MAR 0 2 2020

(PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT J

AS TO ADF FOODS (USA) LTD. AND

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By Michelle Hawkins

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,)

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

ADF FOODS (USA) LTD., et al.,

INTRODUCTION

Case No. RG 18-929153

ADF FOODS LTD.

12 13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

v.

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1

1.

22

23 24

25

26

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

- 1 -

Settling Defendants as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned

corporation ("ADF USA") and its parent, ADF Foods Ltd., an Indian corporation ("ADF"). ADF

and ADF USA are referred to herein as "Settling Defendants." CEH and Settling Defendants (the

"Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against

The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health

CONSENT JUDGMENT - ADF FOODS (USA) LTD. - CASE NO. RG 18-929153

("CEH"), a California non-profit corporation, and ADF Foods (USA) Ltd., a Delaware

matter. This Consent Judgment covers the lead content of Truly Indian brand simmer sauces ("Covered Products") that are sold, distributed or offered for sale to consumers in the State of California.

- 1.2 On September 7, 2018 (as to ADF Foods USA) and on July 2, 2019 (as to ADF), CEH provided 60-day Notices of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and to Settling Defendants, alleging that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds ("Lead") contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendants are each a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California.
- 1.4 On November 19, 2018, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming ADF USA as an original defendant in the action. On the Effective Date which will be after the 60-Day Notice as to ADF runs, the operative complaint in this matter is hereby deemed amended to name such entity as a defendant in this matter.
- 1.5 Each Settling Defendant agrees it is jointly and severally liable for any and all liability related to alleged violations of Proposition 65 regarding failure to warn about exposures to Lead in Covered Products, and further agrees that ADF and ADF USA are jointly and severally liable for compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured,

distributed or sold by Settling Defendants.

1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the date of approval of this Consent Judgment by the Court (the "Effective Date"), Settling Defendants shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California that contain a concentration of more than 10 parts per billion ("ppb") Lead by weight (the "Reformulation Level"), such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment with a level of detection of no greater than 50% of the Reformulation Level that meets standard laboratory QA/QC requirements.
- 2.2 Good Faith Commitment to Pursue Further Lead Reduction. Settling
 Defendants shall continue to take, or cause to be taken, good faith and commercially reasonable
 efforts to further reduce the Lead content of the Covered Products to the lowest level currently
 feasible, with a goal of Covered Products having a consistent Lead content of 4 ppb or less. The
 term "lowest level currently feasible" in this Section shall have the same meaning as that in Title
 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 110.110, subdivision (c) (2001). As part of these
 efforts, Settling Defendants shall continue to use good agricultural or manufacturing practices to
 meet this targeted goal, further adjust recipes and formulas that will reduce Lead content in
 Covered Products and attempt to secure Covered Product ingredients such as ginger with lower

Lead content. Within fifteen (15) days of the Effective Date, and annually thereafter for two more years, Settling Defendants shall submit to CEH a written report of the activities they have undertaken to effectuate their good faith commitment under this paragraph. If Settling Defendants have test results demonstrating to CEH's reasonable satisfaction that all of their Covered Products have a consistent Lead content of 4 ppb or less and they provide such documentation to CEH, or if CEH and Settling Defendants otherwise agree in writing, then Settling Defendants need not submit any subsequent annual reports to CEH pursuant to this paragraph.

2.3 Serving Size Modifications. Settling Defendants shall modify the Nutrition Facts Panel on the Covered Products and all other references to the serving size of the Covered Products as set forth in this Section. The suggested serving size shall be 125 grams. Any recommended preparations or recipes on the labels or other printed marketing or promotional materials for Covered Products designed and produced after the Effective Date, or on internet, digital or other non-print marketing or promotional materials for Covered Products that are produced by or on behalf of Settling Defendants after the Effective Date, shall reflect ingredient quantities reasonably consistent with and not more than 125% of the suggested serving size.

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 2.1 by a Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 3, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 3.2.4 if applicable.

