1 2 3 4 5 6	Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352) BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC. 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160 Attorneys for Plaintiff Anthony Ferreiro	FILED Superior Court Of California Changy Of Los Angeles NOV 01 2018 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By Deputy
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY C	<b>FLOS ANGELES</b>
10	GLENDAL	E COURTHOUSE
11 12	ANTHONY FERREIRO,	Case No.: 19GDCV00992
12	Plaintiff,	
13	<b>v.</b>	CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	ARKON RESOURCES, INC.,	Judge: Ralph C. Hofer Dept.: D Hearing Date: November 1, 2019
16	Defendant.	Hearing Date: November 1, 2019 Hearing Time: 8:30 AM Reservation ID: 367252520658
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
25		
26		
3. ; 27 √0% 28		
8	" 	1

## CONSENT JUDGMENT

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.

1

## INTRODUCTION

1.1 **The Parties.** This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Anthony Ferreiro acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Ferreiro") and Arkon Resources, Inc. ("Arkon Resources" or "Defendant"). Ferreiro and Defendant are collectively referred to as "Parties" and each of them as "Party." Ferreiro is an individual residing in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Defendant is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.

1.2 Allegations and Representations. Ferreiro alleges that Defendant has exposed individuals to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) from its sales Arkon Sports Armbands without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65. DEHP is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.

1.3 Notice of Violation/Complaint. On or about August 22, 2018, Ferreiro served Defendant, and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 in the course of doing business by knowingly and intentionally exposing one or more individuals to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual that use Arkon Sports Armbands expose users in California to DEHP.

1.4 Defendant denies these allegations and contends that it did not violate Health & Safety Code §25249.6 and that it is entering into this Consent Judgment as a settlement of a disputed claim to avoid the costs of litigation and to buy its peace.

1.5 No governmental entity person acting on behalf of a governmental official has either asserted the claims set forth in the Notice or has filed an action on such claims.

01/05/20

## CONSENT JUDGMENT

1.6 On February 13, 2019, Ferreiro filed a civil action against Defendant (the "Complaint") in th1 matter.

1.7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, Glendale Courthouse, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint and/or in the Notice.

1.8 Defendant denies the material allegations contained in Ferreiro's Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

2.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

# **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 **Covered Products.** The term "Covered Products" means all sizes of Arkon Sports Armbands and all parts thereof which are manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California.

2.2 **Effective Date.** The term "Effective Date" means the date Notice of Entry of this Consent Judgment served on Defendant.

22 23

3.

## **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS**

3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both Parties, and continuing thereafter, Covered Products that Defendant manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California shall either: (a) be Reformulated Products pursuant to § 3.2, below; or (b) be labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to §§ 3.3 and 3.4, below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a "Reformulated Product" is a

Covered Product that is in compliance with the standard set forth in § 3.2 below. The warning requirement set forth in §§ 3.3 and 3.4 shall not apply to any Reformulated Product.

3.2 **Reformulation Standard.** "Reformulated Products" shall mean Covered Products that contain concentrations less than or equal to 0.1% (1,000 parts per million (ppm)) of DEHP when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or other methodology utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining the phthalate content in a solid substance.

3.3 **Clear and Reasonable Warning**. As of the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both Parties, and continuing thereafter, a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this §§ 3.3 and 3.4 must be provided for all Covered Products that Defendant manufacturers, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California which are not a Reformulated Product. There shall be no obligation for Defendant to provide a warning for Covered Products that enter the stream of commerce prior to the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both Parties. The warning shall consist of either the **Warning** or **Alternative Warning** described in §§ 3.3(a) or (b). respectively:

(a) **Warning**. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:

**MARNING:** This product can expose you to chemicals including di(2ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to <u>www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</u>.

(b) Alternative Warning: Defendant may, but is not required to, use the alternative short-form warning as set forth in this § 3.3(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows:

22 23 **WARNING**: Cancer and Reproductive Harm - <u>www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</u>.

3.4 A Warning or Alternative Warning provided pursuant to § 3.3 must print the word
"WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to
the left of the word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral
triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign or label for the Covered Product does not use
the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller
than the height of the word "WARNING:". The warning shall be affixed to or printed on the

01/08/20

Covered Product's packaging or labeling, or on a placard, shelf tag, sign or electronic device or automatic process, providing that the warning is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. A warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings.

If Defendant sells Covered Products via an Internet website to customers located in California, the warning requirements of this section shall be satisfied if the foregoing warning appears either a warning which complies with the content requirements of § 3(a) which also provides by including either the warning or a clearly marked hyperlink using the word "WARNING" on the product display page, or by otherwise prominently displaying the warning to the purchaser prior to completing the purchase; or in the alternative, if the warning is provided using the short-form warning label content pursuant to 3(b), the warning provided on the website may use the same content. A warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website.

3.5 **Compliance with Warning Regulations.** Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by either adhering to §§ 3.3 and 3.4 of this Consent Judgment or by complying with warning requirements adopted by the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") after the Effective Date.

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

4.

## MONETARY TERMS

4.1 Settlement of Disputed Civil Penalty. Defendant shall pay \$3.500.00 as a settlement of a disputed civil penalty, with seventy-five percent (75%) of these funds remitted to OEHHA and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) to Ferreiro as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d).

