CONSENT				
17	) JUDGMENT v.				
18	) [Cal. Health & Safety Code				
19	CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY ) Sec. 25249.6, et seq.] a Vermont corporation; and Does 1 through 500 )				
20	inclusive,				
21	Defendants.				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
*					
	9 T 8				

#### 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1.1 This action arises out of the alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5, et seq. (also known as and hereinafter referred to as "Proposition 65") regarding the following products (hereinafter, the "Covered Product"): Lake Champlain Organic Cocoa Powder; Lake Champlain 80% Dark Organic Chocolates; Lake Champlain 72% Dark Organic Chocolates; Lake Champlain 57% Dark Organic Chocolates; and Lake Champlain 54% Dark Organic Chocolates. Cadmium and lead are subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because they are listed as known to the State of California to cause reproductive harm.
- 1.2 Plaintiff Erika McCartney ("MCCARTNEY") is a California resident acting as a private enforcer of Proposition 65. MCCARTNEY has brought this enforcement action in the public interest against Champlain Chocolate Company, d/b/a Lake Champlain Chocolates ("CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY" or "Defendant") concerning cadmium and lead in the Covered Products pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d). MCCARTNEY contends she is dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibilities.
- 1.3. CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY has sold the Covered Products in California during the relevant period.
- 1.4 MCCARTNEY and CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- On or about August 9, 2017, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)(1), MCCARTNEY served a 60-Day Notice of Violations of Proposition 65 ("Notice of Violations") on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY alleging violations of California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 with respect to unwarned exposures of cadmium arising from the sale and use of the Covered Product in California. Defendant acknowledges it received the Notice of Violations.

1.6 After more than sixty (60) days passed since service of the Notice of Violations, and with no designated governmental agency having filed a complaint against CHAMPLAIN CHOCLATE COMPANY with regard to the Covered Product or the Alleged Violations, MCCARTNEY filed the complaint in this matter ("Complaint") in this Court.

- 1.7 CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY generally denies all material and factual allegations contained in or arising from MCCARTNEY's Notice of Violations and the Complaint and asserts that it has various affirmative defenses to the claims asserted therein. CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE CO. further specifically denies that the Plaintiff or California consumers have been harmed or damaged by its conduct or the products it has sold or sells, including the Covered Product.
- 1.8 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation. For purposes of the approval and entry of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.
- Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties (or by any of CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY's officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, or licensees) of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties have or may have in any other or future legal proceeding.
- 1.10 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment, after having been fully executed by all of the Parties, has been approved and entered by the Court.

.23 

27. 

## 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS</u>

- 2.1 As of the Compliance Date (defined below) and except as otherwise provided herein, CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY shall be permanently enjoined from Distributing into California any Covered Product without a warning as set forth in Paragraph 2.2 below. "Distributing into California" or "Distribute into California" means to ship any of the Covered Product to California for sale or to sell any of the Covered Product to a distributor that CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY knows will redistribute or sell the Covered Product in or into California.
- 2.2 Clear and Reasonable Proposition 65 Warnings. For a Covered Product that is subject to Proposition 65 warning requirement based on section 2.1 above, the following warning ("Warning") shall be provided as specified below.

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including cadmium and lead, which are known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to <a href="https://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food</a>.

In lieu of the preceding warning, CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY may use any warning language that complies with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600 et seq., as amended August 30, 2018, and subsequently thereafter.

The warning shall either be affixed to or printed on (at the point of manufacture, prior to the shipment to California, or prior to Distribution within California) the outside packaging or container of each unit of the Covered Product or provided at the point of display of the Covered Product wherever it is offered for sale in California. The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs or devices on the outside packaging or at the point of display in California, as to render it likely to be read and understood by any ordinary individual prior to purchase or use. If the Warning is displayed on the product container or labeling, the Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product container or labeling, and the word "WARNING" shall be in capital letters and in bold print. If presented at the point of display, the Warning shall be presented

on a sign or shelf label in a font no smaller than the largest type size used for other information on the sign or a shelf label for similar products.

