ALAMEDA COUNTY

Charles W. Poss (SBN 325366) Environmental Research Center, Inc. 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

Telephone:

(619) 500-3090

Facsimile:

(706) 858-0326

Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Resaerch Center, Inc.

Sarah Esmaili SBN 206053

Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP

Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

Telephone:

(415) 471-3283

Facsimile:

(415) 471-3400

Email: sarah.esmaili@apks.com

Attorney for Defendant American Botanical Pharmacy, individually and dba Dr. Schulze's

12

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a California non-profit corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

AMERICAN BOTANICAL PHARMACY. individually and dba DR. SCHULZE'S and **DOES 1-100**

Defendants.

CASE NO. RG19020847

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.

Action Filed: May 29, 2019

Trial Date: None set

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 On May 29, 2019, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a nonprofit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against American Botanical Pharmacy, individually and dba Dr. Schulze's ("ABP") and Does 1-

Page 1 of 20

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

2

7 8 9

1

10 11

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

.27

100. In this action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed, or sold by ABP contain lead and/or cadmium, chemicals listed under Proposition 65 as carcinogens and reproductive toxins, and expose consumers to these chemicals at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are: (1) Dr. Schulze's Original Clinical Formulae SuperMeal Meal Replacement (lead, cadmium), (2) Dr. Schulze's Original Clinical Formulae Male "SHOT" (lead), (3) Dr. Schulze's SuperFood Bar Original Flavor (lead), (4) Dr. Schulze's Original Clinical Formulae Organic Super Protein Plus (lead, cadmium), (5) Dr. Schulze's Organic Cacao Crunch The Ultimate Decadent Nutritional Energy Snack Treats (lead), and (6) Dr. Schulze's Original Clinical Formulae Cold & Flu Herbal "Shot" (lead).

- 1.2 ERC and ABP are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that ABP is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. ABP manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated March 8, 2019 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and ABP ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated March 8, 2019 is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and ABP and no designated governmental entity has filed a Complaint against ABP with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead and/or cadmium without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. ABP denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and denies that the Covered Products require warnings under Proposition 65.

- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 A Covered Product for which only lead is identified in the Notice is not required to comply with any injunctive terms relating to cadmium in Section 3.
- 1.10 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over ABP as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

- 3.1 On and after the date that is two (2) months after the Effective Date (the "Compliance Date"), any Covered Products that ABP manufactures, or that are manufactured for or on behalf of ABP, that are thereafter sold in the State of California or "Distributed into the State of California" shall either qualify as a Conforming Covered Product under Section 3.3 or comply with the warning requirements under Section 3.2
- 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributed (or Distributing) into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that ABP knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.
- shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day, excluding, pursuant to Section 3.3.3, the amount of lead in the ingredients listed in **Table 1** below, if applicable. If the label contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.
- 3.1.3 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of cadmium per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of recommended daily servings appearing on the label), which equals micrograms of cadmium exposure per day. If the label contains no recommended daily servings, then the number of recommended daily servings shall be one.

Page 4 of 20

1.6

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

3.2.1 Language

If ABP is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized ("Warning"):

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including [lead] [and] [cadmium] which is [are] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

ABP shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if ABP has reason to believe that the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if ABP has reason to believe that another Proposition 65 chemical is present which may require a cancer warning. As identified in the brackets, the Warning shall appropriately identify at least one listed chemical (either lead or cadmium) that is present in each of the Covered Products. If a Covered Product contains both lead and cadmium, the Warning may identify lead, cadmium, or both, at ABP's discretion. Where the Warning is being provided for more than one endpoint (cancer and reproductive toxicity) the Warning must include the name of one or more chemicals for each endpoint, unless the named chemical is listed as known to cause both cancer and reproductive toxicity and has been so identified in the Warning.

3.2.2 Methods

(a) In-Store Sales

For Covered Products sold in retail stores in California, the Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of each Covered Product. If the Warning is provided on the label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a box. The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on the label or container of ABP's product packaging.

Alternatively, for Covered Products sold in retail stores in California, the Warning may be provided through a posted sign, shelf tag, or shelf sign. At ABP's option, ABP may follow the procedure set out in 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25600.2 (effective August 30, 2018) by providing a

written notice directly to the authorized agent for a retail seller or any other person or entity that may be designated to receive written notices in an amendment to 27 Cal. Code Regs. §25600.2(b).