4.1.1 Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall issue two (2) separate checks for the civil penalty payment to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$2,625.00; and to

01/06/20

`	•			
••••		$\cdot$		
	1	(b) "Brodsky & Smith, LLC's Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$875.00 on behalf of		
	2	Ferreiro. Payment owed to Ferreiro pursuant to this Section shall be sent by overnight mail to the		
	3	following payment address:		
	4	Evan J. Smith, Esquire		
	5	Brodsky & Smith, LLC Two Bala Plaza, Suite 510		
	6	Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004		
	7	Payment owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) pursuant to this Section shall be sent by overnight		
8		mail directly to OEHHA (Memo Line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following address(es):		
	9	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
	10	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
	11	1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814		
	12	A copy of the check payable to OEHHA shall be sent by United States Mail, overnight mail, or		
13		electronic mail to Brodsky & Smith, LLC at the address set forth above as proof of payment to		
	14	ОЕННА.		
	15	4.2 Attorneys' Fees. Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay		
	16	\$31,500.00 to Brodsky & Smith, LLC ("Brodsky Smith") as complete reimbursement for Ferreiro		
•		attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's		
		attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public		
	19	<sup>19</sup> interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.		
	20	5. <u>RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS</u>		
	21	5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Ferreiro		
	22	acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and Defendant, and upstream		
	23	suppliers, and contract manufacturers, and each of their parents, shareholders, members, directors,		
24		officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions,		
	25	subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and		
01/06/20	26	assigns, ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly		
	27	or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to manufacturers,		
6 22	28			
1940 B <sup>2</sup>		6		

suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members, including but not limited to Walmart, Inc. ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other person or entity, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against Defendant Releasees and/or the Downstream Releasees of the Covered Products ("Proposition 65 Claims"). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Covered Products.

12 In addition to the foregoing, Ferreiro, on behalf of himself, his past and current 5.2 13 agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and not in his representative 14 capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of 15 legal action and releases Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees from any and all manner 16 of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements. 17 promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature 18 whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with 19 respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products 20 manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees. With respect 21 to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Ferreiro hereby specifically waives any and 22 all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the 23 provisions of California Civil Code § 1542 which provides as follows:

> A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

01/05/20

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

## 7 CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.3 Defendant waives any and all claims against Ferreiro, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Ferreiro and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to Covered Products.

6 7

8

9

10

6.

7.

1

2

3

4

5

# **INTEGRATION**

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of 7.1 California without regard to its or any other jurisdiction's choice of law principles. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.

### 8. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided 8.1 pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) firstclass certified mail, return receipt requested; or (ii) a national overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Defendant:

For Ferreiro:

Henry B. LaTorraca Law Office of Henry B. LaTorraca Union Bank Building 400 Oceangate, Suite 700 Long Beach, CA 90802-4306 and

01/06/20

Evan Smith Brodsky & Smith, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

## **COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES**

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, including by PDF, and by 9.1 facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

## COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT 10. <u>APPROVAL</u>

11

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

9.

- 12
- 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ferreiro agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & 10.1 Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4). Defendant agrees it shall not oppose such Motion. Ferreiro agrees that all discovery shall be stayed from the time this Consent Judgment is signed until sixty (60) days after Ferreiro's service of his Notice of Notice of Entry of this Consent Judgment or one-hundred twenty (120) days before trial, whichever date is earlier.

This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved, entered by the 10.2 Court, and Notice of Entry of Judgment is filed and served on Defendant and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer 20 on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within sixty (60) days after filing and service of the Notice of Ruling on Ferreiro's Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment.

If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an 10.3 appellate court, other than by a writ or an appeal by Ferreiro or his attorneys, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

01/06/20

### **MODIFICATION** 11.

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party upon a showing of clear and convincing evidence of a basis for modification based on a manifest injustice, or surprise, inadvertence, mistake, or excusable neglect.

#### 12. **ATTORNEY'S FEES**

12.1 In any action to contest this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

9 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions 12.2 10 pursuant to law.

# 11 12

13

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

00049720.DOCX

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

### 13. **RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the 13.1 Consent Judgment.

### 14. AUTHORIZATION

15 14.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their 16 respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this 17 document and certify that he is fully authorized by the Party he represents to execute the Consent 18 Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly 19 provided herein each Party is to bear its own attorney fees and costs.

> 10 CONSENT JUDGMENT

**AGREED TO:** 

-4/12/1. ~

AGREED TO:

Date:

ARKON RESOURCES, INC.

Paul Brassard

•			
•	1		
	2	On reading the Consent Judgment, and good cause appearing therefor,	
	3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:	
	4	(A) The warning that is required by the settlement complies with Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 – 25249.14.	
	5	(B) The award of attorney's fees is reasonable under California law;	
	6 7	(C) The penalty amount is reasonable based on the criteria set forth in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(2); and	
	8	(D) The [Proposed] Consent Judgment is hereby entered as a Consent Judgment.	
	9		
	10	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
	11	11/1/19 Malph C. Hafer	
	12	Judge of Superior Court	
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
~	26		
0/10	27		
01/08/20	28	11	
		11	

CONSENT JUDGMENT