The Parties agree that should the OEHHA warning regulations change, that CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY may either conform with the OEHHA regulations or conform with the terms provided in this Consent Judgment, and in so doing, will be in compliance with this Consent Judgment.

The Parties agree that any units of the Covered Product containing cadmium or lead concentration levels below the corresponding effective levels set forth in certain Consent Judgment entered February 15, 2018, by the San Francisco Superior Court in As You Sow v. Trader Joe's Company, et al., Case No. CGC-15-548791, ("As You Sow") shall be exempt from the requirements of this section 2, including the injunction in subsection 2.1. Unless otherwise specified herein, the Parties further agree that the dates of performance for all obligations for any Settling Defendant (as defined) in the As You Sow consent judgment shall apply to the instant Consent Judgment, including, without limitation, that the "Compliance Date" herein shall be February 15, 2019.

### 3. REQUIRED MONETARY PAYMENTS

- 3.1 CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY shall issue the following payments and send them to counsel for MCCARTNEY, Robert B. Hancock, Pacific Justice Center, 50 California Street, Suite 1500, San Francisco, California 94111. The checks shall be payable to the following parties and the payment shall be apportioned as follows:
- \$11,500 as civil penalties pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)(1) to be paid ten (10) business days after entry of the Consent Judgment. Of this amount, \$8,625 shall be payable to OEHHA, \$2,136 shall be payable to MCCARTNEY, and \$739 shall be payable to CancerCare, a qualified 501(c)(3) charitable organization, dedicated to providing financial aid to cancer patients for treatment costs. MCCARTNEY hereby waives any statutory entitlement to penalties in excess of \$2,136. MCCARTNEY's counsel shall promptly forward all checks to the payees indicated.

- 3.3 \$33,500 payable to Robert B. Hancock as reimbursement of MCCARTNEY's attorneys' fees, costs, investigation and litigation expenses ("Attorney's Fees and Costs") to be paid as follows: \$9,380 due ten (10) business days after entry of the Consent Judgment; the remaining \$24,120 to be paid over ten (10) months at \$2,412 per month, beginning the month after the other payments were made.
- 3.4 Any failure to remit any of the foregoing payments results in mutual rescission of the agreement, as though no resolution had been had. In that event, the parties stipulate to vacating the Consent Judgment, and will cooperate in securing an order for the same.

## 4. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by written agreement and stipulation of the Parties and upon the Court's approval.

## 5. OVERSIGHT AND ENFORCEMENT OF TERMS

- 5.1 The Court shall retain jurisdiction to oversee, enforce and/or modify the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 5.2 Any Party may, by means of filing an application for an order to show cause, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such action or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such action or application.

#### 6. <u>APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT</u>

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties and their respective privies, successors, and assigns, and it shall be deemed to inure the benefit of the Parties and their respective privies, successors, and assigns.

## 7. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between MCCARTNEY, on behalf of herself and in the public interest on the one hand, and CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY and its past and present officers, directors, owners, members, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and

downstream entities and persons in the distribution chain of the Covered Product, including, but not limited to Amazon.com, Inc. and Lucky Vitamin Corporation, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), on the other hand, of any and all direct or derivative violations (or claimed violations) of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to cadmium and lead from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Product, and it fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted up to and including the Effective Date for the alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Product regarding cadmium and lead.