(b) Website Sales

If the Covered Products are offered by ABP on a website for purchase by consumers in California, the Warning must also be provided by including either the Warning, or a clearly marked hyperlink that links directly to the Warning using the word "WARNING" (in all capital and bold letters), on the product display page or on the checkout page prior to the purchaser's completing the purchase. Alternatively, the Warning can be provided on the invoice or on a slip sheet placed in the boxes of Covered Products shipped to California. If ABP uses a slip sheet with the Warning, ABP shall provide one slip sheet with the Warning for each Covered Product in a box or one slip sheet with the Warning that lists all the Covered Products in the box. The Covered Products may be returned by the consumer for a refund within 30 days of the invoice date at no cost to the consumer if the consumer references the Warning as a reason for the return. The slip sheet with the Warning must be at least five (5) inches x seven (7) inches in size.

(c) Catalog Sales

If the Covered Products are offered by ABP in a catalog for purchase by consumers in California, the Warning must also be provided in the catalog in a manner that clearly associates it with the item (the Covered Product) being purchased. Alternatively, the Warning can be provided on the invoice or on a slip sheet placed in the boxes of Covered Products shipped to California. If ABP uses a slip sheet with the Warning, ABP shall provide one slip sheet with the Warning for each Covered Product in a box or one slip sheet with the Warning that lists all the Covered Products in the box. The Covered Products may be returned by the consumer for a refund within 30 days of the invoice date at no cost to the consumer if the consumer references the Warning as a reason for the return. The slip sheet with the Warning must be at least five (5) inches x seven (7) inches in size.

3.2.3 The word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of the Warning on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning. Further, no statements may accompany the Warning

that state or imply that the source of the listed chemical has an impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the listed chemical.

The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label or container, or in the catalog or on the website, if applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

3.3 Conforming Covered Products

A Conforming Covered Product is a Covered Product for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day after subtracting the amount of lead deemed to be "naturally occurring" for each ingredient listed in **Table 1**, pursuant to the method outlined below, and for which the "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level" is no more than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium per day.

- 3.3.1 The amount of lead deemed "naturally occurring" in each of the Conforming Covered Products is the sum of the amounts of "naturally occurring" lead supplied by each ingredient listed in Table 1 that is present in each Conforming Covered Product. For each ingredient listed in Table 1, the amount of lead deemed "naturally occurring" is listed in Table 1 in micrograms of "naturally occurring" lead per gram of the ingredient that is contained in the Conforming Covered Product.
- 3.3.2 To subtract the "naturally occurring" lead in any Covered Product for purposes of determining the Daily Lead Exposure Level and whether the Covered Product qualifies as a Conforming Covered Product under this Consent Judgment, as provided in Section 3.3.1, ABP shall provide to ERC, within thirty (30) days after the first anniversary of the Compliance Date, the documentation required under Section 3.3.3(a) and (b). No deduction for "naturally occurring" lead shall be permitted until after ABP provides to ERC the documentation required under Section 3.3.3(a) and (b) as indicated in the preceding sentence. Thereafter, for three (3) additional consecutive anniversaries after the Compliance Date, if ABP deducts "naturally occurring" lead in calculating the Daily Lead Exposure Level, ABP shall provide to ERC, within thirty (30) days after

10

15

20

22

28

each such anniversary date, the documentation required under Section 3.3.3(a) and (b) for each such applicable twelve-month period preceding the applicable anniversary of the Compliance Date.

3.3.3 In calculating the Daily Lead Exposure Level for a Covered Product, ABP shall be allowed to deduct the amount of lead which is deemed "naturally occurring" in the ingredients listed in Table 1 that are contained in that Covered Product under the following conditions: For each year that ABP claims entitlement to a "naturally occurring" allowance, ABP shall provide ERC with the following information: (a) ABP must produce to ERC a written list of each ingredient in the Covered Product for which a "naturally occurring" allowance is claimed; (b) ABP must provide ERC with documentation of laboratory testing, conducted during the year for which the "naturally occurring" allowance is claimed, that complies with Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4 and that shows the amount of lead, if any, contained in each ingredient listed in Table 1 that is contained in the Covered Product; (c) If the laboratory testing reveals the presence of lead in any of the ingredients listed in Table 1 that are contained in the Covered Product, ABP shall be entitled to deduct the amount of lead contained in each ingredient, up to the full amount of the allowance for each ingredient as specified in Table 1; and (d) If the Covered Product does not contain any of the ingredients listed in Table 1, ABP shall not be entitled to a deduction for "naturally occurring" lead in the Covered Product for those ingredients. The information required by Sections 3.3.3(a) and (b) shall be provided to ERC within thirty (30) days of the Compliance Date, or anniversary thereof, for any year that ABP shall claim entitlement to the "naturally occurring" allowance:

