Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 THE CHANLER GROUP 2550 Ninth Street, Suite 205 2 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 3 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 4 Email: clifford@chanler.com 5 Kimberly Gates Johnson, State Bar No. 282369 **GATES JOHNSON LAW** 6 2822 Moraga Street San Francisco County Superior Court San Francisco, CA 94122 7 Telephone: (629) 219-7750 DEC 0 5 2019 Email: kimberly@gatesjohnson.com CLERK OF THE COURT Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 LAURÉNCE VINOCUR Deputy Clerk 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 13 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 14 15 16 LAURENCE VINOCUR, Case No. CGC-19-572935 nk 17 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 18 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT 19 COLUMBIA TWO, INC.; et al., JUDGMENT. 20 Defendants. Date: December 5, 2019 Time: 9:30 am Dept.: 302 21 Judge: Hon. Ethan P. Schulman HAROLD KAHN 22 Reservation No.: 010161205-10 23 24 25 26 27 28

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

In the above entitled action, Plaintiff Laurence Vinocur and Defendant Columbia Two, Inc., having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a Consent Judgment, and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving their Proposition 65 Settlement and Consent Judgment, and for good cause being shown,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, Judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

II IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/5/19

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

HAROLD KAHN

# **EXHIBIT A**

1 2 3 4	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 THE CHANLER GROUP 2550 Ninth Street, Suite 205 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 clifford@chanler.com		
5	Kimberly Gates Johnson, State Bar No. 282369		
6	GATES JOHNSON LAW 2822 Moraga Street		
7	San Francisco, CA 94122 Telephone: (628) 219-7750		
8	kimberly@gatesjohnson.com		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff LAURENCE VINOCUR		
10			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
13	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
14			
15			
	II AIIDENI'E VINOTID	Case No. CGC-10-572035	
16	LAURENCE VINOCUR,	Case No. CGC-19-572935	
16 17	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
	Plaintiff,		
17	Plaintiff, v. COLUMBIA TWO, INC.; et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
17 18	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
17 18 19	Plaintiff, v. COLUMBIA TWO, INC.; et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
17 18 19 20	Plaintiff, v. COLUMBIA TWO, INC.; et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v. COLUMBIA TWO, INC.; et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v. COLUMBIA TWO, INC.; et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v. COLUMBIA TWO, INC.; et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v. COLUMBIA TWO, INC.; et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v. COLUMBIA TWO, INC.; et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, v. COLUMBIA TWO, INC.; et al.,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	

CONSENT JUDGMENT

#### 1.1 Parties

INTRODUCTION

3

1

2

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Laurence Vinocur ("Vinocur") and defendant Columbia Two, Inc. ("Columbia Two"), with Vinocur and Columbia Two each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

5

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

7

Vinocur is a resident of the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals, and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating harmful substances contained in consumer products.

9

#### 1.3 Defendant

10 11

Columbia Two employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and

13

12

## 1.4 General Allegations

Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. (Proposition 65).

14 15

Vinocur alleges that Columbia Two manufactures, imports, sells and/or distributes for sale in

16

California portfolio cases with vinyl components containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP), and

17

that it does so without providing the health hazard warning Vinocur alleges is required by

18

Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of

19

California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

20

#### 1.5 Product Description

21 22

that are manufactured, imported, sold or offered for sale in California by Columbia Two, including,

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are portfolio cases with vinyl components

23

but not limited to, the Tran by Columbia Two, Inc. Portfolio Marker Case, 1381T-PMC, #71658

24

00809 and the Pencil Case, 1380T-PPC (hereinafter, collectively, the "Products").

25

#### 1.6 Notice of Violation

26

On September 26, 2018, Vinocur served Columbia Two, the California Attorney General, and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"), alleging

27

Columbia Two violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in

28

Į

б

California that the Products expose users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and/or is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On January 17, 2019, Vinocur commenced the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Columbia Two as one of the defendants for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice.

#### 1.8 No Admission

Columbia Two denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products that it has sold or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as, an admission by Columbia Two of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Columbia Two's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate this Court has jurisdiction over Columbia Two as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court, including an unopposed tentative ruling.

#### 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION OR WARNINGS</u>

#### 2.1 Commitment to Reformulate or Provide Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, Columbia Two shall only manufacture for sale, import for sale, sell, distribute for sale or otherwise offer for sale, in California,

Products that are either: (a) reformulated to meet the standard as defined in Section 2.2, below; or (b) Products bearing a clear and reasonable health hazard warning, pursuant to Sections 2.3 through 2.5.

#### 2.2 Reformulation Standard

"Reformulated Products" are Products containing DEHP in concentrations of less than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) in each accessible component (i.e. any component that may be touched or handled during a reasonably foreseeable use) when analyzed by a laboratory accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, or a nationally recognized accrediting organization. For purposes of compliance with this reformulation standard, testing samples shall be prepared and extracted using Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) methodology CPSC-CH-C1001.09.3 and analyzed using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) methodology 8270D, or other methodologies utilized by federal or state government agencies to determine phthalate content in a solid substance.

#### 2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Commencing on or before the Effective Date, for all Product that are not Reformulated Products and that are to be sold or offered for sale in California, Columbia Two shall provide clear and reasonable health hazard warnings for all Products, in accordance with this Section and/or Title 27, California Code of Regulations, § 25600, et seq., as amended from time to time. Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use and shall be provided in a manner such that it is clearly associated with the specific Product to which the warning applies. Columbia Two shall affix a warning to the Product label or otherwise directly on each Product provided for sale to consumers or customers located in California. For the purpose of this Consent Judgment, "Product label" means a display of written, printed or graphic material that is printed on or affixed to a Product or its immediate container or wrapper. The entire warning shall appear in a type size of at least 6-point type and in no event smaller than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the product. Such warning will consist of one of the following:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

**MARNING:** This product can expose you to DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

**WARNING:** Reproductive Harm – www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

If Columbia Two opts to utilize the second option warning, or the "short-form" warning, they agree to comply with the additional requirements of such use, pursuant to and as detailed in the following sections.

