

Evan Smith (Bar No. SBN 242352) 1 BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC. 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 2 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel: (877) 534-2590 FILED 3 Fax: (310) 247-0160 ALAMEDA COUNTY 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff MAY 14 2020 5 CLERK, OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 11 Case No.: RG19014323 EMA BELL, GABRIEL ESPINOZA 12 CONSENT JUDGMENT Plaintiffs, 13 Judge: Dennis Hayashi VS. Dept.: 518 Hearing Date: May 13, 2020 14 ARGENTO SC BY SICURA, INC., ROSS Hearing Time: 2:30 PM STORES, INC., 15 Reservation #: R-2147781 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1.5

1:7

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 **The Parties.** This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Ema Bell ("Bell") and Gabriel Espinoza ("Espinoza"), each acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Plaintiffs"), and Argento SC By Sicura, Inc. ("Argento" or "Defendant") with Plaintiffs and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Plaintiffs are individuals who reside in California and who seek to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Argento is alleged to be a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- Allegations and Representations. Plaintiffs allege that Defendant has exposed individuals to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) from its sales of both (i) Firestone steering wheel covers; and (ii) TKO jump ropes without providing a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to Proposition 65.
- Notices of Violation/Complaint. On or about January 31, 2019, Plaintiff Bell served Argento, and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that use of Firestone steering wheel covers expose users in California to DEHP. On or about August 22, 2018, Plaintiff Espinoza served Technical Knockout, Inc., and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) alleging that Defendant violated Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that use of TKO jump ropes expose users in California to DEHP. Argento was subsequently identified as the manufacturer/supplier of the TKO jump ropes and entered into negotiations with Plaintiffs to resolve Plaintiffs' claims concerning the Products in the Notices. The aforementioned notices are collectively referred to as the "Notices." No public enforcer has brought and is diligently prosecuting the claims alleged in the Notices. On April 9, 2019, Bell filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the matter pertaining to DEHP exposure from use of Firestone steering wheel

covers. On November 15, 2019, the Complaint was amended in order to add Espinoza's allegations pertaining to DEHP exposure from use of TKO jump ropes that are also manufactured, distributed, offered for sale and/or sold by Defendant (the "Amended Complaint"). The Complaint and Amended Complaint are collectively referred to herein as, the "Action."

- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Action filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Action based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Notices.
- 1.5 Defendant denies the material allegations contained in the Notices and Action and maintains that, to the best of its knowledge, all products that are or have been sold and distributed in California, including the Covered Products (as defined below), have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment. Notwithstanding the allegations in the Notice or Action, Defendant maintains that it has not knowingly manufactured, or caused to be manufactured, the Covered Products for sale in California in violation of Proposition 65.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 **Covered Products.** The term "Covered Products" means steering wheel covers, including the Firestone steering wheel covers, and jump ropes, including the TKO jump ropes, that are manufactured, distributed and/or offered for sale in California by Argento.
- 2.2 **Effective Date.** The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS

- Reformulation of Covered Products or Provide Warning. As of the date this Consent Judgment is signed by the Parties, and continuing thereafter, Covered Products that Argento directly manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California shall either: (a) be Reformulated Products pursuant to § 3.2, below; or (b) be labeled with a clear and reasonable exposure warning pursuant to §§ 3.3 and 3.4, below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a "Reformulated Product" is a Covered Product that is in compliance with the standard set forth in § 3.2 below. The warning requirement set forth in §§ 3.3 and 3.4 shall not apply to any Reformulated Product.
- 3.2 **Reformulation Standard.** "Reformulated Products" shall mean Covered Products that contain concentrations less than or equal to 0.1% (1,000 parts per million (ppm)) of DEHP when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or other methodology utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining the phthalate content in a solid substance.
- 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warning. As of the date this Consent Judgment is signed by the Parties, and continuing thereafter, a clear and reasonable exposure warning as set forth in this §§ 3.3 and 3.4 must be provided for all Covered Products that Defendant manufacturers, imports, distributes, sells, or offers for sale in California that is not a Reformulated Product. There shall be no obligation for Defendant to provide a warning for Covered Products that enter the stream of commerce prior to the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both Parties. The warning shall consist of either the Warning or Alternative Warning described in §§ 3.3(a) or (b), respectively:
 - (a) **Warning**. The "Warning" shall consist of the statement:
 - ⚠ WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.
- (b) Alternative Warning: Argento may, but is not required to, use the alternative short-form warning as set forth in this § 3.3(b) ("Alternative Warning") as follows:
 - **⚠ WARNING**: Cancer and Reproductive Harm www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