3.2 **Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.**

3.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. Settling Defendants represent that the Covered Products currently offered for sale are labelled with a "Best By" date that is 24 months after the month in which such Covered Products are manufactured. Accordingly, in the event that CEH identifies a Covered Product with best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date more than 24 months

after the Effective Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product has a Lead level exceeding the Reformulation Level, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

3.2.2 Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.

- 3.2.2.1 A Notice of Violation issued pursuant to Section 3.2.1 shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 7.2 to receive notices for the Settling Defendant alleged to be violating the Consent Judgment, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the date the Covered Products at issue were purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 3.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.
- 3.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the alleged violation was observed; (b) the location at which the Covered Products were offered for sale; (c) a description of the Covered Products giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and if available information that identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Products and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Products.
- 3.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, the Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation.
- 3.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged violation, including all test

data, if any is available. If the Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation, it shall notify the other party and promptly provide all such data or information to the party.

- 3.2.4 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and the Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, the Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, the Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 3.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 3.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.
- 3.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If the Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.
- 3.2.5.1 The Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold or offered for sale to California consumers, that the Noticed Covered Products are removed from the California market and that the Settling Defendant has sent instructions to any of its stores and/or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California

consumers and to either return all such Noticed Covered Products to the Settling Defendant for destruction, or to directly destroy such Noticed Covered Products. The Settling Defendant shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of the Noticed Covered Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product. Settling Defendant may be excused from the recall obligation described in Section 3.2.5.1 (but not the monetary payments, if any, required by this Section 3) if Settling Defendant produces all test results from the same lot as that of the Noticed Covered Product and:

- A. There a minimum of five test results:
- B. No single test result is more than 125% greater than the applicable Reformulation Level; and
- C. The arithmetic average of all test results is below the applicable Reformulation Level.

3.2.5.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third or fourth Notice of Violation received by the Settling Defendant under Section 3.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then the Settling Defendant shall pay \$10,000 for each Notice of Violation. If the Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 3.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then the Settling Defendant shall pay \$20,000 for each Notice of Violation. If the Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted in the year prior to the date CEH purchased the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the Covered Product that was the subject of the Notice of Violation; and (iii) demonstrates Lead levels below the Reformulation Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by fifty percent (50%).

- 3.2.6 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 3.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and which shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.
- Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any twelve (12) month period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with the Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if the Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that the Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future violations.

4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 **Payments by Settling Defendants.** Settling Defendants shall jointly and severally be liable for the payment of the total sum of \$95,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section 4. These payments shall be made according to the following schedule: (a) \$47,500 on or before ten (10) days after the Effective Date; and (b) \$47,500 on or before December 15, 2019. If any payment set forth in this Section 4 is not made by ADF and ADF USA in the amounts and on the dates specified in Section 4 of the Consent Judgment, ADF and ADF USA shall be jointly and severally liable for any late fees, attorneys' fees or interest recoverable under the Consent Judgment.
- 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount shall be paid in two (2) payments each consisting of four (4) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendants to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendants in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due

1	date set forth in Section 4.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable,
2	together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to
3	Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendants shall be allocated as
4	set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
5	4.2.1 Settling Defendants shall pay \$16,476 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health
6	& Safety Code §25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with
7	Health & Safety Code §25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of
8	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of
9	the civil penalty payment for \$12,357 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with
10	taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This total amount shall be made in two payments of
11	\$6,178.50 each, and delivered as follows:
12	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
13	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
14	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
15	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
16	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics
17	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
18	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814
19	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$4,119 shall be made
20	payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification
21	number 94-3251981. This total amount shall be made in two payments of \$2,059.50 each, and
22	delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
23	4.2.2 Settling Defendants shall pay \$12,354 as an Additional Settlement
24	Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), and California Code of
25	Regulations, Title 11, §3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund
26	and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about lead
27	and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to
28	

2

3

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

reduce exposure to lead and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to lead and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payments pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. The total amount due under this Section shall be made in two payments of \$6,177 each, and delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.3 Settling Defendants shall pay \$66,170 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. The total amount due under this Section shall be made in two payments of \$33,085 each, and delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendants shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