#### 2.4 Internet and Mail Order Catalog Warnings

If, after the Effective Date, Columbia Two sells Products via the internet to customers or consumers located in California, Columbia Two agrees to provide warnings for each Product both on the Product label, in accordance with Section 2.3, supra, and by prominently displaying the warning to the customer prior to or during the purchase process for Products, such that the customer does not have to seek out the warning. Warnings given in conjunction with the sale of the Products via the internet shall appear either: (a) on the same web page on which the Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the Product; or (c) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The warning shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display or description of the Product for which it is given in the same type size or larger than the Product description text. Columbia Two may also comply with this section by providing the warning using a clearly marked hyperlink that includes the word "WARNING" on the same web page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product.

In the event that, after the Effective Date, Columbia Two prints new catalogs and sells Products via mail order through such catalogs to customers located in California, Columbia Two agrees to provide a warning for each Product both on the Product label, in accordance with Section 2.3, supra, and in the catalog in a manner that clearly associates the warning with the specific Product being purchased. Such warning shall be in the same type size or larger than other consumer information provided for the Product within the catalog and shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product.

Columbia Two may utilize the short form warning for Products sold via catalogues or through the internet, provided the warning on the Product label also consists of the short-form warning.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

#### 3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and specifically taking into consideration all of the factors identified in Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(2), and in settlement of all claims referred to in the Notice, Complaint, and this Consent Judgment, Columbia Two agrees to pay \$2,000.00 in total civil penalties. Columbia Two's civil penalty payment will be allocated according to Health and Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty payment retained by Vinocur. Columbia Two shall issue its payment in two checks made payable to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500.00; and (b) "Laurence Vinocur, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$500.00. Vinocur's counsel shall be responsible for delivering OEHHA's portion of the penalty payment.

#### 3.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Vinocur and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on their fees and costs. Shortly after the Parties finalized the other settlement terms, they negotiated the compensation to be paid to Vinocur and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine, codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment and court approval of the same, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, if any. Columbia Two agrees to pay \$17,500.00 by a check made payable to "The Chanler Group" for all fees and costs incurred in investigating, bringing this matter to Columbia Two's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

#### 3.3 Payment Timing; Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be held in trust until the Court approves the Parties' settlement. Columbia Two shall deliver its civil penalty and attorneys' fee

reimbursement payments to its counsel, Ambrose Law Group LLC, within fifteen (15) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties. Columbia Two's counsel shall provide Vinocur's counsel with written confirmation following its receipt of the settlement funds. Thereafter, Columbia Two's counsel shall hold the settlement funds in trust until the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment contemplated by Section 5 and shall disburse the funds to Vinocur's counsel within five (5) days after the Effective Date.

#### 3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2550 Ninth Street, Suite 205 Berkeley, CA 94710

#### 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

#### 4.1 Vinocur's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Vinocur, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Columbia Two and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys (Releasees) and each entity to whom Columbia Two directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees (Downstream Releasees) for any violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from the Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by Columbia Two prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by Columbia Two with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DEHP from Products manufactured, sold or distributed for sale by Columbia Two after the Effective Date.

#### 4.2 Vinocur's Individual Release of Claims

Vinocur, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Columbia Two, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs,

expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Vinocur of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by Columbia Two before the Effective Date. Nothing in Section 4 affects Vinocur's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against a Releasee or Downstream Releasee that does not involve Columbia Two's Products.

#### 4.3 Columbia Two's Release of Vinocur

Columbia Two, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against Vinocur and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Vinocur and his attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating claims with respect to the Products, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

#### 5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. Vinocur and Columbia Two agree to support the entry of this agreement as a judgment, and to obtain the Court's approval of their settlement in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4), a noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion Vinocur shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as a judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may file or lodge, and appearing at the hearing before the Court if so requested.

#### 6. SEVERABILITY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

#### 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Columbia Two may provide Vinocur with written notice of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Columbia Two from its obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

#### 8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery, (ii) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to any Party by the other at the following addresses:

To Vinocur:

Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

To Columbia Two:

Bang Van Tran, President
Columbia Two, Inc.

1515 East Burnside Street

Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator
The Chanler Group
2550 Ninth Street, Suite 205

Portland, OR 97214

With a Copy To:

Christopher R. Ambrose, Esq. Ambrose Law Group LLC 312 NW 10<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 200 Portland, OR 97209

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

i ornand, Ok 772

24

27

26

#### 9. <u>COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original and, all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

#### 10. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Vinocur and his counsel agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

#### 11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto.

#### 12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion of any party and the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon.

onsent Judgment.	
4 - 17 - 17 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18	
GREED TO:	AGREED TO:
GREED IO.	AGREED 10.
ate: 10/7/19	Date: 10-2-2019
$\mathcal{O}(r)$	V
y: Lanere -	By:
LAURENCE VINOCUR	BANG VAN TRAN, PRESIDENT COLUMBIA TWO, INC.
	COLUMBIA I VO, INC.
	inertical temperatura. La comita de temperatura de la comita de la comita de la comita de la comita de la comi La comita de la com

CONSENT JUDGMENT