"WARNING:" in all capital letters and in bold font, followed by a colon. The warning symbol to the left of the word "WARNING:" must be a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a black outline, except that if the sign or label for the Covered Product does not use the color yellow, the symbol may be in black and white. The symbol must be in a size no smaller than the height of the word "WARNING:". The warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging or labeling, or on a placard, shelf tag, sign or electronic device or automatic process, providing that the warning is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. A warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings.

If Argento sells Covered Products via an internet website to customers located in California, the warning requirements of this section shall be satisfied if the foregoing warning appears either:

(a) on the same web page on which a Covered Product is displayed and/or described; (b) on the same page as the price for the Covered Product; or (c) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser prior to purchase during the checkout process. Alternatively, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow or white equilateral triangle may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, price, or checkout listing of the Covered Product, if the warning statement appears elsewhere on the same web page in a manner that clearly associates it with the product(s) to which the warning applies.3 Under no circumstance shall Argento be responsible or liable for placing the Warning or Alternative Warning for Covered Products on any third party's website.

3.5 Compliance with Warning Regulations. Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Consent Judgment by either adhering to §§ 3.3 and 3.4 of this Consent

27

28

4.2 **Attorneys' Fees.** Within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, Argento shall pay \$23,000.00 to Brodsky & Smith, LLC ("Brodsky Smith") as complete reimbursement for Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Argento's attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Plaintiffs each acting on his or her own behalf, and on behalf of the public interest, and Argento, and its parents, shareholders, members, directors, officers, managers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their predecessors, successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to TKO Strength & Performance Inc., Ross Stores, Inc., manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members ("Downstream Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as set forth in the Notices, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Argento prior to the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no other person or entity, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in the Action, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notices against Argento and/or Defendant Releasees and/or the Downstream Releasees of the Covered Products ("Proposition 65 Claims"). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Covered Products.
- 5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Plaintiffs, each on behalf of themselves, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and <u>not</u> in their representative capacity, hereby waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly,

any form of legal action and releases Argento, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 manufactured, distributed, or sold by Argento, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Plaintiffs hereby specifically waive any and all rights and benefits which they now have, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

5.3 Argento waives any and all claims against Plaintiffs, their attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Plaintiffs and their attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to Covered Products.

6. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then

1	Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, an		
2	to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.		
3	8. <u>NOTICES</u>		
4	8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided		
5	pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first		
6	class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party		
7	by the other party at the following addresses:		
8	For Defendant:		
9	Steven I. Appelbaum		
10	OVED & OVED LLP 401 Greenwich Street		
11	New York, NY 10013		
12	And		
13	For Plaintiffs:		
14	Evan Smith Brodsky & Smith, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212		
15			
16	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to		
17	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.		
18	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u> ; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES		
19	9.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of		
20	which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and		
21	the same document.		
22	10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT		
23	APPROVAL		
24	10.1 Plaintiffs agree to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health &		
25	Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment.		
26	Defendant agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.		
27			

	10.2	This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the
Court	and shal	l be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court. In such case, the
Partie	s agree to	o meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30
days,	the case	shall proceed on its normal course.

10.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

11. MODIFICATION

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.

12. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 12.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- 12.2 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

13. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

14.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

1 2 3 4 5	Date: 12 30 2017 By: GARDEL ESPINOZA	Date: 110 2000		
7	AGREED TO:			
9	Date: 12/23/2019			
10	By ARGENTO SO BY SICURA, INC.			
12	TOTAL STORA, INC.			
13 14	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:			
15	Dated: 5/14/20	Dall		
16	3/ 1/20	Judge of Superior Court		
17 18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24 25				
26				