Table 1

Ingredient	Amount of lead (Pb) per gram of ingredient deemed naturally occurring
Calcium (elemental)	.Up to 0.8 mcg Pb per gram of elemental calcium
Ferrous Fumarate	Up to 0.4 mcg Pb per gram of ferrous fumarate
Zinc Oxide	Up to 8.0 mcg Pb per gram of zinc oxide
Magnesium Oxide	Up to 0.4 mcg Pb per gram of magnesium oxide
Magnesium Carbonate	Up to 0.332 mcg Pb per gram of magnesium carbonate
Magnesium Hydroxide	Up to 0.4 mcg Pb per gram of magnesium hydroxide

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

Ingredient	Amount of lead (Pb) per gram of ingredient deemed naturally occurring	
Zinc Gluconate	Up to 0.8 mcg Pb per gram of zinc gluconate	
Potassium Chloride	Up to 1.1 mcg Pb per gram of potassium chloride	
Cocoa powder	Up to 1.0 mcg Pb per gram of cocoa powder	
Cocoa Liquor	Up to 1.0 mcg Pb per gram of cocoa liquor	
Cócoa Butter	Up to 0.1 mcg Pb per grain of cocoa butter	

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

3.4.1 Beginning within one (1) year after the Compliance Date, and at least once a year for a minimum of three consecutive years thereafter, ABP shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products by arranging for testing of five randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which ABP intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the three-year testing period, ABP, or its manufacturer, changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or changes the formulation of the Covered Products with respect to (a) any ingredient listed in Table 1 and/or (b) any ingredient that contributes more than 0.1 mcg/day of lead in the finished product (as calculated by the formula set forth in Section 3.1.2), ABP shall test that Covered Product annually for at least three (3) consecutive years after such change is made. Nothing in Section 3.4.1 shall be construed to add, change or increase any "naturally occurring" allowance as provided in Section 3.3 or impact, reduce or diminish any requirement for Warning pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment

3.4.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" and/or "Daily Cadmium Exposure Level," the arithmetic mean of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.

- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg.
- 3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- 3.4.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit ABP's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- 3.4.6 Within thirty (30) days of ERC's written request, ABP shall deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. ABP shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.
- 3.4.7 The testing requirements of Section 3.4.1 do not apply to any Covered Product for which ABP has provided the Warning specified in Section 3.2 continuously and uninterrupted after the Compliance Date; however, in the event ABP ceases at any time to provide the Warning specified in Section 3.2, ABP shall be required to comply with the testing requirements of Section 3.4.1 beginning immediately after the date the Warning ceases to be provided or one year after the Compliance Date, whichever date is later.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney's fees, and costs, ABP shall make a total payment of \$85,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC within 5 days of the Effective Date ("Due Date"). ABP shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's account, for which ERC will give ABP the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:

- 4.2 \$35,493.26 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$26,619.94) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$8,873.32) of the civil penalty.
- 4.3 \$3,140.77 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4.4 \$26,619.91 shall be distributed to ERC as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. ERC will utilize the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as allegedly caused by Defendant in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support ERC's overarching goal of reducing and/or eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary supplement products in California. ERC's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction and/or elimination of exposure to lead and/or cadmium in dietary supplements and/or by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products.

Based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the following list of activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and testing dietary supplement products that may contain lead and/or cadmium and are sold to California consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead and/or cadmium. This work also includes investigation of new companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery through settlement or judgment; (2) VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (10-20%): maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from companies, developing and maintaining a case file, testing products from these companies, providing the test results and supporting

documentation to the companies, and offering guidance in warning or implementing a self-testing program for lead and/or cadmium in dietary supplement products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up to 5%): maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?" Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated products that reach California consumers by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary supplement products (Products submitted to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

- 4.5 \$19,746.06 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.
- 4.6 In the event that ABP fails to remit the Total Settlement Amount owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the Due Date, ABP shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to ABP via electronic mail. If ABP fails to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, ABP agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment or (ii) by

motion of either Party pursuant to Section 5.3 or 5.4 and upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.