First Payment: Total \$47,500 – Due Ten (10) Days After the Effective Date

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$6,178.50	OEHHA per Section 4.2.1
Center for Environmental Health	Penalty	\$2,059.50	LLG
Center for Environmental Health	ASP	\$6,177	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee & Cost	\$33,085	LLG

Second Payment: Total \$47,500 – Due December 15, 2019

Payee	Type	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$6,178.50	OEHHA per Section 4.2.1
Center for Environmental Health	Penalty	\$2,059.50	LLG
Center for Environmental Health	ASP	\$6,177	LLG

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Lexington Law Group	Fee & Cost	\$33.085	LLG
Dexington Law Group	100 00 0050	Ψ33,003	LLC

5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 6.1 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 4 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendants distribute or sell Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees, including ZB Importing, Inc.; KeHE Distributors, LLC; and SF Markets, LLC ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 4 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective

Date.		
6.3 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under		
Section 4 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants and		
Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendants,		
their Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged		
failure to warn about Lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling		
Defendants after the Effective Date.		
7. PROVISION OF NOTICE		
7.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
Eric S. Somers		
Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com		
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
7.2 When a Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent		
Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
Corrie L. Plant		
Bick Law LLP 520 Newport Center Drive, Suite 750		
Newport Beach, CA 92660		
cplant@bicklawllp.com		
Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent		
by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.		
8. COURT APPROVAL		
8.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and		
Settling Defendants, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a		
Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support approval of		
such Motion.		
- 12 -		

ON RECYCLED PAPER

8.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

10. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 10.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§2016.010, et seq.
- 10.2 Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party

4			
1	hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise,		
2	shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically		
3	contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the		
4	Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation,		
5	modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in		
6	writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent		
7	Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof		
8	whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.		
9	12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION		
10	12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the		
11	Consent Judgment.		
12	13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT		
13	13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized		
14	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and		
15	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.		
16	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS		
17	14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim		
18	against an entity that is not a Settling Defendant on terms that are different from those contained		
19	in this Consent Judgment.		
20	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
21	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
22	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
23	constitute one document.		
24			
25			
26			
27			

1 2	AND DECREED	
3 4 5	Dated: MAR 0 2 2020	Judge of the Superior Court
6 7		
9	Dated: 7/45, 2019	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
10		
11		Signature Signature
12		Michael Green
14		Printed Name
15		CEO
16		Title
17		
18	Dated:, 2019	ADF FOODS (USA) LTD.
19 20		
20		
22		Signature
23		
24		Printed Name
25	·	m' d
26		Title
27 28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT HIDCMENT	- 15 - ADF FOODS - CASE NO. RG 18-929153
	COMBENT OUDGINE, 11 -	TOTE TOODS - CASE NO. KG 10-12123

1	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	
2		
3	- Tiener	
4	Dated:	Judge of the Superior Court
5	é ·	
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
7	II IS SO SIII CEATED.	
8	Dated:, 2019	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
10		
11		
12		Signature
13		
14		Printed Name
15		
16		Title
17	,	Title
18		
19	Dated: <u>July 29</u> , 2019	ADF FOODS (USA) LTD.
20		$M_{\bullet} = M_{\bullet}$
21		
22		Signature
		BIMAL THAKKAR
23		Printed Name
24		
25		MANAGING DIRECTOR
26		,
27		
DOCUMENT PREPARED		- 15 -
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT –	ADF FOODS – CASE NO. RG 18-929153

1	Dated: JULY 29, 2019	ADF FOODS LTD.
2 3		malaha
4		Signature
5	4	
6		SHALAKA OVALEKAR Printed Name
7		Timed Tume
8		COMPANY SECRETARY Title
10		
11		
12		* .
13		
14		,
15		
16		
17		
18		Part of C
19		
20	- POWN	
21 22		18 XX
23		
24		
25	gram of the Commercial	
26		
27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED		- 16 -
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – A	ADF FOODS – CASE NO. RG 18-929153