- 7.2 MCCARTNEY on her own behalf (and not in her role as a representative of the public interest) further hereby releases and discharges CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY and the Released Parties, from any and all claims and causes of action and obligations to pay damages, restitution, fines, civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties and expenses (including but not limited to expert analysis fees, expert fees, attorneys' fees and costs) (collectively, "Claims") based on exposure to cadmium and lead from the Covered Product and/or failure to warn about cadmium and lead in the Covered Product to the extent that the Covered Product was sold by CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Unless modified pursuant to Section 4 above, compliance with the terms of Section 2.1 and 2.2 of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 regarding the Covered Product.
- 7.4 It is possible that other Claims not known to MCCARTNEY arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices of Violations, the Complaint or the First Amended Complaint will develop or be discovered. MCCARTNEY acknowledges on behalf of herself (and not in the role as representative of the public interest) that the Claims released herein include all known and unknown Claims and waives California Civil Code Section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN

# BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

MCCARTNEY acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of the California Civil Code Section 1542.

on the other hand, each release and waive all Claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notice of Violation, the Complaint, or the allegations contained therein. However, this shall not affect or limit any party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment. In addition, going forward, the Parties shall not cause any aspect of the Action, the Notice of Violations, the Complaint, or the terms of this Consent Judgment not otherwise available in the public record to be reported to the public or any media or news reporting outlet. Any statement to the public or any media or news reporting outlet shall be limited to what is available in the public record and documents publicly filed. Regardless of the form or formality of a communication or statement to the media or other person or entity, neither any Party nor their counsel shall disparage the other. Notwithstanding these obligations, the Parties may make such disclosure regarding the Action and terms of this Consent Judgment as necessary to auditors or as otherwise required by state or federal law.

## 8. <u>CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY</u>

- 8.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the parties prior to its signing, and each party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.
- 8.2 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 8.3 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25 26

28

27

### <u>PRO</u>VISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by (a) first-class, registered, (b) certified mail, (c) overnight courier, or (d) personal delivery to the following:

## For Erika McCartney

PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER

Robert B. Hancock

50 California Street, Suite 1500

San Francisco, California 94111

## For Champlain Chocolate Company, d/b/a Lake Champlain Chocolates

LYNN, LYNN, BLACKMAN & MANITSKY, P.C.

Andrew D. Manitsky

76 St. Paul Street, Suite 400

Burlington, Vermont 05401

#### COURT APPROVAL 10.

- The Parties shall use their reasonable best efforts to support the Court's approval of the Consent Judgment and entry of the Consent Judgment.
- If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and, if possible, prior to the hearing on the Motion for Court Approval.
- 10.3 If, despite the Parties' best efforts, the Court does not approve this settlement and enter a Consent Judgment thereon, the parties shall have the option of (a) proceeding to try and resolve the matter amicably, or (b) determining that the settlement is null and void and of no force or effect, in which event, all payment-related obligations set forth in Section 3 above shall be deemed never to have existed and the Parties may thereafter proceed of their own accord.

## 11. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which, taken together, shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid and the original signature.

## 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party.
- 12.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each party shall bear its own fees and costs.

## 13. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND APPROVAL

13.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject to this action, to make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(f)(4) and approve the settlement and enter this Consent Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED: AM ORDERAY).

	15 50 51H CHAIM	D. MAN CHANGARI	
Dated:	11/12/2018		EARM
Dated:	10-25-18		Erika McCartney  CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATE COMPANY
			d/b/a LAKE CHAMPLAIN CHOCOLATES  By week Lampun  O To 2

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

McCartney v. Champlain Chocolate Company Civil Action CGC-18-570587

1,	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER
. 2		
3	Dated: 11/14/18	By: RB. Hancock
4	* 2.	Robert B. Hancock Attorneys for Plaintiff
.5		Audineys for Flament
6		
7		
8	Dated: 11 9 7218	LYNN, JYNN, BLACKMAN &
9	V. V.	MANITAKY, IC.
10		
11		
12		Ву:
13	· 122	Andrew D. Manitsky Attorneys for Defendant
14		
15	750 ES 10	
16		
17		
18	i Lule	
19	1/4/19	Mhd Blerf
20	DATED	
21		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
22		
23		RICHARD B. ULMER
24	1 79° gg e 1 60	
25		
26	" (b) x	**
27		
28		
. +::	5001	The state of the s