- 5.2 If ABP seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then ABP must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to ABP within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies ABP in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to ABP a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.
- 5.3 In the event that ABP initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application for a modification of the Consent Judgment, ABP shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.
- 5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In any such contested court proceeding, ERC may seek costs and any attorney's fees incurred in opposing the motion pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Conforming Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform ABP in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit ABP to identify the Covered Products at issue. ABP shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice,

provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating ABP's compliance with the Consent Judgment. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which is not used by California consumers.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

behalf of itself and in the public interest, and ABP and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of ABP), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees (including but not limited to attorneys' fees, investigation fees, and expert fees), costs, and expenses (collectively, "Claims") asserted, or that could have been asserted, from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings regarding lead and/or cadmium for the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by ABP before the Compliance Date.

///

8.2 ERC on behalf of itself and its respective owners, principals, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, agents, parents, subsidiaries, successors, assigns, and legal representatives (collectively referred to as "ERC Releasors"), and not on behalf of the general public, hereby waives and releases the Released Parties from any and all Claims that were asserted, or that could have been asserted, for any alleged violations of any other statutory or common law arising from alleged exposures to lead and cadmium in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by ABP before the Effective Date.

In addition, ERC agrees that the warning requirements of Section 3.2 of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to be compliant for warnings for lead for those products covered in a prior consent judgment between ERC and ABP dated March 4, 2014 ("Prior Consent Judgment"). The products covered in the Prior Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment are collectively referred to as the "Products."

- 8.3 ABP, on behalf of itself and the Released Parties, hereby waives and releases any and all Claims it may have or have had against ERC for any actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date.
- 8.4 It is possible that other Claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself and the ERC Releasors, on the one hand, and ABP, on behalf of itself and the Released Parties, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such Claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. ERC and ABP acknowledge that the Claims released in Sections 8.1, 8.2, and 8.3 above may include unknown Claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY

AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

ERC, on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and ABP, on behalf of itself only, on the other hand, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

- Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute 8.5 compliance with Proposition 65 by any Released Party regarding alleged exposures to lead and/or cadmium in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.
- Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or 8.6 environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of ABP's products other than the Covered Products.
- Nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the 8.7 terms of this Consent Judgment.

SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail or via electronic mail where required. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108 Ph: (619) 500-3090

111

Email: chris.heptinstall@erc501c3.org

1	With a copy to:	
.2	Charles W. Poss	
3	Environmental Research Center, Inc. 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400	
4	San Diego, CA 92108	
5	Ph: (619) 500-3090 Email: charles.poss@erc501c3.org	
6		
7	AMERICAN BOTANICAL PHARMACY, individually and dba DR. SCHULZE'S	
8	Adam Loef	
9	CEO American Botanical Pharmacy	
10	4114 Glencoe Avenue	
	Marina Del Rey, CA 90292	
11	With a copy to:	
12	Sarah Esmaili	
13	Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 10 th Floor	
14	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 471-3283 Facsimile: (415) 471-3400	
15		
16	Email: sarah.esmaili@apks.com	
17	12. COURT APPROVAL	
18	12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a Motion	
19	for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent	
20	Judgment.	
21	12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the	
22	Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to	
23	the hearing on the motion.	
24	12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void an	
25	have no force or effect.	
26	13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS	
27	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be	
28	deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as	
	Page 17 of 20	
- 1	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	

the original signature.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

16. ENFORCEMENT

ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or

1.	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
. 2		
.3	Dated:, 2019	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
4		CENTER, INC.
5		Ву:
6		Charles W. Poss Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental
7		Research Center, Inc.
8	7 2	
9	Dated: <u>June 3</u> , 2019	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
10		
11	·	By: Scal Em
12		Attorney for Defendant American
13		Botanical Pharmacy, individually and dba Dr. Schulze's
14	ORDER AND JUDGMENT	
15	Based upon the Parties' Stipulati	on, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is
16	approved and Judgment is hereby entere	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND	
18		
19	Dated:, 2019	
20	, = 0.25	Judge of the Superior Court
21	·	
22		
23		·
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
•		Page 20 of 20
	STIPULAT	ED CONSENT JUDGMENT

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
2		,
3	Dated: <u>May 31,</u> , 2019	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.
4		ву:
5		Charles W. Poss
6 7		Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc.
8		
9	Dated:, 2019	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
10		
11		By: Sarah Esmaili
12		Attorney for Defendant American Botanical Pharmacy, individually and dba
13		Dr. Schulze's
14	ORDER AND JUDGMENT	
15	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation,	and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is
16	approved and Judgment is hereby entered a	ccording to its terms.
17 18	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND D	ECREED.
19	Dated: 4/13, 2019	
20	, 2017	Judge of the Superior Court
21		Stephen Pulido
22		
23	·	•
24		
25	•	
26		
27	ı.	•
28		
	F	Page 20 of 20
	STIPULATED	CONSENT JUDGMENT



Environmental Research Center

3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108 619-500-3090

March 8, 2019

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 *ET SEQ*. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I am the Executive Director of Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"). ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is attached with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

Alleged Violator. The name of the company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violator") is:

American Botanical Pharmacy, individually and dba Dr. Schulze's

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

1. Dr. Schulze's Original Clinical Formulae SuperMeal Meal Replacement – Lead, Cadmium

- 2. Dr. Schulze's Original Clinical Formulae Male "SHOT" Lead
- 3. Dr. Schulze's SuperFood Bar Original Flavor Lead
- 4. Dr. Schulze's Original Clinical Formulae Organic Super Protein Plus Lead, Cadmium
- 5. Dr. Schulze's Organic Cacao Crunch The Ultimate Decadent Nutritional Energy Snack Treats Lead
- 6. Dr. Schulze's Original Clinical Formulae Cold & Flu Herbal "Shot" Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

Cadmium was officially listed as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity and male reproductive toxicity on May 1, 1997, while cadmium and cadmium compounds were listed as chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer on October 1, 1987.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the recommended use of these products. Consequently, the route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least March 8, 2016, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons ingesting these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time-consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC at the above listed address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall
Executive Director
Environmental Research Center

Attachments

Certificate of Merit

Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to American Botanical Pharmacy, individually and dba Dr. Schulze's, and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center, Inc.'s Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by American Botanical Pharmacy, individually and dba Dr. Schulze's

I, Chris Heptinstall, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am the Executive Director for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

e / with

	Def Grand Marie Control of the Contr
Dated: March 8, 2019	
	Chris Heptinstall

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On March 8, 2019, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO American Botanical Pharmacy, individually and dba Dr. Schulze's PO Box 9849 Marina Del Rey, CA 90295 Kirt Sechooler (Registered Agent for American Botanical Pharmacy, individually and dba Dr. Schulze's) 21243 Ventura Blvd, Ste 227 Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Current President or CEO American Botanical Pharmacy, individually and dba Dr. Schulze's 4114 Glencoe Ave Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

On March 8, 2019, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) were served on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On March 8, 2019, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to each of the parties listed below:

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney Alameda County 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org Barbara Yook, District Attorney Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Thomas L. Hardy, District Attorney Inyo County 168 North Edwards Street Independence, CA 93526 inyoda@inyocounty.us

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 1127 First Street, Suite C Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney Sacramento County 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Mark Ankcorn, Deputy City Attorney San Diego City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org Valerie Lopez, Deputy City Attorney San Francisco City Attorney 1390 Market Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Valerie.Lopez@sfcityatty.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney San Luis Obispo County County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

On March 8, 2019, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on March 8, 2019, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Phyllis Dunwoody

Service List

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, Suite 202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 778 Pacific St. Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453 District Attorney, Los Angeles County Hall of Justice 211 West Temple St., Ste 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Nevada County 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023 District Attorney, San Bernardino County 303 West Third Street San Bernadino, CA 92415

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County 100 Courthouse Square, 2nd Floor Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 463 2nd Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901 Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (CCP 1013a)

I certify that the following is true and correct:

I am a Deputy Clerk employed by the Alameda County Superior Court. I am over the age of 18 years. My business address is 24405 Amador Street Hayward, California 94544. I served this STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT by placing copies in envelope(s) addressed as shown below and then by sealing and placing them for collection, stamping or metering with prepaid postage, and mailing on the date stated below, in the United States mail in Hayward, California, following standard court practices.

Poss, Charles
Enviormental Research Center, Inc.
3111 Camino Del Rio North
Suite 400
San Diego, CA 92108

Esmaili, Sarah

Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP Three Embarcadero Center 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111____

Date: 8/14/19

Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court

Kasha Clarke, Deputy Clerk